

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████
Claimant,

Reg No: 2010-17245
Issue No: 3002
Case No: ██████████
Load No: ██████████
Hearing Date:
April 29, 2010
Genesee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Steven M. Brown

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Lansing, Michigan on April 29, 2010.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly computed the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) allotment?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) Claimant completed and filed an application for FAP benefits in November 2009.

(2) The Department determined that Claimant was eligible for [REDACTED] in November 2009 and [REDACTED] in December and January 2010 for a total of [REDACTED] in FAP benefits.

(3) Claimant received [REDACTED] in January and [REDACTED] in February, March and April 2010 for a total of [REDACTED] in FAP benefits.

(4) On January 11, 2010, the Department received Claimant's hearing request protesting the computation of her FAP allotment.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

In the instant case, Claimant did not receive [REDACTED] in FAP benefits for which she was eligible. With that said, based on the testimony and documentation offered at hearing, I do not find that the Department established that it acted in accordance with policy in computing Claimant's FAP allotment.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, does not find that the Department acted in accordance with policy in computing Claimant's FAP allotment.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP eligibility determination is REVERSED, it is SO ORDERED. The Department shall:

- (1) Issue Claimant supplemental FAP benefits in the amount of [REDACTED].

/s/ _____
Steven M. Brown
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 5, 2010

Date Mailed: May 6, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SB/lk

cc:

[REDACTED]