


STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES


IN THE MATTER OF:




Claimant

Reg. No.: 2009-3870

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.: 

Load No.: 

Hearing Date:

March 12, 2009

Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; MSA 16.409 and MCL 400.37; MSA 16.437 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice a telephone hearing was held on March 12, 2009. The Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate the Claimant's Food Assistance (FAP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The claimant was a FAP recipient.

2. On August 20, 2008, the department received new income information with information about the claimant. (Department exhibit 1).
3. On September 17, 2008, the department and ran a new FAP budget and found the claimant ineligible. (Department exhibit 3).
4. On September 22, 2008, the Claimant filed a request for a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Claimant does question the accuracy of the income figures used in the budget prepared by the Department. The claimant testified that the employment income ended on September 23, 2008.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24 278(2) In the instant case, the parties reached an accord. The Department agreed to accept the new information about the Claimant's income and refigure the Claimant's FAP budgets.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, REVERSES AND ORDERS the Department to accept the new documentation about the Claimant's income, refigure the Claimant's FAP budget and replace lost benefits back to October 1, 2008, if applicable.

/s/

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 04/01/09

Date Mailed: 04/01/09

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MJB/jlg

cc:

