

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],  
Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-9767  
Issue No: 2009; 4031  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Load No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date:  
May 21, 2009  
Ingham County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on May 21, 2009. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Claimant was represented at the hearing by [REDACTED]

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) On May 9, 2008, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits as well as retroactive Medical Assistance benefits for the months of February to April 2008, alleging disability.

(2) On October 31, 2008, the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant could perform other work pursuant to Medical-Vocational Rule 202.17.

(3) On November 8, 2008, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that his application was denied.

(4) On December 2, 2008, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

(5) On January 20, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating in its analysis and recommendation: The limitations given on the 49 form are based on the claimant's reported level of function and not on the objective evidence. The claimant has pain and decreased range of motion of the spine and mild weakness of the right leg. However, there is no other neurological abnormality noted. He is able to walk without assistance but occasionally used a cane. The claimant is also depressed but there is no evidence of a significant thought disorder and claimant's treating physician has given less than sedentary restrictions based on the claimant's physical impairments. However, this Medical Source Opinion (MSO) is inconsistent with the great weight of the objective medical evidence and per 20 CFR 416.927(c)(2)(3)(4) and 20 CFR 416.927(d)(3)(4)(5), will not be given controlling weight. The collective objective medical evidence shows that the claimant is capable of performing simple unskilled light work. The claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of simple unskilled light work. In lieu of detailed work history, the claimant will be returned to other work. Therefore, based upon the claimant's vocational profile of a younger individual, limited education and unknown work history, MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 202.17 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case

and is also denied. SDA is denied per PEM 261 because the nature and severity of the claimant's impairments would not preclude work activity at the above stated level for 90 days.

(6) Claimant is a 44-year-old man whose birth date is [REDACTED]. Claimant is 5' 9-1/2 " tall and weighs 240 pounds. Claimant attended the 8<sup>th</sup> grade and has no GED. Claimant was in special education in math. Claimant is able to read and write and does have basic math skills in that he can add and subtract and count money.

(7) Claimant last worked 2006 as a house painter. Claimant has worked as a laborer in a lumberyard and in a warehouse.

(8) Claimant alleges as disabling impairments: degenerative disc disease, cervical disc disease, depression, right thigh numbness, lower back pain and right shoulder pain as well as hands which fall asleep and numbness spreading to knees and other side of his back.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include –

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);

- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms)... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since 2006. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The objective medical evidence on the record indicates that a DHS-49 form dated [REDACTED] showed the claimant had decreased range of motion (ROM) of the cervical and lumbosacral spine due to pain. Straight leg raise (SLR) was positive bilaterally sitting in supine. Strength was 5/5 in the upper extremities and left leg. Strength was 4/5 in the right leg. His affect was flat and mood was depressed. (Page 22) The doctor indicated the claimant could never lift any weight, could not stand/walk even two hours per claimant report. (Page 23) A mental status examination dated [REDACTED] showed the claimant did not exhibit evidence of a logical, bizarre or circumstantial ideation. His thought processes were well organized. He was also somewhat depressed and he demonstrated a flat affect. (Page 6) A MRI of the lumbar spine conducted [REDACTED] indicated that at L5-S1 there was moderate facet arthropathy. No extruded disc was seen. No evidence of nerve root impingement was seen. There was no extruded disc or central canal stenosis. At L4-5 there was mild disc bulging. This included peripheral protrusion at the right neural foramen causing moderate right neural foraminal narrowing. There was an element of annular fissure formation contributing to this. There was mild left neural foraminal narrowing related to bulging. There was no extruded disc. There was moderate recess narrowing. The impression was disc disease primarily affecting the neural foramina. This was seen especially on the right at L2-3 and L4-5. There was a relatively large extrusion on the right at L2-3 which was new since the prior examination. (Pages 13 and 14) A psychological examination of [REDACTED] indicates that claimant was oriented to time, place and person. He could recall seven digits forward and four digits backward. He could recall one out of three objects after a three-minute time lapse. He knew his birthday and could correctly spell his name

and could correctly name four recent past presidents. Claimant exhibited low average capabilities for general fund of information. He could correctly name five large cities, five currently famous people and two current events. Claimant could not complete Serial 7's. He was able to complete the first two numbers in the sequence correctly, but then lost track of the sequence. Claimant exhibited average capabilities for abstract thinking. He stated that the proverb, "the grass is greener on the other side of the fence" meant "life is better on the other side of the fence". He stated that the proverb, "don't cry over spilled milk" meant "don't worry about petty stuff." Claimant indicated that a bush and a tree are alike in that they are both green. He indicated that they were different in size. In judgment, claimant exhibited average capabilities for social judgment and comprehension. He stated that if he found a stamped, addressed envelope in the street he would mail it. He stated that if he were the first person in a theater to discover the fire, he would jump up and yell fire and tell everybody to get out. Claimant stated he wouldn't want to see anybody get hurt. Claimant's current GAF was 56 and his prognosis was guarded and that he might benefit from outpatient psychological treatment to address his depressive symptoms.

(Pages 4-7)

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that he has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. There is no objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. There is no medical finding that claimant has any muscle atrophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is consistent with a deteriorating condition. In short, claimant has restricted himself from tasks associated with occupational functioning based upon his reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that claimant has

met the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the medical record is insufficient to establish that claimant has a severely restrictive physical impairment. There is insufficient objective medical/psychiatric evidence in the record indicating claimant suffers mental limitations resulting from his reportedly depressed state. There is no mental residual functional capacity assessment in the record. Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant testified that he is depressed because he needs pain therapy and he used to be very active.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny claimant again at Step 4 based upon the idea that claimant could probably still work as a laborer in a lumberyard or in a warehouse. Claimant should be able to perform his prior work even with his physical impairments. Therefore, if claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, he would again be denied at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work.** Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work.** Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Claimant has submitted no evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior employment or that he is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of him. Claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and he should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. The claimant's testimony as his limitations indicates that he should be able to

perform light or sedentary work. Claimant testified that he continues to smoke despite the fact that his doctor has told him to quit. Claimant testified that he is trying to quit.

If an individual fails to follow prescribed treatment which would be expected to restore their ability to engage in substantial gainful activity without good cause, there will not be a finding of disability.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(iv).

Claimant is not in compliance with his treatment program as he does continue to smoke despite the fact that his doctor has suggested that he quit. Claimant testified that he has tried to work everywhere with little success. Claimant testified on the record that he can walk a half a block, stand for 5-10 minutes at a time and sit for 15-20 minutes at a time. Claimant testified that he is able to shower and dress himself and can tie his shoes some days. Claimant testified that the heaviest weight he can carry is 2-3 pounds and that he is right-handed and has some numbness in his hands and arms. Claimant testified that his level of pain on a scale from 1 to 10 without medication is 6 and with medication is a 4. Claimant testified that his feet hurt and are discolored from the diabetes.

Claimant's complaints of pain, while profound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to claimant's ability to perform work. There is insufficient objective medical/psychiatric evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that he has not established by objective medical evidence that he cannot perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Under the Medical-Vocational a younger individual (age 44), with a less than high school education and an unskilled work history who is limited to light work is not considered disabled.

The department's Program Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person or age 65 or older. PEM, Item 261, page 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with his impairments. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
Landis Y. Lain  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 29, 2009

Date Mailed: June 30, 2009

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/vmc

cc:

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the names of the recipients listed in the 'cc:' field. The redaction is complete, obscuring all text in this section.