

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],  
Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-5874  
Issue No: 1021  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Load No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date:  
February 2, 2008  
Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Tyra L. Wright

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on February 2, 2009. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) Claimant applied for FIP benefits for himself and two children on October 17, 2008.

(2) The Department informed Claimant that his participation in the Jobs, Education and Training Program (Jet) was mandatory.

(3) Claimant signed the DHS-1538 form, Work and/or Self-Sufficiency Rules for Cash Recipients, on November 6, 2008. The form notified claimant of his JET requirements, including that he “must attend JET within 20 days of this notice.” (Exhibit 2).

(4) On November 17, 2008, the Department sent Claimant a JET appointment notice, DHS-4785, stating that his JET appointment was November 26, 2008. (Exhibit 3).

(5) Claimant did not attend the JET appointment. Claimant asserted that he accidentally missed the JET appointment because he got it confused with another appointment at the Friend of the Court.

(6) On December 1, 2008, the Department sent Claimant an Application Eligibility Notice, DHS-1150, to inform him that his application had been denied due to failure to attend the JET program by November 26, 2008.

(7) Claimant disagreed with the Department’s determination to deny his application on the grounds that he had gotten the appointment confused with another unrelated appointment.

(8) The Department received the hearing request on December 4, 2008. (Exhibit 3).

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies for FIP are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

## **DEPARTMENT POLICY**

### **FIP, RAP Cash**

Federal and State laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP and RAP group to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. (PEM 230A, pg. 1)

## **NONCOMPLIANCE**

### **PENALTIES AT APPLICATION**

Noncompliance by a WEI while the application is pending results in **group** ineligibility. (PEM 233A, pg 5).

Under PEM 233A, a good cause determination is not required for applicants who are non-compliant prior to opening a FIP case. In this case, Claimant was an applicant. He did not report to JET because of a mistake he made regarding the date of his appointment. However, under PEM 233A, the Department is not required to make a good cause determination before denying benefits to an applicant. Therefore, the Department properly denied Claimant's application even though he failed to attend his JET appointment due to his mistake. Consequently, Claimant must reapply for FIP benefits and attend his scheduled JET appointment in order to be considered for benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly denied Claimant's application for FIP benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's action is AFFIRMED.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
Tyra L. Wright  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 6, 2009

Date Mailed: February 27, 2009

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

TLW

cc:

A large black rectangular redaction box covering several lines of text in the cc field.