STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 2010-16646 Issue No: 2009; 4031 Case No: Hearing Date: March 9, 2010 Kalamazoo County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on March 9, 2010. Claim ant personally appeared and testified.. Claimant deceased October 27, 2009

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Ass istance (MA-P) and retroactive Medical Assist ance (retro MA-P)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On April 28, 2009, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and retroactive Medical Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On October 6, 2009, the Medi cal Review Team denied c laimant's application stating that claimant's impairments lack duration.
- (3) On October 8, 2009, the department ca seworker sent claimant notice that his application was denied.
- (4) On January 11, 2010, filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

- (5) On February 3, 2010, the State Hearing Review T eam again denied claimant's applic ation stating that it had in sufficient evidence and requested a copy of the death certificate and a copy of the hospital records
- (6) The hearing was held on March 9, 2010. At the hearing, claimant's representative waived the time periods and requested to submit additional medical information.
- (7) Additional medical information wa s submitted and sent to the State Hearing Review Team on March 10, 2010.
- (8) On March 17, 2010, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stat ing that it had insufficient evidence and stated that prior information requested was not received. The department is to contact the family to find out what hospital or medical office was treating the claimant before his deat h. Obtain medical records from

not send back without this information.

- (9) The hearing was held on March 9, 2010, as of Februar y 7, 2010, no new information has been sent in by claimant's representative.
- (10) This Administrative Law Judg e closed the record and proceeded t o decision.
- (11) On the date of his death claimant was a 50-year-old man whose birth date is the second of the death cert ificate indicates that he died from pulmonary embolism, anemia, Barrett' s esophagus, and chronic alcohol abuse and the matter of his death was natural. Cla imant deceased
- (12) The notice of the department action was sent to claimant and claimant's representative on October 8, 2009.
- (13) The request for a hearing was re ceived in the department on January 5, 2010, after claimant's death.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his or her clai m for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients h ave the right to contes t a department decision affecting elig ibility or benefit levels whenev er it is believed that the decis ion is incorrect. The department

will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review the decision appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

decision and determine the

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the instant case, was an authorized hearing representative for claimant on the date of t he filing of the application. However, authorization to represent claimant ended with the claim ant's death on October 27, 2009.

DEPARTMENT POLICY

All Programs

Clients have rights and responsibilities as specified in this item.

The local office must do **all** of the following:

- . Determine eligibility.
- . Calculate the level of benefits.
- . Protect client rights. BAM, Item 105, p. 1.

CLIENT OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE RESPONSIBILITIES

Responsibility to Cooperate

All Programs

Clients must cooperate with the lo cal offic e in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of the necessary forms. BAM, Item 105, p. 5.

Client Cooperation

The client is responsible for providing evidence needed to prove disability or blindness. However, you must assist the client when they need your help to obtain it. Such help includes the following: Scheduling medical exam appointments

Paying for medical evidence and medical transportation

. See BAM 815 and 825 for details. BEM, Item 260, p. 4.

A client who refuses or fails to submit to an exam necessary to determine disabilit y or blindness **cannot** be deter mined disabled or blind and you may deny or close the case. BEM, Item 260, p. 4.

All Programs

.

Clients must completely and truthfully ans wer all questions on forms and in interviews. BAM, Item 105, p. 5.

The client might be unable to answer a question about himself or another person whose circumstances must be known. Allow the client at least 10 days (or other timeframe specified in policy) to obtain the needed information. BAM, Item 105, p. 5.

FAP Only

Do **not** deny eligibilit y due to failure to cooperate with a verification request by a person **outside** the group. In applying this policy, a person is considered a group member if residing with the group and is disqualified. BAM, Item 105, p. 5.

Refusal to Cooperate Penalties

All Programs

Clients who are able but refu se to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. BAM, Item 105, p. 5.

Responsibility to Report Changes

All Programs

This section applies to all groups **except** most FAP groups with earnings.

Clients must report changes in circumstances that potentially affect eligibility or benefit amount. Changes must be reported **within 10 days**:

- . after the client is aware of them, or
- . the start date of employment. BAM, Item 105, p.
- 7.

