

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES  
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909  
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████

Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Docket No. 2009-35663HHS

Case No. ██████████

**DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, following the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on ██████████. ██████████ appeared as Authorized Representative for ██████████ (Appellant).

██████████, Appeals Review Officer, represented the Department of Community Health (Department). Also appearing as a witness for the Department was ██████████, Adult Services Worker, ██████████).

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly reduce the Appellant's adult home help services entitlement?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence presented, I find, as material fact:

1. Appellant is a Medicaid beneficiary. Her medical diagnoses include hypertension, neck pain, back pain, bilateral knee pain, osteoporosis, dizziness, neuropathy and arthritis of the knees. (*Exhibit 1; p. 13*)

2. On ██████████, a ██████████ adult services worker sent the Appellant an Advance Negative Action Notice informing her that home help services payments were being reduced in several areas based on the results of an ██████████, in-home assessment. Present at the assessment were the Appellant and her daughter-in-law. The Appellant's chore provider was not present at the outset of the assessment, but arrived as the assessment was ending. The chore provider told the adult services worker that the daughter-in-law knew the Appellant well and that the answers she provided the adult services regarding the Appellant's condition was sufficient as a result.
3. The tasks of grooming and bathing were reduced from 7 days per week to 5 days per week, after the ██████████ told the adult services worker that the Appellant was provided a bath every other day.
4. Mobility and transferring were reduced to 1 day per week, because, during the assessment, the adult services worker observed the Appellant rising from her chair to answer the telephone without the assistance of either a cane or walker. This task was previously set at 7 days per week.
5. Meal preparation was reduced from 25 minutes per day to 15 minutes per day, 7 days per week to reflect proration. The Appellant lives with her ██████████ who is also her chore provider, reflecting a household size of 2. Meal preparation was reduced to reflect the prorated household size of 2, and because the ██████████ told the adult services worker the Appellant is able to prepare simple meals for her chore provider to finish once she arrives home.
6. Toileting was reduced from 7 days to 2 days per week, after the ██████████ told the adult services worker the Appellant is able to use the toilet independently with some supervision.
7. On ██████████ the Appellant filed her Request for Hearing with the State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules for the Department of Community Health.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

**COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT** The Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment (DHS-324) is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment will be completed on all open cases, whether a home help payment will be made or not. ASCAP, the automated workload management system provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information will be entered on the computer program.

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with the client in his/her place of residence.
- An interview must be conducted with the caregiver, if applicable.
- Observe a copy of the client's social security card.
- Observe a picture I.D. of the caregiver, if applicable.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six month review and annual re-determination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.
- Follow specialized rules of confidentiality when ILS cases have companion APS cases.

### **Functional Assessment**

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment. Conduct a functional assessment to determine the client's ability to perform the following activities:

#### Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.
- Grooming.
- Dressing.
- Transferring.
- Mobility.

#### Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication
- Meal preparation and cleanup.

- Shopping.
- Laundry.
- Light housework.

Functional Scale ADL's and IADL's are assessed according to the following five point scale:

1. Independent: Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.
2. Verbal assistance: Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.
3. Some human assistance: Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.
4. Much human assistance: Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.
5. Dependent: Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

**Note:** HHS payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level or greater.

**Time and Task** The worker will allocate time for each task assessed a rank of 3 or higher, based on interviews with the client and provider, observation of the client's abilities and use of the reasonable time schedule (RTS) as a guide. The RTS can be found in **ASCAP** under the **Payment** module, Time and Task screen. When hours exceed the RTS rationale must be provided.

#### IADL Maximum Allowable Hours

There are monthly maximum hour limits on all IADLs except medication.

The limits are as follows:

- Five hours/month for shopping.
- Six hours/month for light housework.
- Seven hours/month for laundry.
- 25 hours/month for meal preparation.

