

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

████████████████████
Appellant

_____ /

**Docket No. 2009-9039MCE
Case No. ██████████
Load ██████████**

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Appellant's request for a hearing appealing the Department's denial of exception from Medicaid Managed Care Program enrollment.

After due notice, a hearing was held on ██████████. The Appellant appeared without representation. He had no witnesses. ██████████, represented the Department. His witness was ██████████.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Appellant's request for exception from Managed Care Program enrollment?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. At the time of hearing the Appellant is a ██████-year-old, Medicaid beneficiary. (Appellant's Exhibit #1)
2. The Appellant resides in ██████████ and is in that population subject to mandatory MHP enrollment. (Department's Exhibit A, p. 4)
3. The Appellant is afflicted with reactive airway disease. (Department's Exhibit A, p. 8)

Hearing Decision & Order

4. Other “medical issues” are under investigation according to an unsigned letter from a care provider at the University of Michigan Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine. (Department’s Exhibit A, p. 9)
5. On ██████████, the Department received the Appellant’s request for medical exception. The requests were forwarded to the Enrollment Services Division for evaluation. (Department’s Exhibit A, p. 4)
6. On ██████████, the Appellant’s request was denied because the Appellant’s physician is a participating provider in at least one of the MHP available to the Appellant and because the medical data submitted for review did not present a frequent and active treatment regiment. (Department’s Exhibit A, pp. 4, 8, 11, 14)
7. On ██████████, the Appellant was notified, in writing, that his request was denied. He was also advised of his further appeal rights. (Department’s Exhibit A, pp. 11, 12)
8. On ██████████, SOAHR received the instant request for hearing from the Appellant, ██████████. (Department’s Exhibit A, pp. 6, 7)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

On May 30, 1997, the Department was notified of the Health Care Financing Administration’s approval of its request for a waiver of certain portions of the Social Security Act to restrict Medicaid beneficiaries’ choice to obtain medical services only from specified Qualified Health Plans.

Michigan Public Act 246 of 2008 states, in relevant part:

Sec. 1650 (3) The criteria for medical exceptions to HMO enrollment shall be based on submitted documentation that indicates a recipient has a serious medical condition, and is undergoing active treatment for that condition with a physician who does not participate in 1 of the HMOs. If the person meets the criteria established by this subsection, the department shall grant an exception to managed care enrollment at least through the current prescribed course of treatment, subject to periodic review of continued eligibility.

MDCH Medicaid Provider Manual, Beneficiary Eligibility Section, October 1, 2008, pages 23, 24, states:

The intent of a medical exception is to preserve continuity of medical care for a beneficiary who is receiving active treatment for a serious medical condition from an attending physician (MD or DO) who would not be available to the beneficiary if the beneficiary was enrolled in a MHP. The medical exception may be granted on a time-limited basis necessary to complete treatment for the serious condition. The medical exception process is available only to a beneficiary who is not yet enrolled in a MHP, or who has been enrolled for less than two months. MHP enrollment would be delayed until one of the following occurs:

- the attending physician completes the current ongoing plan of medical treatment for the patient's serious medical condition, or
- the condition stabilizes and becomes chronic in nature, or
- the physician becomes available to the beneficiary through enrollment in a MHP.

If the treating physician can provide service through a MHP that the beneficiary can be enrolled in, then there is no basis for a medical exception to managed care enrollment.

If a beneficiary is enrolled in a MHP, and develops a serious medical condition after enrollment, the medical exception does not apply. The beneficiary should establish relationships with providers within the plan network who can appropriately treat the serious medical condition.

Serious Medical Condition

Grave, complex, or life threatening

Manifests symptoms needing timely intervention to prevent complications or permanent impairment.

An acute exacerbation of a chronic condition may be considered serious for the purpose of medical exception.

Chronic Medical Condition

Relatively stable

Requires long term management

The Appellant said he needs to treat with his specialist at the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] owing to the severity of his respiratory condition.

On review, I gave the testimony of Department witness [REDACTED] controlling weight. She clearly explained that the Appellant failed to qualify for exception and that appropriate treatment could be received within the MHP, including specialty services on referral, if necessary.

The Appellant failed to preponderate his burden of proof by proving active (monthly or greater) treatment, for a serious condition from a non-participating physician. See Testimony and Department's Exhibit A at page 8.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly denied the Appellant's request for exception from managed care.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Dale Malewska
Administrative Law Judge
for Janet Olszewski, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc: [REDACTED]

Date Mailed: 3/12/2009

***** NOTICE *****

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

[REDACTED]

Docket No. 2009-9039
Hearing Decision & Order