

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Claimant,

Reg No: 2009-32636

Issue No: 3008

Case No: [REDACTED]

Load No: [REDACTED]

Hearing Date:

September 10, 2009

Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Steven M. Brown

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from [REDACTED] on September 10, 2009.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly terminated Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case based upon his failure to provide requested verification(s)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) On May 20, 2009, the Department mailed Claimant a Redetermination, DHS-1010, with a due date of June 2, 2009. The Redetermination states in pertinent part – "If you do NOT return this form and all of the required proofs by the due date, your benefits may be cancelled or reduced." (Exhibit 1)

(2) On May 26, 2009, the Department received a completed Redetermination from Claimant. Claimant reported earned income, but did not provide any proof of income.

(3) On June 17, 2009, the Department mailed Claimant a Verification Checklist, DHS-3503, with a due date of June 29, 2009 requesting “the last 30 days of check stubs or earnings statements.” (Exhibit 2)

(4) On June 26, 2009, the Department received earnings statements from Claimant dated 6/12/09, 6/19/09 and 6/26/09. (Exhibit 3)

(5) On July 9, 2009, the Department received Claimant’s hearing request protesting the cancellation of his FAP benefits.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department), administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes the completion of necessary forms. BAM 105, p. 5 Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client’s verbal or

written statements. BAM 130, p.1 Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level when it is required by policy, required as local office option or information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete or contradictory. BAM 130, p.1 The Department uses documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. BAM 130, p.1 A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization or agency to verify information from the client. BAM 130, p. 2 When documentation is not available, or clarification is needed, collateral contact may be necessary. BAM 130, p. 2

Clients are allowed 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the verifications requested by the Department. BAM 130, p. 4 If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit should be extended no more than once. BAM 130, p. 4 A negative action notice should be sent when the client indicates a refusal to provide the verification or the time period provided has lapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130, p.4

In the instant case, Claimant failed to provide any proof of income with his Redetermination and then failed to provide the last 30 days of proof of income in response to the Verification Checklist. With that said, I find that the Department established that it acted in accordance with policy in terminating Claimant's FAP case based on his failure to provide requested verifications.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department acted in accordance with policy in terminating Claimant's FAP case based on his failure to provide requested verifications.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED, it is  
SO ORDERED.

/S/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Steven M. Brown  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 14, 2009

Date Mailed: September 14, 2009

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SMB/db

cc:

