

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES  
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909  
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

████████████████████

Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Docket No. 2010-15519 HHS

Case No. ██████████

**DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held ██████████. ██████████ appeared on his own behalf. ██████████, Appeals Review Officer, represented the Department (DHS). ██████████, Social Services Specialist, and ██████████ ██████████ Adult Services Supervisor, appeared as witnesses on behalf of the Department.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly terminate the Appellant's Home Help Services case due to not having full coverage Medicaid?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Appellant was a full coverage Medicaid beneficiary, who was receiving Home Help Services. (Exhibit 1, page 12)
2. The submitted Medicaid eligibility history, as certified ██████████, shows that the Appellant's Medicaid eligibility changed and effective ██████████ he had a deductible of ██████████. (Exhibit 1, page 14)
3. The Appellant was potentially eligible for ██████████ per month in Home Help Services payments. (Exhibit 1, page 9)
4. The Appellant's Medicaid deductible exceeds the amount of HHS payments he is potentially eligible for.

5. On [REDACTED], the Department issued an Advance Negative Action Notice informing the Appellant that his HHS was would terminate effective [REDACTED], [REDACTED] due to the Medicaid deductible. (Exhibit 1, pages 4-7)
6. The Social Services Specialist has not received any notification from the Appellant's Medicaid eligibility worker that he has met his monthly spend down amount or that his Medicaid eligibility changed to full coverage Medicaid. (Testimony)
7. The Appellant's request for an administrative hearing contesting the termination of HHS payments was received on [REDACTED]. (Exhibit 1, page 3)

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

### **ELIGIBILITY FOR HOME HELP SERVICES**

Home help services (HHS) are defined as those which the department is paying for through Title XIX (Medicaid) funds. The client must be eligible for Medicaid in order to receive these services.

### **Medicaid/Medical Aid (MA)**

Verify the client's Medicaid/Medical aid status.

The client may be eligible for MA under one of the following:

- All requirements for MA have been met, **or**
- MA deductible obligation has been met.

The client must have a scope of coverage of:

- 1F or 2F, **or**
- 1D or 1K (Freedom to work), **or**
- 1T (Healthy Kids Expansion).

Clients with eligibility status of 07 (Income scale 2-Non MA) and scope of coverage 20 or 2B are **not** eligible for Medicaid until they have met their MA deductible obligation.

An ILS case may be opened (service program 9) to assist the client in becoming MA eligible. However, do **not** authorize HHS payment prior to the MA eligibility date. The payment must be prorated if the eligibility period is less than the full month. To prorate, divide the monthly care cost by the number of days in the month. Then, multiple (sic) that daily rate by the number of eligible days.

**Note:** A change in the scope of coverage by the eligibility specialist (ES) will generate a DHS-5S for cases active to services programs 1, 7, and 9.

*Adult Services Manual (ASM) 363, 9-1-2008 page 7 of 24.*

Department policy requires a Home Help Services participant to have full coverage Medicaid or have met the monthly Medicaid deductible in order to be eligible for the HHS program. The Appellant had been a full coverage Medicaid beneficiary who was receiving Home Help Services. (Exhibit 1, page 12) Effective ██████████, his Home Help Services grant was ██████████ per month. (Exhibit 1, page 12) The ██████████ certification establishes that the Appellant's Medicaid eligibility changed effective ██████████ to having a monthly Medicaid deductible (spend-down) in the amount of ██████████ (Exhibit 1, page 15) The Social Services Specialist testified that she terminated the Appellant's Home Help Services in accordance with the above cited policy because the Appellant's monthly spend down amount exceeds the potential HHS payment he would receive from the Department each month. The Social Services Specialist further testified that she has not received any notification from the Appellant's Medicaid eligibility worker that that he has met his monthly spend down amount or that his Medicaid eligibility changed back to full coverage Medicaid.

The Appellant did not present any argument or evidence at the hearing.

The Appellant did not meet his burden of proving, by a preponderance of evidence, that the Department improperly terminated his Home Help Services. The evidence supports the Department's determination to terminate services as effective ██████████ because the Appellant no longer had full coverage Medicaid, his monthly deductible amount of ██████████ exceeded his potential monthly Home Help Services grant of ██████████, and there was no evidence that he had met his monthly Medicaid deductible.

[REDACTED]  
Docket No. 2010-15519 HHS  
Decision and Order

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly terminated the Appellant's HHS case based upon the available information.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

---

Colleen Lack  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Janet Olszewski, Director  
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:

[REDACTED]

Date Mailed: 4/5/2010

**\*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\***

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.