## STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

# ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant,

Reg No: 2010-10974

3002

Issue No:

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: January 7, 2010

**Ingham County DHS** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Steven M. Brown

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from on January 7, 2010.

### **ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly computed the Claimant's Food Assistance
Program (FAP) allotment?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) Claimant is a FAP recipient.

- (2) On November 13, 2009, the Department received Claimant's Redetermination and all required verifications. (Exhibits 1-15)
- (3) On On November 13, 2009, the Department completed a FAP budget which resulted in a monthly FAP allotment of due to excess income. (Exhibits 16-19)
- (4) On November 13, 2009, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action which explained Claimant's FAP benefits had been cancelled effective December 1, 2009. (Exhibits 20-21)
- (5) On November 18, 2009, the Department received the Claimant's hearing request protesting the amount of her FAP allotment and "medicaid for ". (Exhibit 22)

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

For FAP purposes, all earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for remuneration or profit.

Unearned income means ALL income that is not earned and includes FIP, RSDI, SSI and

UB. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500

The Department determines a client's eligibility for program benefits based on the client's actual income and/or prospective income. Actual income is income that was already received. Prospective income is income not yet received but expected.

Prospective budgeting is the best estimate of the client's future income. BEM 505

All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the client is paid weekly, the Department multiplies the average weekly amount by 4.3. If the client is paid every other week, the Department multiplies the average bi-weekly amount by 2.15.

BEM 505

In the instant case, Claimant agreed that the Department used the correct income and expense figures in completing the FAP Budget and had no issue with the termination of her FAP benefits. She also stated that the Medicaid issue had been resolved. Claimant, however, also filed a hearing request regarding a Department request for repayment based on an overissuance of FAP benefits due to agency error.

With the above said, based on the testimony and documentation offered at hearing, I find that the Department established that it acted in accordance with policy in computing Claimant's FAP allotment.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department acted in accordance with policy in computing Claimant's FAP allotment. The Department will schedule a hearing based on Claimant's request for hearing in regard to the overissuance issue.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED, it is SO ORDERED.

/s/

Steven M. Brown Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 12, 2010

Date Mailed: January 13, 2010

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SMB/db

