

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: [REDACTED]

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on [REDACTED], who has since left employment with the State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules. This hearing was completed by [REDACTED] after reviewing the record. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On [REDACTED] claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On [REDACTED] the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant was capable of performing other unskilled work.
- (3) On [REDACTED], the department caseworker sent claimant notice that her application was denied.
- (4) On [REDACTED] claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

- (5) On [REDACTED] the [REDACTED] again denied claimant's application stating that drug and/or alcohol abuse are material to the claimant's impairments and that she would be capable of performing simple and repetitive tasks if not for the continued substance abuse.
- (6) A telephone hearing was held on [REDACTED]. The record was left open to allow the submission of additional medical evidence, as agreed to by claimant.
- (7) The additional medical was submitted by the claimant and sent to the [REDACTED].
- (8) On [REDACTED] again denied claimant's application stating that drug and/or alcohol abuse continue to be material to claimant's condition and that if claimant quit her substance abuse, she would be capable of performing simple and repetitive work.
- (9) Claimant is a [REDACTED] whose birth date is [REDACTED]. Claimant is 5' 1" tall and weighs 170 pounds. Claimant completed the [REDACTED] grade in school. Claimant reports that she can read, although she has a hard time with large words. Claimant can write by printing, but not cursive. Claimant reports she is unable to perform basic math.
- (10) Claimant reports that she last worked in [REDACTED]. She claims experience working in a bakery and packaging bread.
- (11) Claimant alleges as disabling impairments: depression, panic disorder, anxiety disorder, borderline intellectual functioning, bi-polar disorder and possible Hepatitis C. Claimant disputes alcohol dependence.
- (12) Claimant resides in an apartment. She does not drive and has never had a license. Claimant reports that she can cook food in the microwave, does clean the house, but that her daughter grocery shops for her because there are too many people in the store. Claimant reports that she recently started smoking a cigarette every couple of days, but that she quit alcohol six years ago. Claimant denies illicit drug use.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services

(DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

In general, claimant has the responsibility to prove that he/she is disabled. Claimant's impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms, and laboratory findings, not only claimant's statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.927. Proof must be in the form of medical evidence showing that the claimant has an impairment and the nature and extent of its severity. 20 CFR 416.912. Information must be sufficient to enable a determination as to the nature and limiting effects of the impairment for the period in question, the probable duration of the impairment and the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913.

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

At step one, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant is engaging in substantial gainful activity (20 CFR 404.1520(b) and 416.920(b)). Substantial gainful activity (SGA) is defined as work activity that is both substantial and gainful. "Substantial work activity" is work activity that involves doing significant physical or mental activities (20 CFR 404.1572(a) and 416.972(a)). "Gainful work activity" is work that is usually done for pay or profit, whether or not a profit is realized (20 CFR 404.1572(b) and 416.972(b)). Generally, if an individual has earnings from employment or self-employment above a specific level set out in the regulations, it is presumed that he/she has demonstrated the ability to engage in SGA (20 CFR 404.1574, 404.1575, 416.974, and 416.975). If an individual engages in SGA, he/she is not disabled regardless of how severe his/her physical or mental impairments are and regardless of his/her age, education, and work experience. If the individual is not engaging in SGA, the analysis proceeds to the second step.

At step two, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant has a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a combination of impairments that

is “severe” (20 CFR 404.1520(c) and 416.920(c)). An impairment or combination of impairments is “severe” within the meaning of the regulations if it significantly limits an individual’s ability to perform basic work activities. An impairment or combination of impairments is “not severe” when medical and other evidence establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight abnormalities that would have no more than a minimal effect on an individual’s ability to work (20 CFR 404.1521 and 416.921; Social Security Rulings (SSRs) 85-28, 96-3p, and 96-4p). If the claimant does not have a severe medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments, he/she is not disabled. If the claimant has a severe impairment or combination of impairments, the analysis proceeds to the third step.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include –

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;

- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c). A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

At step three, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medically equals the criteria of an impairment listed in 20 CFR Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1 (20 CFR 404.1520(d), 404.1525, 404.1526, 416.920(d), 416.925, and 416.926). If the claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medically equals the criteria of a listing and meets the duration requirement (20 CFR 404.1509 and 416.909), the claimant is disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

