STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-14112 Issue No: 2009; 4031

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: March 3, 2010

St. Clair County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice Spodarek

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was on March 3, 2010. Claimant was represented at the hearing by

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly deny claimant's Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On 8/3/09, claimant applied for MA-P and SDA with the Michigan DHS.
- (2) Claimant applied for three months of retro MA.
- (3) On 11/20/09, the MRT denied.

- (4) On 12/2/09, the DHS issued notice.
- (5) On 12/3/09, claimant filed a hearing request.
- (6) Claimant has an SSI application pending with the Social Security Administration (SSA).
 - (7) On 1/11/2010, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant.
- (8) As of the date of application, claimant was a 39-year-old female standing 5' 4" tall and weighing 130 pounds.
- (9) Claimant does not have an alcohol/drug abuse problem or history. Claimant smokes approximately one ½ pack of cigarettes per day. Claimant has a nicotine addiction.
- (10) Claimant does not have a driver's license. Claimant testified that she does not consistently drive an automobile, depending upon her emotional state.
- (11) Claimant is not currently working. Claimant last worked in March 2009.

 Claimant's work history is unskilled—claimant has worked as a bartender, CNA, receptionist, and waitress.
- (12) Claimant alleges disability on the basis of left arm injuries, mental impairment, mood disorder, head trauma, neuropathy, back deterioration with spasm, chronic pain, post-traumatic stress disorder, short-term memory loss, muscle atrophy.
- (13) The Findings of Fact and analysis in claimant's argument, dated March 3, 2010, found on pages 1, 2, and 3, through Step 3, are adopted and incorporated by reference herein.
- (14) Testamentary evidence as well as documentary evidence in the record supports claimant's alleged mental disorders.
- (15) Two witnesses who are licensed professionals in the mental community testified as to claimant's PTSD, impaired memory, anxiety and panic attacks, mood disorder, extreme depression, and suicidal ideation.

(16) Evidence on the record substantiates that claimant is unable to engage in normal activities of daily living.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Statutory authority for the SDA program states in part:

(b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability s tandards, ex cept that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in Title XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Michigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 m onths.... 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work ac tivity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe im pairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 m onths or m ore or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the client's sym ptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?

5. Does the client have the Resi dual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the gu idelines set f orth a t 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evid ence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

... Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or m ental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of dis ease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about you r pain or o ther sym ptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there m ust be m edical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a m edical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of sy mptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own descripti on of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatom ical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be obs—erved, ap art from you r statements (symptoms). Signs m ust be shown by m edically acceptable clinical diag nostic techniques. Psychiatric s igns are m edically dem onstrable phenom ena which indicate specific psy chological abnorm alities e.g., abn ormalities o f behavior, mood, thought, m emory, orientation, development, or perception. They m ust also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatom ical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic technique s include chem ical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional cap acity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your im pairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or m ental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment m ust result from anatom ical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analysis herein, claimant is not ineligible at the first step as claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de minimus* standard. Ruling any ambiguities in claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). After a careful review of the substantial and credible evidence on the whole record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant meets Listing 12.04.

In reaching this conclusion, it is noted that claimant's numerous problems with her mental state not limited to short-term memory loss, mood disorder, severe and chronic post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, and anxiety are documented in the record as well as by the expert witnesses at the administrative hearing. Moreover, there is significant evidence that claimant is unable to engage in normal activities of daily living and able to function normally. Statutory disability is shown.

It is noted in reaching this conclusion that claimant's many other physical problems do contribute indirectly pursuant to the federal regulations found at 20 CFR 416.922.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department's actions were incorrect.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is REVERSED.

The department is ORDERED to make a determination if claimant meets the nonmedical criteria for MA and SDA. If so, the department is ORDERED to open an MA and SDA case from the date of application and issue supplemental benefits to claimant. The department is ORDERED to review this case in accordance with its usual policy and procedure.

Adm

Janice Spodarek inistrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 1, 2010

Date Mailed: June 1, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not o rder a rehe aring or re consideration on the Departm ent's motion where the final decision cannot be implem ented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a time ly request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

JS/cv



