

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES  
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909  
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████

Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Docket No. 2009-6456 QHP  
Case No. ██████████  
Load No. ██████████

**DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.* upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on ██████████. ██████████ (Appellant) appeared and testified on her own behalf. ██████████, represented ██████████, the Medicaid Health Plan (MHP). ██████████; and ██████████, testified as witnesses for the MHP.

**ISSUE**

Did the Medicaid Health Plan properly deny Appellant's request for Bariatric surgery?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Appellant is a Medicaid beneficiary who was enrolled in ██████████ (the MHP) at all times relevant to this matter.
2. The Appellant is a ██████████ year-old female with a reported height of 67.25 inches, weight of 303.2 pounds, and BMI of 47.2, effective ██████████. (Exhibit 1, p. 8)

3. On or about ██████████, the MHP received a faxed request from ██████████, requesting that Appellant be approved for Bariatric surgery. (Exhibit 1, p. 9/Exhibit A)
4. The MHP received medical documentation, stating that Appellant has shortness of breath and joint pain, and she was diagnosed with obesity. (Exhibit 1, pp. 10 & 11/Exhibit A)
5. On ██████████, the MHP sent Appellant a letter, stating that the request for Bariatric surgery was denied because she did not meet the criteria for the surgery. (Exhibit , p. 20/Exhibit B)
6. After receiving the denial notice, Appellant filed a grievance with the MHP. (Exhibit 1, p. 23/Exhibit C)
7. On ██████████, the State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules received Appellant's request for Administrative Hearing, protesting the denial
8. The MHP Appeals Committee reviewed Appellant's request for coverage of Bariatric surgery and determined that she did not meet the criteria for the surgery due to not having any life-threatening medical conditions that are not being controlled with medication. (Exhibit 1, p. 28/Exhibit F)
9. On ██████████, the MHP sent notice of the Appeals Committee's decision to Appellant. (Exhibit 1, p. 28/Exhibit F)

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

On May 30, 1997, the Department received approval from the Health Care Financing Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, allowing Michigan to restrict Medicaid beneficiaries' choice to obtain medical services only from specified Medicaid Health Plans.

The Respondent is one of those Medicaid Health Plans.

The covered services that the Contractor has available for enrollees must include, at a minimum, the covered services listed below (List omitted by Administrative Law Judge). The Contractor may limit services to those which are medically necessary and appropriate, and which conform to professionally accepted standards of care. Contractors must operate consistent with all applicable Medicaid provider manuals and publications for coverages and limitations. If new services are added to the Michigan Medicaid Program, or if services are expanded, eliminated, or otherwise changed, the Contractor must implement the changes consistent with State direction in accordance with the provisions of Contract Section 1-Z.

*Article II-G, Scope of Comprehensive Benefit Package.  
MDCH contract (Contract) with the Medicaid Health Plans,  
September 30, 2004.*

The major components of the Contractor's utilization management plan must encompass, at a minimum, the following:

- Written policies with review decision criteria and procedures that conform to managed health care industry standards and processes.
- A formal utilization review committee directed by the Contractor's medical director to oversee the utilization review process.
- Sufficient resources to regularly review the effectiveness of the utilization review process and to make changes to the process as needed.
- An annual review and reporting of utilization review activities and outcomes/interventions from the review.

The Contractor must establish and use a written prior approval policy and procedure for utilization management purposes. The Contractor may not use such policies and procedures to avoid providing medically necessary services within the coverages established under the Contract. The policy must ensure that the review criteria for authorization decisions are applied consistently and require that the reviewer consult with the requesting provider when appropriate. The policy must also require that utilization management decisions be made by a health care

professional who has appropriate clinical expertise regarding the service under review.

*Article II-P, Utilization Management, Contract,  
September 30, 2004.*

The MHP representative testified that its Bariatric surgery policy is consistent with Medicaid policy. Medicaid policy covers treatment of obesity if it is posing life-threatening co-morbidities and other conservative methods of weight control have been tried and failed. The Medicaid policy is as follows:

#### **4.22 WEIGHT REDUCTION**


Medicaid covers treatment of obesity when done for the purpose of controlling life-endangering complications, such as hypertension and diabetes. If conservative measures to control weight and manage the complications have failed, other weight reduction efforts may be approved. The physician must obtain PA for this service. Medicaid does not cover treatment specifically for obesity or weight reduction and maintenance alone.

The request for PA must include the medical history, past and current treatment and results, complications encountered, all weight control methods that have been tried and have failed, and expected benefits or prognosis for the method being requested. If surgical intervention is desired, a psychiatric evaluation of the beneficiary's willingness/ability to alter his lifestyle following surgical intervention must be included.

If the request is approved, the physician receives an authorization letter for the service. A copy of the letter must be supplied to any other provider, such as a hospital, that is involved in providing care to the beneficiary.

*DCH Medicaid Provider Manual, Practitioner Section,  
4.22 Weight Reduction, July 1, 2008*

An analysis of the MHP's criteria for bariatric surgery appears to be consistent with the Medicaid policy listed above. The MHP submitted a copy of its Utilization Guidelines for Bariatric Surgery which state that the surgery will be approved for a person at least 18 years of age and diagnosed with morbid obesity if all of the listed criteria are met, including: a BMI equal to or greater than 40 with co-morbidities such as hypertension inadequately controlled with optimal conventional treatment; poorly controlled diabetes mellitus; breathing insufficiency related to obesity with a pCO<sub>2</sub>(blood gas reading) greater or equal to 50 or pO<sub>2</sub> less than or equal to 55; uncontrolled hyperlipidemia not

  
Docket No. 2009-6456  
Decision and Order

amenable to optimal conventional treatment; severe cardio-pulmonary condition such as congestive heart failure; and symptomatic sleep apnea not controlled by C-PAP. (Exhibit 1/Exhibit H)

Appellant stated that her weight is “life endangering in itself”, and she feels that the requested surgery will improve her quality of life. Appellant admits that she does not have “life endangering symptoms.” Appellant did submit medical documentation to establish that she has shortness of breath, joint pain, and she was diagnosed with obesity.

In this case, the Department properly denied Appellant’s request for Bariatric surgery. Appellant failed to provide the necessary medical documentation needed to establish that she meets the medical necessity criteria for the surgery. Appellant failed to provide any evidence to establish that she has obesity co-morbidities or she is experiencing life-endangering complications due to her medical condition. Further, there’s no evidence on the record to establish that Appellant’s shortness of breath and joint pain cannot be controlled with prescribed medical treatment or medication. Accordingly, the MHP’s eligibility determination must be upheld.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Medicaid Health Plan properly denied Appellant’s request for Bariatric surgery.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that:


The Medicaid Health Plan’s decision is **AFFIRMED**.

---

Marya A. Nelson-Davis  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Janet Olszewski, Director  
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc: 

Date Mailed: 3/10/2009

  
Docket No. 2009-6456  
Decision and Order

**\*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\***

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.