

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],  
Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-14114  
Issue No: 2009/4031  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Load No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date:  
February 17, 2010  
St. Clair County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Marlene B. Magyar

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on February 17, 2010. Claimant personally appeared and testified. She was assisted by

[REDACTED].

ISSUE

Did the department properly determine claimant is not disabled by Medicaid (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) eligibility standards?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On July 17, 2009, claimant applied for MA/SDA.
- (2) The department's State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) issued a prehearing denial on claimant's application on January 6, 2010 (Department Exhibit #2).

(3) Claimant promptly requested a hearing, at which, the presiding Administrative Law Judge granted a record extension for submission of updated treatment records.

(4) When received, this exhibit was returned to SHRT for a posthearing review.

(5) On April 1, 2010, SHRT reversed its earlier denial of claimant's disputed MA/SDA application based on a finding that claimant meets Listing 6.02(A), with retroactive MA approved starting June 1, 2009.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the present case, SHRT reversed its earlier finding of lack of disability based on additional medical evidence reviewed for the first time after the hearing. This Administrative Law Judge fully concurs with their decision. The new medical evidence establishes claimant is currently disabled, and has been disabled since June 2009. As such, the department's denial of her disputed application cannot stand.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the department, through SHRT, properly determined claimant's disability status upon consideration of additional medical evidence reviewed for the first time after the hearing.

Accordingly, the SHRT decision is AFFIRMED, and claimant's disputed MA/SDA application shall be processed with benefits awarded, as long as claimant meets all of the other financial and non-financial requirements necessary to receive them. Additionally, per SHRT, the local office shall conduct a review of claimant's condition in March 2017, unless Social Security disability status is approved by then. **SO ORDERED.**

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
Marlene B. Magyar  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 15, 2010

Date Mailed: April 16, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

2010-14114/mbm

MBM/db

cc:

