STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant.

Reg No: 2010-10129

Issue No: 3020

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date:
January 12, 2010
Parrian County DH

Berrien County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Steven M. Brown

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from on January 12, 2010.

ISSUE

Whether the Department made an error in computing Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits which resulted in an overissuance to Claimant?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant is a FAP recipient
- (2) On November 6, 2009, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Overissuance, DHS-4358A, which explained that she was overissued benefits in the

amount of from 05/01/09 to 06/30/09 due to Agency Error – "After reviewing the case for the hearing, was not budget for 5/09 and 6/09". (Exhibit 24)

- On November 6, 2009, the Department also mailed Claimant an Overissuance Summary, DHS-4358C, which "explains" how the overissuance was determined. The months listed are 05/01/2009 05/31/2009 and 06/01/2009 06/30/2009. The Amount Issued is listed as for each month, the Correct Issuance as for each month and the Amount Overissued as for each month.

 (Exhibit 27)
- (4) The Department only budgeted

) for countable unearned income for the months of May and

 June 2009. (Exhibit 8)
- (5) Claimant received continuously from October 2008 through October 2009. (Exhibit18)
- (6) On November 6, 2009, the Department budgeted

 average of 3 months (July, August, September 2009)
 and from) for countable unearned income

 for the month of November 2009. (Exhibits 16-21)
- (7) On November 13, 2009, the Department received Claimant's hearing request, DHS-4358-D. (Exhibit 1)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).

The Department of Human Services (DHS or department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

An overissuance is the amount of benefits issued to the client group or CDC provider in excess of what they were eligible to receive. BAM 705, p.5 The amount of the overissuance is the amount of benefits the group or provider actually received minus the amount the group was eligible to receive. BAM 720, p.6 When a client group receives more benefits than they are entitled to receive, DHS must attempt to recoup the overissuance (OI). BAM, p.1

Agency errors are caused by incorrect actions by DHS. BAM 705, p.1 Agency error overissuances are not pursued if the estimated overissuance is less than \$500 per program. BAM 700, p.6 Client errors occur when the customer gave incorrect or incomplete information to the Department. Client errors are not established if the overissuance is less than \$125 unless the client group is active for the overissuance program or the overissuance is a result of a QC audit finding. BAM 700, p. 4, 5

In the instant case, it appears that the Department failed to budget income during the period of 05/01/2009 to 6/30/2009 as a result of agency error.

However, while the Department offered the "actual" budget during this period to show that was not included, it did not offer "corrected" budgets to show what the correct benefit amount would have been had the proper amounts of been included for each of the months in question. All that was offered was the Overissuance Summary which only lists the Amount Issued, Correct Issuance and Amount Overissued

2010-10129/smb

without any calculation(s). This document, in and of itself, is not enough to establish the

amount of the overissuance in this case. The undersigned has no way of knowing whether

the Department correctly calculated the "Correct issuance".

With the above said, based on the testimony and documentation reviewed before

and after the hearing, I do not find that that the Department established that it acted in

accordance with policy. Specifically, it did not establish the amount of the overissuance

of FAP benefits to Claimant.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and

conclusions of law, does not find that the Department established the amount of the

overissuance of FAP benefits to Claimant.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP eligibility determination is REVERSED, it is

SO ORDERED.

Steven M. Brown

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 21, 2010

Date Mailed: January 22, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or Decision and Order. reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be

implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

4

2010-10129/smb

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SMB/db

