STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE WATTER OF.	Docket No. 2010-15630 EDW Case No: 98384329
Appellant	

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 et seq., following the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a telephone hearing was held was represented by her daughter-in-law,

Ms. Jennifer Houghton, Access and Benefits Supervisor, for the appeared on behalf of the Department-contracted MI Choice Waiver agency (hereafter, 'Department').

ISSUE

Did the Department properly place the Appellant on the waitlist for the MI Choice Waiver program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

IN THE MATTER OF:

Based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, I find as material fact:

- 1. The Appellant is a year old seeking MI Choice Waiver services.
- The Appellant's daughter-in-law and representative contacted the waiver agency via , to request MI Choice waiver services on behalf of telephone on the Appellant.
- 3. The Appellant was notified that the MI Choice Waiver program was at capacity, thus she would be placed on the waiting list.

Docket No. 2010-15630 EDW Decision and Order

- 4. On the Waiver agency conducted an imminent risk screening of the Appellant and determined she was not appropriate for diversion status.
- 5. The Department's waiver agency is currently at capacity and, due to lack of funds, is unable to enroll Appellant in the MI Choice Waiver program at this time.
- 6. Following notification she had been placed on a waiting list for the MI Choice program, the Appellant requested a formal, administrative hearing on

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

This Appellant is claiming services through the Department's Home and Community Based Services for Elderly and Disabled (HCBS/ED). The waiver is called MI Choice in Michigan. The program is funded through the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (formerly HCFA) to the Michigan Department of Community Health (Department). Regional agencies, in this case an Area Agency on Aging (AAA), function as the Department's administrative agency.

Waivers are intended to provide the flexibility needed to enable States to try new or different approaches to the efficient and cost-effective delivery of health care services, or to adapt their programs to the special needs of particular areas or groups of recipients. Waivers allow exceptions to State plan requirements and permit a State to implement innovative programs or activities on a time-limited basis, and subject to specific safeguards for the protection of recipients and the program. Detailed rules for waivers are set forth in subpart B of part 431, subpart A of part 440 and subpart G of part 441 of this chapter. 42 CFR 430.25(b)

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, on page 5 of a letter to State Medical Directors labeled Olmstead Update Number 4 (SMDL #01-006), dated January 10, 2001, in reply to the following question responded, in part:

May a State use the program's funding appropriation to specify the total number of people eligible for an HCBS waiver?

CMS has allowed States to indicate that the total number of people to be served may be the lesser of either (a) a specific

Docket No. 2010-15630 EDW Decision and Order

number pre-determined by the State and approved by CMS (the approved "factor C" value), or (b) a number derived from the amount of money the legislature has made available (together with corresponding Federal match). The current HCBS waiver preprint contains both options....

The waiver agency has committed all the financial resources made available through the Department's appropriations and to ensure continued service to current waiver enrollees and is not assessing any additional individuals. It maintains a waiting list and contacts individuals on the list on a first come, first served basis when sufficient resources become available to serve additional individuals. It then determines how many individuals from the list it can assess and assesses a limited number of individuals from the list to determine if they may be eligible for enrollment in the MI Choice Waiver.

The Appellant's daughter-in-law did not challenge the legal basis for the Appellant's placement on the waiting list. Her testimony revealed she believed she had to follow through with a hearing in order to make the request for program benefits. She did inquire about the length of time her mother-in-law would have to wait for program services. She was informed it is difficult to predict how long the wait would be but it could be two (2) years.

The waiver agency witness stated the agency had to establish a waiting list due to the limited resources it has to provide services. She stated the Appellant was placed on the waiting list at the time the telephone call was made. Furthermore, the agency conducted an imminent risk screening. It was determined at that time, based upon the outcome of the screening that the Appellant's priority could not be moved up.

DECISION AND ORDER

Based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, I find the MI Choice Waiver agency properly denied Appellant enrollment and placed her on the waiting list due to limited financial resources.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Jennifer Isiogu
Administrative Law Judge
for Janet Olszewski, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

Docket No. 2010-15630 EDW

Decision and Order

cc:

Date Mailed: 3/10/2010

*** NOTICE ***

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.