These are **maximums**; as always, if the client needs fewer hours, that is what must be authorized. Hours should continue to be prorated in shared living arrangements if there is a need for expanded hours, a request should be submitted to:

MDCH  
Attn: Long Term Care, Systems Development Section  
Capitol Commons, 6th Floor, Lansing, MI 48909

### **Necessity for Service**

The adult services worker is responsible for determining the necessity and level of need for HHS based on:

- Client choice.
- A complete comprehensive assessment and determination of the client's need for personal care services.
- Verification of the client's medical need by a Medicaid enrolled medical professional. The client is responsible for obtaining the medical certification of need. The Medicaid provider identification number must be entered on the form by the medical provider.

The Medical Needs form must be signed and dated by one of the following medical professionals:

- Physician.
- Nurse practitioner.
- Occupational therapist.
- Physical therapist.

**Exception:** DCH will accept a DHS-54A completed by a VA physician or the VA medical form in lieu of the medical needs form.

The medical professional certifies that the client's need for service is related to an existing medical condition. The medical professional does not prescribe or authorize personal care services. If the medical needs form has not been returned, the adult services worker should follow-up with the client and/or medical professional. If the case is closed and reopened within 90 days with no changes in the client's condition, a new DHS-54A is not necessary.

Do **not** authorize HHS prior to the date of the medical professional signature on the DHS-54A.

*STATE OF MICHIGAN*  
*ADULT SERVICES MANUAL (ASM) 363; PAGES 3 through 9 of 24*  
*INDEPENDENT LIVING SERVICES PROGRAM PROCEDURES*  
*DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES*  
*ASB 2008-002*  
*9-1-2008*

A Medicaid beneficiary bears the burden of proving he or she was denied a medically necessary and appropriate service. See, e.g., *J.K By and Through R.K. v Dillenberg*, 836 F Supp 694, 700 (Ariz, 1993). Whether the Appellant satisfied that burden here must be determined in accord with the preponderance of the evidence standard. See, e.g., *Aquilina v General Motors Corp*, 403 Mich 206, 210; 267 NW2d 923 (1978).

Regarding an appeal filed with the State Office of Administrative Hearing and Rules for the Department of Community Health, the Administrative Law Judge is given ultimate discretion to determine the weight and credibility of the evidence presented. *Wiley v Henry Ford Cottage Hosp*, 257 Mich App 488, 491; 668 NW2d 402 (2003); *Zeeland Farm Services, Inc v JBL Enterprises, Inc*, 219 Mich App 190, 195; 555 NW2d 733 (1996) (the fact finder is provided with the unique opportunity to observe or listen to witnesses; and, it is the fact finder's responsibility to determine the credibility and weight of the testimony and other evidence provided).


The Department's witness credibly testified the basis for her reductions were based entirely on her observations of the Appellant's physical abilities and the information supplied her by the Appellant's ██████████. The Department's witness also credibly testified that, because the chore provider arrived only as the assessment was ending, she made sure to inquire of the chore provider whether she could reasonably rely on the ██████████ representations, and that the chore provider told her she could because "...the ██████████ was familiar with the Appellant's conditions."

At hearing, the chore provider now claims the ██████████ knows less than she does because she does not live with the Appellant. She acknowledges telling the adult services worker she could rely on the ██████████ statements related to the Appellant's medical conditions, but claims she did not know at that time the ██████████ provided faulty information. While that may be true, the adult services worker relied on that information, which formed the basis for the reductions at that time.

Based on a preponderance of the evidence presented, I conclude the adult services worker properly reduced services based on the information available to her at the time of the ██████████ assessment.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, I decide that the Department properly reduced the Appellant's Adult Home Help Services payments.

  
Docket No. 2009-35663 HHS  
Decision and Order

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that:

The Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

---

Stephen B. Goldstein  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Janet Olszewski, Director  
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:



Date Mailed: 12/1/2009

**\*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\***

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules for the Department of Community Health may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules for the Department of Community Health will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 60 days of the mailing date of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 60 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.