STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2010-17573Issue No:2009/4031Case No:1000Load No:1000Hearing Date:1000March 23, 20101000Manistee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Marlene B. Magyar

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 23, 2010. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the department properly determine claimant is not disabled by Medicaid (MA) and

State Disability Assistance (SDA) eligibility standards?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) Claimant is a 47-year-old male (**Charles 1999**) who was diagnosed with seizure disorder in October 2009.

(2) On October 27, 2009, claimant applied for disability-based MA/SDA.

2010-17573/mbm

(3) When the department denied that application claimant requested a hearing, held by telephone conference on March 23, 2010.

(4) At that time, claimant also had a Social Security Administration (SSA) disability appeal pending.

(5) While claimant's MA/SDA appeal was pending the SSA issued a fully favorable disability allowance to claimant with his disability onset established as of October 25, 2009, per a written Notice of Award received by the presiding Administrative Law Judge on

September 2, 2010.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In Michigan, the SSA's determination of disability onset is binding for MA eligibility purposes. The same standard is applied in SDA cases. In the present case, evidence of the

2

favorable SSA decision conclusively establishes claimant meets the federal disability standard necessary to qualify for MA/SDA, pursuant to BEM Items 150 and 260.

Claimant's SSA disability allowance establishes he was determined disabled as of October 2009, the same month his disputed MA/SDA application was filed. Consequently, the department must reverse its erroneous denial and process claimant's disputed application in accordance with departmental policy.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the department erred in determing claimant is not disabled.

Accordingly, the department's decision is REVERSED, and it is Ordered that:

(1) The department shall approve MA/SDA benefits for claimant as long as he is otherwise eligible to receive them.

(2) Departmental review of claimant's condition is not necessary as long as his SSA disability status continues.

/s/_

Marlene B. Magyar Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 2, 2010

Date Mailed: _ September 3, 2010____

2010-17573/mbm

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MBM/db

