STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:



Appellant

Docket No. 2010-11973 PHR

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on appeared without representation. She had no witnesses.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Appellant's request for prior authorization (PA) of Suboxone?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Appellant is a Medicaid beneficiary.
- 2. The Appellant is afflicted with opioid dependence. (Appellant's Exhibit #1)
- 3. On submitted a PA for Suboxone for the Appellant's physician, a family practitioner, submitted a PA for Suboxone for the Appellant who he diagnosed as suffering from "opioid dependence." (Department's Exhibit A, p. 2)
- 4. On submitted a PA for Suboxone for the Appellant's physician, a family practitioner, submitted a PA for Suboxone for the Appellant who he diagnosed as suffering from "opioid dependence." (Department's Exhibit A, p. 2)

Docket No. 2010-11973 PHR Decision & Order

- 5. On submitted a PA for Suboxone for the Appellant's physician, a family practitioner, submitted a PA for Suboxone for the Appellant who he diagnosed as suffering from "opioid dependence." (Department's Exhibit A, p. 2)
- 6. On submitted a PA for Suboxone for the Appellant's physician, a family practitioner, submitted a PA for Suboxone for the Appellant who he diagnosed as suffering from "opioid dependence." (Department's Exhibit A, p. 2)
- 7. Owing to non-compliance in responding to MDCH queries for information, and untimely and/or inaccurate responses from the practitioner's office the product [Suboxone] could not be approved. (See Testimony of the section of the se
- 8. Accordingly, the PA was submitted for physician review by State Medical Consultants, **Mathematical**, **Mathematical** and **Mathematical** Upon review each physican denied the request for PA of Suboxone. (Department's Exhibit A, p. 7)
- 9. The Appellant and the prescriber were notified of the denial.
- 10. The Appellant was notified in writing of her further appeal rights via adequate action/denial of service on the service of the service of
- 11. The instant request for hearing was received by the State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules on

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Social Security Act § 1927(d), [42 USC 1396r-8(d)]

LIMITATIONS ON COVERAGE OF DRUGS --

- (1) PERMISSIBLE RESTRICTIONS -
 - (A) A state may subject to prior authorization any covered outpatient drug. Any such prior authorization program shall comply with the requirements of paragraph (5). A state may exclude or otherwise restrict coverage of a covered outpatient drug if –

Docket No. 2010-11973 PHR Decision & Order

- the prescribed use is not for a medically accepted indication (as defined in subsection (k)(6);
- (ii) the drug is contained in the list referred to in paragraph (2);
- (iii) the drug is subject to such restriction pursuant to an agreement between a manufacturer and a State authorized by the Secretary under subsection (a)(1) or in effect pursuant to subsection (a)(4); or
- (iv) the State has excluded coverage of the drug from its formulary in accordance with paragraph 4.

(4) REQUIREMENTS FOR FORMULARIES - A State may establish a formulary if the formulary meets the following requirements:

- (A) The formulary is developed by a committee consisting of physicians, pharmacists, and other appropriate individuals appointed by the Governor of the State (or, at the option of the State, the State's drug use review board established under subsection (g)(3)).
- (B) Except as provided in subparagraph (C), the formulary includes the covered outpatient drugs of any manufacturer, which has entered into and complies with an agreement under subsection (a) (other than any drug excluded from coverage or otherwise restricted under paragraph (2)).
- (C) A covered outpatient drug may be excluded with respect to the treatment of a specific disease or condition for an identified population (if any) only if, based on the drug's labeling (or, in the case of a drug the prescribed use of which is not approved under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act but is a medically accepted indication, based on information from appropriate compendia described in subsection (k)(6)), the excluded drug does not have a significant, clinically meaningful therapeutic advantage in terms of safety, effectiveness, or clinical outcome of such treatment for such population over other drugs included in the formulary and there is a written explanation (available to the public) of the basis for the exclusion.
- (D) The state plan permits coverage of a drug excluded from the formulary (other than any drug excluded from coverage or otherwise restricted under paragraph (2)) pursuant to a prior authorization program that is consistent with paragraph (5),

(E) The formulary meets such other requirements as the Secretary may impose in order to achieve program savings consistent with protecting the health of program beneficiaries.

A prior authorization program established by a State under paragraph (5) is not a formulary subject to the requirements of this paragraph.

(5) REQUIREMENTS OF PRIOR AUTHORIZATION PROGRAMS. — A State plan under this title may require, as a condition of coverage or payment for a covered outpatient drug for which Federal financial participation is available in accordance with this section, with respect to drugs dispensed on or after July 1, 1991, the approval of the drug before its dispensing for any medically accepted indication (as defined in subsection (k)(6)) only if the system providing for such approval –

- (A) Provides response by telephone or other telecommunication device within 24 hours of a request for prior authorization; and
- (B) Except with respect to the drugs referred to in paragraph
 (2) provides for the dispensing of at least 72-hour supply of a covered outpatient prescription drug in an emergency situation (as defined by the Secretary).

(6) OTHER PERMISSIBLE RESTRICTIONS – A State may impose limitations, with respect to all such drugs in a therapeutic class, on the minimum or maximum quantities per prescription or on the number of refills, if such limitations are necessary to discourage waste, and may address instances or fraud or abuse by individuals in any manner authorized under this Act.

Furthermore, the Medicaid Provider Manual (MPM) sets forth significant criteria for documentation of purported off-label uses and prior authorization requests:

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

For all requests for PA, the following documentation is required:

- Pharmacy name and phone number;
- Beneficiary diagnosis and medical reason(s) why another covered drug cannot be used;
- Drug name, strength, and form;
- Other pharmaceutical products prescribed;
- Results of therapeutic alternative medications tried; and
- MedWatch Form or other clinical information may be required.

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION DENIALS

PA denials are conveyed to the requester. PA is denied if:

- The medical necessity is not established.
- Alternative medications are not ruled out.
- Evidence-based research and compendia do not support it.
- It is contraindicated, inappropriate standard of care.
- It does not fall within MDCH clinical review criteria.
- Documentation required was not provided.

(Emphasis supplied)

MPM, Pharmacy §§8.4, 8.6, pages 15 and 16, January 1, 2010.¹

The Department witness, **betw**, testified that while the requested drug was designed to help treat addiction – there were discrepancies in the submitted treatment and testing information on PA and that approvals beyond 6-months require another prior authorization for a total of 12-consecutive months usage.

The Department's evidence clearly showed Suboxone is not endorsed by the MDCH for chronic, maintenance or lifetime use. See Testimony of

She added that the MDCH program for approval of Suboxone requires an office supervised plan, counseling and a program of weaning – information that was never communicated to or the reviewing MDCH/MSA physicians. See Department's Exhibit A – throughout and Testimony or the review.

The Appellant testified that there was a lab error on one of her UDS causing a false positive – this was acknowledged by the lab and forwarded to the MDCH.

She added that she believed she was denied owing to wrong information about her UDS and a few missed counseling appointments. She said that she thought she had done everything that "the doctors" had asked of her. See Appellant's Exhibit #1-throughout.

In review, based on the clinical judgment of the state reviewing physicians and the credible testimony of Department witness **1**, I find that the Appellant has failed to preponderate her burden of proof. The necessary, [but omitted] information concerned a weaning program which was never reported to MDCH. See Department's Exhibit A, at pages 24, 39, 42 and 46.

¹ This edition of the MPM is identical to the version in place at the time of the Appellant's appeal.

Docket No. 2010-11973 PHR Decision & Order

The Department's decision to deny PA, based on the information submitted by and today's record was sufficient to justify denial of PA for Suboxone.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly denied the Appellant's PA request for Suboxone.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Dale Malewska Administrative Law Judge for Janet Olszewski, Director Michigan Department of Community Health



Date Mailed: 3/4/2010

*** NOTICE ***

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.