

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],  
Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-1927  
Issue No: 2009, 4031  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Load No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date:  
November 17, 2009  
Saginaw County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ivona Rairigh

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2009. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the department properly deny claimant's May 8, 2009 Medicaid (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application, finding he lacks a legally disabling condition?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for MA, retroactive MA and SDA on May 8, 2009.
2. On July 8, 2009 department's Medical Review Team determined that the claimant was not disabled for MA and SDA eligibility purposes.

3. On July 22, 2009 department sent the claimant an Application Eligibility Notice denying his MA and SDA application.

4. Claimant requested a hearing on August 10, 2009.

5. On October 26, 2009 department's State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) also determined that the claimant was not disabled, as his condition has not lasted for a period of at least twelve months.

6. Claimant was to present additional medical information following the hearing, but failed to do so according to the department. Claimant did testify at the hearing that he had applied for Social Security disability and that his claim was being reviewed as his condition had now lasted a year.

7. On August 2, 2010 the Administrative Law Judge obtained the SOLQ computer matching report from Social Security Administration. The report shows that the claimant had indeed been approved for RSDI with a monthly benefit amount of \$703 effective March 1, 2009, and with a disability onset date of September 12, 2008.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R

400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (RFT).

In Michigan, the SSA's determination of disability onset is established for MA and SDA eligibility purposes. In the present case, evidence of the favorable SSA decision established that the claimant met the federal disability standard necessary to qualify for MA and SDA pursuant to BEM 260 and 261.

The SSA determined claimant has been disabled since September 12, 2008. Consequently, the department must reverse its MA and SDA denial, and process claimant's disputed application in accordance with department policy.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department erred in determining claimant is not disabled.

Accordingly, department's action is REVERSED. Department shall:

1. Process claimant's disputed MA, retroactive MA and SDA application and issue him any benefits he was entitled to but did not receive, based on May 8, 2009 application date, if he is otherwise eligible to receive them (i.e. meets all of the other required eligibility criteria).
2. Consider any retroactive SSA benefits claimant may have received for the same period of time of the SDA application, to avoid duplicative issuance of benefits, as the claimant would not be eligible for SDA benefits during the period of time covered by SSA benefits.
3. Notify the claimant of this determination in writing.
4. Conduct a review of claimant's ongoing eligibility in September, 2011.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
Ivona Rairigh  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 2, 2010

Date Mailed: August 3, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

IR/tg

cc:

