

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],
Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-17530
Issue No: 4031
Case No: [REDACTED]
Load No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date:
March 18, 2010
Kalamazoo County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, March 18, 2010. The claimant personally appeared and testified on his own behalf.

ISSUE

Did the department properly deny the claimant's application for State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On September 14, 2009, the claimant applied for SDA.
- (2) On January 19, 2010, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the claimant's application for SDA that the claimant's physical and mental impairment does not prevent employment for 90 days or more.
- (3) On January 20, 2010, the department caseworker sent the claimant a notice that his application was denied.
- (4) On January 27, 2010, the department received a hearing request from the claimant, contesting the department's negative action.
- (5) On February 17, 2010, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) considered the submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of SDA eligibility for the claimant. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant is 47 years old with 11 years of education and no reported work history. The claimant is alleging disability due to hypertension, shoulder pain, learning disability, and mood disorder. The claimant did not meet applicable Social Security Listings 4.01, 1.01, and 12.01. The claimant has a non-severe condition/impairment per 20 CFR 416.920(c).

- (6) The claimant is a 47 year-old man whose date of birth is [REDACTED]. The claimant is 5' 8" tall and weighs 230 pounds. The claimant has gained 40-50 pounds as a result of his medication. The claimant completed the 11th grade of high school. He was Special Education in all classes. The claimant can read and write a little and he can do basic math. The claimant has no pertinent work history.

- (7) The claimant's alleged impairments are high blood pressure, learning disability, mood disorder, and right shoulder pain from surgery in [REDACTED]

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The department's Program Eligibility Manual provides the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the SDA program.

DISABILITY – SDA

DEPARTMENT POLICY

SDA

To receive SDA, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person, or age 65 or older.

Note: There is no disability requirement for AMP. PEM 261, p. 1.

DISABILITY

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he:

- . receives other specified disability-related benefits or services, or
- . resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility, or
- . is certified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability.
- . is diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS).

If the client's circumstances change so that the basis of his/her disability is no longer valid, determine if he/she meets any of the other disability criteria. Do NOT simply initiate case closure. PEM, Item 261, p. 1.

Other Benefits or Services

Persons receiving one of the following benefits or services meet the SDA disability criteria:

- . Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI), due to disability or blindness.
- . Supplemental Security Income (SSI), due to disability or blindness.
- . Medicaid (including spend-down) as blind or disabled if the disability/blindness is based on:
 - .. a DE/MRT/SRT determination, or
 - .. a hearing decision, or
 - .. having SSI based on blindness or disability recently terminated (within the past 12 months) for financial reasons.

Medicaid received by former SSI recipients based on policies in PEM 150 under "**SSI TERMINATIONS,**" INCLUDING "**MA While Appealing Disability Termination,**" does not qualify a person as disabled for SDA. Such persons must be certified as disabled or meet one of the other SDA qualifying criteria. See "**Medical Certification of Disability**" below.

- . Michigan Rehabilitation Services (MRS). A person is receiving services if he has been determined eligible for MRS and has an active MRS case. Do not refer or advise applicants to apply for MRS for the purpose of qualifying for SDA.
- . Special education services from the local intermediate school district. To qualify, the person may be:
 - .. attending school under a special education plan approved by the local Individual Educational Planning Committee (IEPC); **or**
 - .. not attending under an IEPC approved plan but has been certified as a special education student **and** is attending a school program leading to a high school diploma or its equivalent, **and** is under age 26. The program does not have to be designated as "special

education” as long as the person has been certified as a special education student. Eligibility on this basis continues until the person completes the high school program or reaches age 26, whichever is earlier.

- . Refugee or asylee who lost eligibility for Social Security Income (SSI) due to exceeding the maximum time limit PEM, Item 261, pp. 1-2.

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for “disabled” as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

“Disability” is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months
... 20 CFR 416.905

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). At Step 1, the claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has no pertinent work history. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which

significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities.

Basic work activities means, the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6th Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

The objective medical evidence on the record further substantiates the following:

On [REDACTED], the claimant had a psychiatric evaluation by this treating psychologist. The claimant was given a diagnosis of heroin dependence in early remission, questionable some withdrawal symptoms remaining which I expected to remain long-term after quitting; cocaine dependence in early remission; alcohol dependence in early remission; learning disability; rule out attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; to rule out other mood disorders. The

most symptoms that the claimant was demonstrating appeared like they were from withdrawal and long-term effects of using drugs for so many years. The claimant was given a GAF of 50-55. The claimant was well-built and overweight. He did not display any abnormal movements. Hygiene and grooming appeared okay. The claimant appeared his stated age. The claimant was connected well and made eye contact. The claimant's mood currently had some dysphoria although he could be quite pleasant and cooperative. The claimant exhibited no suicidal or homicidal ideation. He had questionable voices where he mostly thinks they are his own voices spoken aloud. The claimant's speech was fluent with intact cognition. His insight and judgment were good. (Department Exhibit 41-44)

On [REDACTED], the claimant had a right upper quadrant ultrasound at [REDACTED]. The radiologist's impression was normal study. (Department Exhibit 22)

On [REDACTED], the claimant was seen at [REDACTED] for right lower quadrant pain. The radiologist's impression was a normal study. (Department Exhibit 70)

On [REDACTED], the claimant saw his treating physician who gave him an assessment of depression, prostrate nodularity, asthma, hypertension in adequate control, history of mildly elevated LFTs, history of drug and alcohol abuse is in counseling at Healing Center, dental carries, hearing, and scrotum with probably condyloma, and right lower quadrant abdominal pain. The claimant's urine drug screen was quantitative for methadone and Librium. The claimant had a normal physical examination. His affect was somewhat depressed. (Department Exhibit 23)

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence in the record indicates that the claimant has established that he has a severe impairment. The claimant had x-rays and ultrasound studies done that were normal. The claimant is being treated for his mental impairments. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2. However, this Administrative Law Judge will proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine disability because Step 2 is a *de minimus* standard.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d). This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be listed as disabling by law. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 3.

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings, that the claimant does not have a driver's license and does not drive because it was suspended as a result of a DUI. The claimant can cook but doesn't because he lives in a shelter. The claimant grocery shops 7 days a week when he's hungry. The claimant does clean his own home by sweeping and mopping. The claimant does do outside work of picking up paper. His hobbies are playing pool, walking, and

riding bike. The claimant felt that his condition has not worsened in the past year, but has gotten better since his medication. The claimant is in therapy and taking medication.

The claimant wakes up at 5:00 a.m. He watches the news. He cleans up. He goes for his drop. He attends the mission. He goes to bed at 8:00 p.m.

The claimant felt that he could walk 1-2 miles. The longest he felt he could stand was 30-60 minutes. The claimant didn't have a problem sitting. The heaviest weight he could carry and walk was 60 pounds. The claimant stated that his level of pain on a scale of 1 to 10 without medication was a 7/8 that decreases to a 1/2 with medication.

The claimant stopped smoking cigarettes in June 2009 where before he smoked a pack a week. The claimant stopped drinking alcohol in June 2009 where before he drank a lot. The claimant also stopped doing heroin, cocaine, and marijuana in June 2009.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant has not established that he cannot perform any work. The claimant does not have a previous work history. The claimant should be able to perform simple, unskilled, light work. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4. However, the Administrative Law Judge will still proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work.

20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite your limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and

- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

...To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, you must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. If someone can do light work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Unskilled work. Unskilled work is work which needs little or no judgment to do simple duties that can be learned on the job in a short period of time. The job may or may not require considerable strength.... 20 CFR 416.968(a).

The claimant has submitted insufficient evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his previous employment or that he is physically unable to do any tasks demanded of him. The claimant's testimony as to his limitation indicates his limitations are exertional and non-exertional.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

In the instant case, the claimant stated that he has a learning disability and mood disorder. He is currently in therapy and taking medication. See MA analysis, Step 2. The claimant was given a GAF of 50-55 during his psychiatric evaluation on [REDACTED] that shows moderate symptoms or moderate difficulty in social, occupational, or school functioning. As a result, there is sufficient medical evidence of a mental impairment that is so severe that it would prevent the claimant from performing skilled, detailed work, but the claimant should be able to perform simple, unskilled work.

At Step 5, the claimant should be able to meet the physical requirements of light work, based upon the claimant's physical abilities. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger individual with a limited or less education, and no pertinent work history, who is limited to light work, is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Rule 202.17. The Medical-Vocational guidelines are not strictly applied with non-exertional impairments such as drug abuse and alcohol abuse in early remission, learning disability, and mood disorder. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Section 200.00. Using the Medical-Vocational guidelines as a framework for making this decision and after giving full consideration to the claimant's physical and mental impairments, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant can still perform a wide range of simple, unskilled, light activities and that the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the SDA program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied the claimant's application for SDA. The claimant should be able to perform any level of simple, unskilled, light work. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/
Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 28, 2010

Date Mailed: May 28, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CGF/vmc

cc:

