

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████ ██████████

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2009-32586

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.: ██████████

Load No.: ██████████

Hearing Date:

September 16, 2009

Macomb County DHS (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; MSA 16.409 and MCL 400.37; MSA 16.437 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 16, 2009. The Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On April 2, 2009, the claimant applied for FIP.
2. The claimant was required to participate in job related activities.
3. On April 6, 2009, the department sent the claimant a notice of and appointment at Jobs Education and Training (JET) for April 13, 2009. (Department exhibit A).
4. The claimant failed to attend JET as required.

5. On April 14, 2009, the department denied the claimant's FIP application. (Department exhibit B).
6. On April 17, 2009, the Claimant filed a request for a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the instant case, the Claimant failed to attend JET appointments and perform work related assignments.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELF-SUFFICIENCY-RELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.

Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing **any** of the following **without** good cause:

Failing or refusing to:

Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.

Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.

Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).

Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.

Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting.

Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.

Accept a job referral.

Complete a job application.

Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).

Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements. (PEM 233A, p. 1-2).

In the instant case, the Claimant did not report to JET as required. The claimant provided medical documentation for absence from “school” activities from March 30, 2009, through April 2, 2009. In addition the claimant’s request for a hearing mentions this same documentation.

At the hearing the claimant testified that she attempted to get her JET assignment switched to [REDACTED] because her living arrangements had changed. This is not mentioned in the claimant’s hearing request and the medical reason cited in the claimant’s evidence would have excused her twelve (12) days before her JET appointment not at the time of the appointment.

This ALJ finds that the claimant did not have good cause for her failure to attend JET and that the department correctly denied the claimant’s FIP due to the claimant’s lack of cooperation with work related activities.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, AFFIRMS the Department’s action in the instant case.



Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

2009-32586/MJB

Date Signed: 11/10/09

Date Mailed: 11/10/09

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MJB/jlg

cc:

