

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Claimant,

Reg No: 2010-196

Issue No: 3008

Case No: [REDACTED]

Load No: [REDACTED]

Hearing Date:

October 27, 2009

Genesee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Steven M. Brown

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from [REDACTED] on October 27, 2009.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly terminated Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case based upon his failure to provide requested verification(s)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was a FAP recipient.
- (2) Claimant reported to the Department that his income had stopped.
- (3) On July 13, 2009, the Department mailed Claimant a Verification

Checklist, DHS-3503 with a due date of July 23, 2009.

- (4) On August 4, 2009, the Department mailed Claimant a Verification Checklist, DHS-3503, with a due date of August 14, 2009. (Exhibit 1)
- (5) On August 17, 2009, the Department received Claimant's Verification of Employment, DHS-38. (Exhibit 2)
- (6) On September 15, 2009, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing him that his FAP case was closed effective August 31, 2009. (Exhibit 3)
- (7) On September 18, 2009, the Department received Claimant's hearing request protesting the termination of his FAP benefits. (Hearing Request)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes the completion of necessary forms. BAM 105, p. 5 Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. BAM 130, p.1 Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level when it is required by policy, required as local office option or information regarding an

eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete or contradictory. BAM 130, p.1 The Department uses documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. BAM 130, p.1 A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization or agency to verify information from the client. BAM 130, p. 2 When documentation is not available, or clarification is needed, collateral contact may be necessary. BAM 130, p. 2

Clients are allowed 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the verifications requested by the Department. BAM 130, p. 4 If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit should be extended no more than once. BAM 130, p. 4 A negative action notice should be sent when the client indicates a refusal to provide the verification or the time period provided has lapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130, p.4

In the instant case, Claimant failed to timely respond to the July 13, 2009 Verification Checklist and the Department granted an extension. He then missed the August 14, 2009 deadline to respond to respond to the August 4, 2009 Verification Checklist and/or return the Verification of Employment. The Verification Checklist states very clearly: “You must get the proofs to me or call me by the due date above. If you do not, your benefits may be denied, decreased or cancelled.”

With the above said, I find that the Department established that it acted in accordance with policy in terminating Claimant’s FAP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department acted in accordance with policy in terminating Claimant’s FAP benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED, it is SO ORDERED.

/s/

Steven M. Brown
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 27, 2009

Date Mailed: October 28, 2009

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SMB/db

cc:

[REDACTED]