Income reporting requirements are limited to the following:

- Earned income
 - .. Starting or stopping employment
 - .. Changing employers
 - .. Change in rate of pay

.. Change in work hours of more than 5 hours per week that is expect ed to continue for more than one month

Unearned income

.. Starting or stopping a source of unearned income

.. Change in gross monthly inco me of more than \$50 s ince the last reported change. BAM, Item 105, p. 7.

See BAM 220 for processing reported changes.

Other reporting requirements include, but are **not** limited to, changes in:

- . Persons in the home
- . Marital status
- . Address and shelter cost changes that result from the move
- Vehicles
- . Assets
- . Child support expenses paid
- . Health or hospital coverage and premiums
- . Day care needs or providers. BAM, Item 105, pp.
- 7-8.

For TLFA onl y, the client must report to the specialist any month the work requirement is not fulfilled.

Explain reporting requirements to all clien ts at application, redetermination and when discussing changes in circumstances. BAM, 105, p. 8.

Verifications

All Programs

Clients must take actions with in their ability to obtain verifications. DHS staff must a ssist when necessary. See BAM 130 and BEM 702. BAM, Item 105, p. 8.

LOCAL OFFICE RESPONSIBILITIES

All Programs

Ensure client rights described in this item are honored and that client responsibilities are explained in understandable terms. Clients are to be treated with dignit y and respect by all DHS employees. BAM, Item 105, p. 8.

VERIFICATION AND COLLATERAL CONTACTS

DEPARTMENT POLICY

All Programs

Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's v erbal or written statements.

Obtain verification when:

. required by policy. BEM items specify whic h factors and under what circum stances verification is required.

. required as a local office option. The requirement **must** be applied the same for every client. Local requirements may **not** be imposed for MA, TMA-Plus or AMP without prior approval from central office.

. information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplet e or contradictory. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. BAM, Item 130, p. 1. Verification is usually required at application/redetermination **and** for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM, Item 130, p. 1.

Verification is **not** required:

- when the client is clearly ineligible, or
- . for excluded income and assets **unless** needed to establish the exclusion. BAM, Item 130, p. 1.

Obtaining Verification

All Programs

Tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date (see "**Timeliness Standards**" in this item). Use the DHS-3503, Verifica tion Check list, or for MA redeterminations, the DHS-1175, MA Determination Notice, to request verification. BAM, Item 130, p. 2.

The client must obtain require d verification, but you must assist if they need and request help. BAM, Item 130, p. 2.

If neither the client nor you can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If **no** evidence is available, use your best judgment.

Exception: Alien inf ormation, blindness, disability, incapacity, incapabilit y to dec lare one's residence and, for FIP only, pregnancy must be verified. Citizens hip and identity must be verified for clie nts claiming U.S. citizenship for applicants and recipients of FIP, SDA and MA. BAM, Item 130, p. 3.

Timeliness Standards

All Programs (except TMAP)

Allow the client 10 calendar days (**or** other time limit specified in policy) to provide the verification you request. If the client can<u>not</u> provide t he verific ation des pite a reasonable effort, extend the time limit at least once. BAM, Item 130, p. 4.

Send a negative action notice when:

the client indicates refusal to provide a verification,

or

. the time period given has elaps ed and the client has <u>not</u> made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM, Item 130, p. 4.

MA Only

Send a negative action notice when:

. the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or the time period gives on has elapsed. BAM

. the time period giv en has elapsed. BAM, Item 130, p. 4.

In the instant case, BAM, Item 130, p. 5, i ndicates that the department is to allow the claimant 10 calendar days to provide v erification information that has been requested. If the client cannot provide the v erifications despite a reasonable effort the department is to ex tend the time limit up to 3 times. In the instant case, this Administr ative Law Judge left he record open for over a year to allow for the additional information which was requested by the State Hearing Review Team. Cla imant's representative did not provide the additional medical information. Therefore, the depart tment appropriately denied the claimant's application for failure to provide verification information.

Department policy requires that all clients have the right to request a he aring. The following people have the authority to exercise this right by signing a hearing request:

- an adult member of the eligible group, or
- the clients authorized hearing representative.

The request for a hearing must be made in writing and signed by one of the persons listed above. The request must be a signature. Faxes or photo copies of signatures are acceptable. A hearing request with a c lients signature, name and authorized hearings representative (AHR) who is authorized to stand in for or represent the client at the rest of the hearing proces s. An A HR, or if none, the client, might express dissatisfaction with the department action orally or in writing without s pecifically requesting a hearing. The department is to verify an authorized representative prior authorization unless the authorized hearing representative is the clients Attorney at Law, parent or for MA only, spouse. The relationship of the parent or spouse must be verified only when it is questionable. SOAHR will de ny a hearing request when required verification is not submitted. The following documents are acceptable verifications sources:

- probate court order or c ourt issued letters of authority, naming the person as guardian or conservator, or
- probate c ourt documentation verify ing the pers on has a pplied for guardianship or conservatorship
- authorization signed by the client after authorizing this person to represent the client in the hearing process; and
- birth or marriage certificate naming the person a parent or spouse (BEM, Item 600, pp. 2-3)

Under Michigan Law, all right s and authority granted by a power of attorney end at the death of a principle.

- The Michigan Probate Court retains so le and exc lusive jurisdiction over decedents estate. MCL 700.1302
- A patient advocate designation ends with the death of the principle. MCL 700.5510
- A power of attorney designation ends with knowledge of the death of the principle. MCL 700.5504.

After death, the principle no longer exists as a separate legal entity: consequently, an estate must be created to handle rem aining bus iness and financial concerns outstanding at the time of his or her dea th. Only the probate court can create a decedents estate and appoint a personal representative, s pecial fiduciary temporary personal r epresentative to act on behalf of that estate. Which includes persueing potential gain from the Medicaid (MA) program, pursuant to a action pending at the time of the principles death. Matter of estate of Breas Bois, 140 Mich Ap, 36 4 NW 2D 702 (1985) (which has not been overturned) states explicitly that a creditor of decedent who terested party entitled to dies intestate is not an in be appointed as person al representative of an estate.

Claimant's authorized hear ing representative does not fit any of the categories that would allow them legal standing to proceed on behalf of a deceased client, in the absence of a probate court order. In short, decedents authorized representative or formal power of attorney all lace k standing to pursue the deceased applicants claim within the Administrative H earings process without b eing determined by the probate court to be a personal representative or s pecial fiduciary. Administrative Hearings should not address associative issue of disability above circumstances because there is no longer standings to bring the Administrative Tribunal when the claimant dies. Death does not establish a person's disability for the month of his death, BAM, Item 260, p.1.

However, there is no dispute as to claimant's disability or lack there of in this case as the Medical Rev iew Team determined that claimant did not meet t he disability criteria for MA and retro MA benefits. The State Hearing Revie w Team denied claimant's application based upon the fact that it did not have sufficient information and requested additional verification information which it did not rec eive from claimant 's authorized representative or claimant's AHR who clearly had ample time in which to provide the verification information. Based upon the fact that the new information was not provided claimant's representative, th is Administrative Law Judge finds that the State Hearing Review Team's decision must be UPHELD and fi nds that there is insufficient evidence to find that claimant is disabled for purposes for MA or retro MA benefit eligibility.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, determines that t he department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial ev idence on the r ecord that it was acting in com pliance with department policy when it denied c laimant's application n for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical As sistance benefits. The original denial was based on t he fact that claimant's impairment's lack duration. Once the State Hearing Review Team requested additional medical information, claimant's representative failed to provide the verification information for approximately a y ear's time. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant's application information.

Accordingly, the department's decision is A FFIRMED. In addition, this Administrative Law Judge also finds that there is no evidence to establish that the authorized hearings representative who filed t he hearing request and appeared on decedents behalf at the March 9, 2010 Administrative Hearing had aut hority from the probat e court to proceed on claimant's behalf.

Accordingly, the hearing request, protesting the denial of claimant, decedents, Medical Assistance and retroactive Medical Assistance application is hereby DISMISSED at this time. The death certificate provided by decease on October 27, 2009.

Landis

/s/

Y. Lain Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 30, 2011

Date Mailed: March 31, 2011

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/alc

