## STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

# ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Respondent

Reg No:2010-13830Issue No:6052Case No:100Load No:100Hearing Date:February 10, 2010Chippewa County DHS

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

### HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Department's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 10, 2010. The Respondent did not appear at the hearing and it was held in respondent's absence pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), MAC R 400.3130(5), or MAC R 400.3187(5).

### **ISSUE**

Whether respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) and whether the respondent received an overissuance of benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds a material fact:

- 1. The Department's Office of Inspector General (OIG) filed a hearing request to establish an overissuance of benefits received by respondent as a result of respondent having committed an IPV. The OIG also requested that respondent be disqualified from receiving program benefits.
- Respondent was a recipient of CDC benefits during the period of 9/3/06 through 9/27/2008.
- 3. Respondent was aware of the responsibility report a change in income and had no apparent physical or mental impairment that would limit the understanding or ability to fulfill this requirement.
- 4. Respondent did not report a change in hours worked in a timely manner.
- Respondent failed to report a change in hours worked for the purpose of receiving benefits to which respondent was not entitled.
- 6. As a result of the failure report income, respondent committed an IPV and received an overissuance of benefits.
- As a result, respondent received overissuances in the amount of \$4870.08 under the CDC program.
- 8. The Department has established that respondent committed an IPV.
- 9. This was respondent's first Intentional Program Violation.
- 10. A notice of disqualification hearing was mailed to respondent at the last known address and was not returned by the Post Office as undeliverable.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

When a client group receives more benefits than they are entitled to receive, DHS must attempt to recoup the over issuance (OI). PAM 700, p. 1. DHS must inform clients of their reporting responsibilities and prevent OIs by following PAM 105 requirements informing the client of the requirement to promptly notify DHS of all changes in circumstances within 10 days. PAM 700, PAM 105. Incorrect, late reported or omitted information causing an OI can result in cash repayment or benefit reduction.

An Intentional Program Violation (IPV) is suspected when there is clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. PAM 720, p. 1

The amount of the OI is the amount of benefits the group or provider actually received minus the amount the group was eligible to receive. PAM 720, p. 6.

In the present case, the Department has established that respondent was aware of the responsibility to report change in hours worked and had no apparent limitations to fulfilling this requirement. The respondent failed to report change in employment income. As a result, respondent committed an IPV and was overissued CDC benefits.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that respondent committed an IPV with regard to the CDC programs and received overissances in program benefits.

It is further ORDERED that the Department recoup for overissuances in CDC benefits in the amount of \$4870.08.

/s/

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

<u>NOTICE</u>: The law provides that within 30 days of receipt of the above Decision and Order, the respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she lives.

AM/ctl

Date Signed: March 26, 2010

Date Mailed: March 26, 2010

Cc:		