STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant,

Reg No:2010-16162Issue No:3002Case No:1000Load No:1000Hearing Date:1000March 9, 2010St. Clair County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Steven M. Brown

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL

400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a

telephone hearing was conducted from on March 9, 2010.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly denied Claimant's Food Assistance Program

(FAP) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

On December 29, 2009 Claimant completed and filed an application for
FAP benefits.

2010-16162/smb

(2) The Department determined that Claimant was ineligible for FAP benefits as he has been disqualified for life due to three intentional program violations.(Exhibits 4-8)

(3) On December 29, 2009, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of CaseAction which informed Claimant that he was ineligible for FAP benefits. (Exhibits 2-3)

(4) On January 6, 2010, the Department received the Claimant's hearing request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The following is the Department policy concerning disqualification:

Standard Disqualification Periods

FIP, SDA and FAP

The standard disqualification period is used in all instances except when a **court** orders a different period (see **Non-Standard Disqualification Periods**, in this item).

Apply the following disqualification periods to recipients determined to have committed IPV:

- One year for the first IPV
- Two years for the second IPV
- Lifetime for the third IPV

In the instant case, based on the testimony and documentation offered at hearing, I

find that the Department established that it acted in accordance with policy in denying

Claimant's FAP application.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and

conclusions of law, finds that the Department acted in accordance with policy in denying

Claimant's FAP application.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED, it is SO ORDERED.

<u>/S/</u>

Steven M. Brown Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 10, 2010

Date Mailed: <u>March 10, 2010</u>

<u>NOTICE</u>: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision. SMB/db

