

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],  
Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-21919  
Issue No: 2006  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Load No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date:  
September 22, 2009  
Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 22, 2009.

ISSUE

Was noncompliance with timely verification requirements established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Negative action: Medicaid termination on February 18, 2009 based on noncompliance with timely verification requirements for PAM 220.
- (2) On January 8, 2009 a redetermination Verification Checklist was mailed to the claimant with a return due date of January 16, 2009.

(3) The DHS admitted it had problems in the past with receiving drop-box verifications timely due to a reduced staff.

(4) On January 15 or 16, 2009, the claimant dropped the requested verifications in the DHS Drop-Box; the verifications were processed to the caseworker with an intake receipt date by the clerk on February 4, 2009.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

The client might be unable to answer a question about himself or another person whose circumstances must be known. Allow the client at least 10 days (or other timeframe specified in policy) to obtain the needed information. PAM, Item 105, p. 5.

The claimant testified that she complied with timely verification requirements by submitting the requested verifications in a DHS Drop-Box on January 15 or 16, 2009.

The DHS procedure for distribution thereafter from drop-box to involve caseworker was unclear.

Also, the DHS representative testified that they had problems in the past with timely distribution, as long as a delay of a week, due to a reduced staff.

This ALJ is not persuaded that the DHS has established noncompliance with timely verification requirements by the preponderance of the evidence of record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that noncompliance with timely verification requirements was not established.

Accordingly, Medicaid termination is REVERSED and retroactive reinstatement of benefits is ORDERED.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
William A. Sundquist  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 19, 2009


Date Mailed: October 19, 2009

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/tg

cc:

A large black rectangular redaction box covering several lines of text in the cc: field.