Before considering step four of the sequential evaluation process, the Administrative Law Judge must first determine the claimant's residual functional capacity (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 416.920(e)). An individual's residual functional capacity is his/her ability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from his/her impairments. In making this finding, all of the claimant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe, must be considered (20 CFR 404.1520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Next, the Administrative Law Judge must determine at step four whether the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform the requirements of his/her past relevant work (20 CFR 404.1520(f) and 416.920(f)). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the claimant actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability

must be established. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the claimant to learn to do the job and have been SGA (20 CFR 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If the claimant has the residual functional capacity to do his/her past relevant work, the claimant is not disabled. If the claimant is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

At the last step of the sequential evaluation process (20 CFR 404.1520(g) and 416.920(g), the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant is able to do any other work considering his/her residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If the claimant is able to do other work, he/she is not disabled. If the claimant is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirements, he/she is disabled.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since 2003. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

At Step 2, the claimant's symptoms are evaluated to see there is an underlying medically determinable physical or mental impairment(s) that could reasonably be expected to produce the claimant's pain or other symptoms. This must be shown by

medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. Once an underlying physical or mental impairment(s) has been shown, the Administrative Law Judge must evaluate the intensity, persistence, and limiting effects of the claimant's symptoms to determine the extent to which they limit the claimant's ability to do basic work activities. For this purpose, whenever statements about the intensity, persistence, or functionally limiting effects of pain or other symptoms are not substantiated by objective medical evidence, a finding on the credibility of the statements based on a consideration of the entire case record must be made.

[REDACTED]

On [REDACTED], the claimant was brought to the emergency room by police because her level of intoxication prevented them from being able to take her to jail. Claimant reported to hospital staff that she had drunk 8 – 9 40 ounce bottles of beer during the day. Once the claimant's alcohol levels had decreased, she was released into police custody.

The claimant has treated with various clinicians at the [REDACTED]. She treated with [REDACTED]. At that time, claimant reported that she had been previously been treated for anxiety and depression for an extended period of time with good success. Claimant reported that she drank a six-pack a day. The physician found her to be appropriately dressed and groomed, mood was 5 out of 10, affect was appropriate, thought processes were logical and goal-directed, insight and judgment were fair, she was alert and oriented x 3 and she denied any psychotic symptomatology. Claimant was diagnosed with major depression and alcohol dependence. She was continued on [REDACTED]. She was also encouraged to attend therapy and [REDACTED].

It appears claimant did not return to see [REDACTED]. At that time, she reported that she had been off her medications and was having anxiety about three [REDACTED] see [REDACTED].

On [REDACTED], the claimant saw [REDACTED]. Claimant reported that she was not doing well off of the Klonopin as she was having panic attacks. She reported that she had lost another job because she is unable to focus. Claimant reported that she frequently forgets to take her medications. Claimant was given a prescription for Strattera and told to return in one month. It does not appear that the claimant returned until [REDACTED].

On [REDACTED], the claimant saw [REDACTED] through the [REDACTED]. Claimant described her mood as all right, she was mildly anxious, her speech was clear, her thought process was unremarkable, thought content was unremarkable, she denied homicidality, suicidality, or psychosis. Her insight and judgment seemed somewhat limited. Claimant did not start the [REDACTED] prescription as advised at previous appointment. Claimant was continued on Cymbalta and her dosage of [REDACTED] was increased. Claimant was also referred for therapy and advised to return in eight weeks.

The claimant again saw [REDACTED]. At that time, the claimant reported that she had stopped taking her medications and had ended up being arrested and convicted of domestic violence. Claimant reported that she later restarted her medications and found herself less impulsive and reported no problems with the medications. The clinician found the claimant to be well groomed, smelling heavily of tobacco, affect mildly constricted, but generally euthymic. Claimant's thought processes seemed mildly concrete but generally well organized. Thought content was unremarkable, insight and judgment seemed somewhat limited. Claimant denied homicidality, suicidality and psychosis. Claimant was to continue her [REDACTED] return in two months.

Claimant did not return to see [REDACTED] until [REDACTED]. Claimant reported that she had spent approximately three months in jail. She was feeling somewhat depressed. Claimant showed good grooming and hygiene, her affect appeared to be in mild distress; her thought processes seemed mildly concrete, but generally well organized. Her thought content was remarkable for possible auditory hallucinations. Claimant was continued on her medications and was to follow up in six weeks.

Claimant saw [REDACTED]. Claimant reported she had been doing well on her medications and felt that her mood was doing fairly well. Mood was okay, affect was full range, thought processes were normal, and there were no psychotic symptoms.

The claimant failed to show for her [REDACTED] appointment. Claimant was not seen again until [REDACTED]. At that time, claimant reported that she was sleeping much better and felt her medications were helpful for mood and anxiety.

On [REDACTED] the claimant received an outpatient therapy assessment. Claimant reported that she had been in and out of jail recently and admitted to abusing alcohol. The clinician found the claimant was off and on with her follow through and was probably participating in therapy due to her probation status and to get disability. On [REDACTED] the claimant and the clinician developed a treatment plan to address areas such as housing, maintenance, communication, managing time, managing money, problem solving, drug/alcohol use, social networks, productivity, coping skills, behavior norms, crisis planning and advance directive.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

It must be noted the law does not require an applicant to be completely symptom free before a finding of lack of disability can be rendered. In fact, if an applicant's symptoms can be managed to the point where substantial gainful employment can be achieved, a finding of not disabled must be rendered. Nevertheless, claimant's medically managed

conditions meet the *de minimus* level of severity and duration required for further analysis.

The analysis next proceeds to Step 3, where the medical evidence of claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that she would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

At Step 4, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny her based upon her ability to perform her past relevant work. There is no evidence upon which this Administrative Law Judge could base a finding that claimant is unable to perform work in which she has engaged in, in the past. The claimant has a work history of working in a bakery and packaging bread. The claimant is not found to be unable to engage in work which she has performed in the past and is denied from receiving disability at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other jobs.

At Step 5, this Administrative Law Judge must determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other jobs in the national economy. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establish that claimant has no residual functional capacity. Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that she has not established by objective medical evidence that she cannot perform unskilled work even with her impairments.

Medical vocational guidelines have been developed and can be found in 20 CFR, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Section 200.00. When the facts coincide with a particular guideline, the guideline directs a conclusion as to disability. 20 CFR 416.969. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger individual (age 45), with less than a high school education and an unskilled work history is not disabled, in accordance with Vocational Rule 202.17.

The claimant has not presented the required competent, material and substantial evidence which would support a finding that the claimant has an impairment or combination of impairments which would significantly limit the physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.920(c). Although the claimant has cited medical problems, the clinical documentation submitted by the claimant is not sufficient to establish a finding that the claimant is disabled. There is no objective medical evidence to substantiate the claimant's claim that the alleged impairment(s) are severe enough to reach the criteria and definition of disability. The claimant is not disabled for the purposes of the Medical Assistance disability (MA-P) program.

The Federal Regulations at 20 CFR 404.1535 speak to the determination of whether Drug Addiction and Alcoholism (DAA) is material to a person's disability and when benefits will or will not be approved. The regulations require the disability analysis be completed prior to a determination of whether a person's drug and alcohol use is material. It is only when a person meets the disability criterion, as set forth in the

regulations, that the issue of materiality becomes relevant. In such cases, the regulations require a sixth step to determine the materiality of DAA to a person's disability.

When the record contains evidence of DAA, a determination must be made whether or not the person would continue to be disabled if the individual stopped using drugs or alcohol. The trier of fact must determine what, if any, of the physical or mental limitations would remain if the person were to stop the use of the drugs or alcohol and whether any of these remaining limitations would be disabling.

Claimant's testimony and the information indicate that claimant has a history of alcohol abuse. Applicable law is the Drug Abuse and Alcohol (DA&A) Legislation, Public Law 104-121, Section 105(b)(1), 110 STAT. 853, 42 USC 423(d)(2)(C), 1382(c)(a)(3)(J) Supplement Five 1999. The law indicates that individuals are not eligible and/or are not disabled where drug addiction or alcoholism is a contributing factor material to the determination of disability. After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence on the whole record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant does not meet the statutory disability definition under the authority of the DA&A Legislation because her alcohol abuse is material to her alleged impairment and alleged disability.

The federal law does not permit a finding of disability for persons whose primary impairment is substance abuse. P.L. 104-121. In addition, a client must follow prescribed medical treatment in order to be eligible for disability benefits. If prescribed medical treatment is not followed, the client cannot meet the disability standard. 20 CFR 416.930. Claimant has failed to follow prescribed medical treatment, including substance abuse treatment and refraining from alcohol abuse. The claimant also has a documented history of poor compliance with medication recommendations.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person or age 65 or older. BEM, Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that claimant was not eligible to receive Medical Assistance and/or State Disability Assistance.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of unskilled work even with her impairments. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

/s/

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the Administrative Law Judge.

Date Signed: 4/11/11

Date Mailed: 4/11/11

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

A large black rectangular redaction box covering several lines of text, likely contact information or a footer.