STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No: 2010-12002

Issue No: 3002

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: January 7, 2010 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ivona Rairigh

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 7, 2010. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the department correctly compute the amount of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits claimant was entitled to receive for November, 2009, resulting in decrease of such benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 Claimant was a FAP recipient when department completed a redetermination of her case and budgeted her new rental expense. This FAP budget resulted in decrease of claimant's FAP benefits from \$415 to \$346 per month effective November 1, 2009. 2. Claimant requested a hearing on November 18, 2009.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

When determining eligibility for FAP benefits, the household's total income must be evaluated. All earned and unearned income of each household member must be included unless specifically excluded. Bridges Eligibility Manual, Item 500. The FAP program provides a deduction from earned income of 20% and a deduction for the cost of child care when necessary to enable a FAP household member to work. A standard deduction from income of \$132 is allowed for each household. Certain non-reimbursable medical expenses above \$35 a month may be deducted for senior/disabled/veteran group members. Another deduction from income is provided if monthly shelter costs are in excess of 50% of the household's income after all of the other deductions have been allowed, up to a maximum of \$335 for non-senior/disabled/veteran households. Bridges Eligibility Manual, Items 500 and 554; Bridges Reference Manual, Table 255; 7 CFR 273.2.

In this case, the Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the FAP budget and finds that the department properly computed the claimant's net income. The federal regulations at 7 CFR 273.10 provide standards for the amount of a household's benefits. The department in

2010-12002/IR

compliance with the federal regulations has prepared issuance tables which are set forth at

Bridges Reference Manual, Table 260. The issuance table provides that a household with

household size and net income of the claimant is eligible for an FAP allotment of \$346 per

month, as determined by the department for November, 2009 issuance.

Claimant's previous FAP budget that entitled her to \$415 per month in FAP benefits was

reviewed. This budget listed claimant's UCB at \$958 per month and her rent at \$376 per month.

November, 2009 FAP budget took into account \$25 per week increase in UCB for a total UCB

income of \$1066 per month. Claimant had also reported that her rent decreased to \$247 per

month. Increased UCB and decreased shelter expense resulted in FAP benefit decrease, and

claimant states she now understands the reasons behind such decrease.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of

law, decides that the department correctly computed the amount of FAP benefits claimant was

entitled to receive for November, 2009, resulting in decrease in such benefits.

Accordingly, department's action is AFFIRMED, and it is SO ORDERED.

Ivona Rairigh

Administrative Law Judge

for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 2, 2010

Date Mailed: February 11, 2010

3

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

