# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

## ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-1452

Issue No: 2009

Case No:

Load No: Hearing Date:

November 12, 2009 Ingham County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Marlene B. Magyar

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on November 12, 2009. Claimant and her daughter personally appeared and testified. She was assisted by

#### **ISSUE**

Did the department properly determine claimant is not disabled by Medicaid (MA) eligibility standards?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) Claimant is a right-handed, 55-year-old, divorced female with a general equivalency diploma (GED) and an unskilled, heavy to medium exertional work history in elder caregiving (18 years).

- (2) Claimant has remained unemployed since she incurred a fall in December 2006.
- (3) On June 24, 2008, claimant's authorized representative filed a disability-based MA/retro-MA application on her behalf alleging a combination of physical and mental impairments with exertional and non-exertional symptoms cause her to be unable to engage in any type of substantial gainful work activity.
- (4) Claimant underwent independent psychological evaluations in January and July 2008 (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 6-9).
- (5) The evaluating psychologist was identical for both of these tests and he opined there has been absolutely no improvement in claimant's symptoms or functioning capacity in that six month span; claimant's Global Assessment Function (GAF) remains in the low 50s (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 6 and 9).
- (6) Claimant's ongoing symptoms include sleep disturbances, decreased energy, isolative behaviors, anhedonia and difficulty with memory/sustained concentration despite compliance with a psychotropic medication schedule which includes and (Client Exhibit A, pgs 1-3).
- (7) In addition to claimant's psychological impairment (Bipolar Disorder), she has been diagnosed with fibromyalgia.
- (8) Claimant's adult daughter recently moved in with claimant and provides all basic living services like cooking, cleaning, laundry, shopping, etc. because claimant is physically and emotionally incapable of taking charge of those activities on her own.
- (9) Claimant reports her prescription pain medication (Lortab) is not very helpful in controlling her pervasive pain, with chronic pain remaining constant at 6 or 7 on the 1-10 pain scale.

- (10) In April 2008 and November 2009, claimant's treating doctor completed <u>Medical Examination Reports</u> (DHS-49s) limiting her to less than a sedentary level of exertional activity (Department Exhibit #1, pgs 58 and 59; Client Exhibit A, pgs 1 and 2).
- (11) Additionally, this physician noted claimant requires a cane for ambulation, and also, he certified her need for help with household chores (Client Exhibit A, pgs 2 and 3).
- (12) On November 18, 2009, the department's State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) issued a post-hearing decision affirming the denial of claimant's disability application based on a finding she is capable of medium exertional work activity on a sustained basis, defined as follows:

**Medium work**. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged, 20 CFR 416.913. An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.908 and 20 CFR 416.929. By the same token, a conclusory statement by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.929.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require several factors to be considered, including: (1) the location/duration/frequency/intensity of an applicant's pain; (2) the type/dosage/effectiveness/side effects of any medication the applicant takes to relieve pain; (3) any treatment other than pain medication that the applicant has received to relieve pain; and (4) the effect of the applicant's pain on his or her ability to do basic work activities.

20 CFR 416.929(c)(3). The applicant's pain must be assessed to determine the extent of his or her functional limitations in light of the objective medical evidence presented. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(94).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier-of-fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier-of-fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, claimant has not been employed since December 2006. As such, this analysis must continue.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;

- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

In this case, claimant has presented the required medical data and evidence necessary to support a finding that claimant has significant physical and mental limitations upon claimant's ability to perform basic work activities.

Medical evidence has clearly established that claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier-of-fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier-of-fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings, that claimant cannot return to her caregiving duties, which is the only type of work she's done for 18 years because it requires the capability to engage in physical and mental activities she no longer has the capacity for.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier-of-fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work.

20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite you limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

See *Felton v DSS* 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once claimant reaches Step 5 in the sequential review process, claimant has already established a *prima facie* case of disability. *Richardson v Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 735 F2d 962 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir, 1984). At that point, the burden of proof is on the state to prove by substantial evidence that the claimant has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity.

After careful review of claimant's extensive medical record and the Administrative Law Judge's personal interaction with claimant at the hearing, this Administrative Law Judge finds

that claimant's exertional and non-exertional impairments render claimant unable to engage in a full range of even sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P. Appendix 11, Section 201.00(h). See Social Security Ruling 83-10; *Wilson v Heckler*, 743 F2d 216 (1986). The department has failed to provide vocational evidence which establishes that claimant has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity and that, given claimant's age, education, and work experience, there are significant numbers of jobs in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite claimant's limitations. Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge concludes that claimant is disabled for purposes of the MA program.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the department erred in deteriming claimant does not meet the MA disability standard necessary for application approval.

Accordingly, the department's decision is REVERSED and it is Ordered that:

- (1) The department shall process claimant's disputed application and shall award her all of the benefits to which she may be entitled, as long as she meets the remaining financial and non-financial eligibility factors.
- (2) The department shall review claimant's condition for improvement in November 2012, unless she is approved eligible for Social Security disability benefits by that time.
- (3) The department shall obtain updated evidence from all claimant's treating sources regarding her continued progress and prognosis at review.

(4) The department shall send claimant to independent physical and psychological evaluations at the time of review (if necessary) to compare her current status with the evaluations done in 2008 and 2009.

/s/

Marlene B. Magyar Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 30, 2009

Date Mailed: <u>December 1, 2009</u>

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### MBM/db

cc:

