

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

████████████████████,

Appellant

_____ /

Docket No. 2009-19254 QHP
Case No. ██████████
Load No. ██████████

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, following the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on ██████████. ██████████ appeared as Authorized Representative for her son, ██████████ (Appellant), who also appeared and testified on his own behalf.

████████████████████, appeared on behalf of ██████████ ('Medicaid Health Plan', or 'MHP'). Also appearing as witnesses for the MHP were ██████████, ██████████, and ██████████.

ISSUE

Did the Medicaid Health Plan properly deny Appellant's request for insulin pen administration (pre-filled syringes) in place of the traditional use of insulin with vials and insulin syringes?

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence presented, I find, as material fact:

1. Appellant is a Medicaid beneficiary, who has been enrolled with ██████████, a Medicaid health plan, since ██████████. His medical conditions include insulin-dependent diabetes. (*Exhibit 1, page 4*)
2. On ██████████, the Appellant's primary care physician, ██████████, requested prior authorization for humalog pens (insulin pen administration, using pre-filled syringes). The Appellant currently uses Novolin Insulin vials 70/30.

3. The justification provided the MHP for the request is that the Appellant attends college five days a week, and that he is unable to carry the vials with him in his backpack, because they must be kept refrigerated. On the MHP Pharmacy Prior Authorization Form, [REDACTED] makes the following comment: *"Pt is a young student, is in library and out of house; cannot refrigerate his insulin vial so ends up with high blood sugar. This is dangerous for his health; the pen device helps him carry it along for use. Please approve Novolog or Humalog."* (Exhibit 1; p. 4)
4. The MHP denied the Appellant's request, asserting that the insulin vials currently utilized may be kept at room temperature, if not exposed to extreme heat or out of direct sunlight for 28 days. Therefore, the request was deemed not medically necessary, but rather, for convenience only.
5. On [REDACTED], the Appellant filed his Request for Hearing with the State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules for the Department of Community Health.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

On May 30, 1997, the Department received approval from the Health Care Financing Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, allowing Michigan to restrict Medicaid beneficiaries' choice to obtain medical services only from specified Medicaid Health Plans.

The Respondent is one of those Medicaid Health Plans.

The covered services that the Contractor has available for enrollees must include, at a minimum, the covered services listed below (List omitted by Administrative Law Judge). The Contractor may limit services to those which are medically necessary and appropriate, and which conform to professionally accepted standards of care. Contractors must operate consistent with all applicable Medicaid provider manuals and publications for coverages and limitations. If new services are added to the Michigan Medicaid Program, or if services are expanded, eliminated, or otherwise changed, the Contractor must implement the changes consistent with State direction in accordance with the provisions of Contract Section 1-Z.

*Article II-G, Scope of Comprehensive Benefit Package. MDCH contract
(Contract) with the Medicaid Health Plans,
September 30, 2004.*

The major components of the Contractor's utilization management plan must encompass, at a minimum, the following:

- Written policies with review decision criteria and procedures that conform to managed health care industry standards and processes.
- A formal utilization review committee directed by the Contractor's medical director to oversee the utilization review process.
- Sufficient resources to regularly review the effectiveness of the utilization review process and to make changes to the process as needed.
- An annual review and reporting of utilization review activities and outcomes/interventions from the review.

The Contractor must establish and use a written prior approval policy and procedure for utilization management purposes. The Contractor may not use such policies and procedures to avoid providing medically necessary services within the coverages established under the Contract. The policy must ensure that the review criteria for authorization decisions are applied consistently and require that the reviewer consult with the requesting provider when appropriate. The policy must also require that utilization management decisions be made by a health care professional who has appropriate clinical expertise regarding the service under review.

*Article II-P, Utilization Management, Contract,
September 30, 2004*

With regard to medically necessary covered services, the Medicaid Provider Manual provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

1.5 MEDICAL NECESSITY

Services are covered if they are the most cost-effective treatment available and meet the Standards of Coverage stated in the Coverage Conditions and Requirements Section of this chapter.

A service is determined to be medically necessary if prescribed by a physician and it is:

- Within applicable federal and state laws, rules, regulations, and MDCH promulgated policies.

- Medically appropriate and necessary to treat a specific medical diagnosis or medical condition, or functional need.
- Within accepted medical standards; practice guidelines related to type, frequency, and duration of treatment; and within scope of current medical practice.
- Inappropriate to use a non-medical item.
- The most cost effective treatment available.

***Michigan Department of Community Health; Medicaid Provider Manual
Medical Supplier
Version Date: April 1, 2009***

1.10 NON-COVERED ITEMS

Items that are not covered by Medicaid include, but are not limited to:

- Adaptive equipment (e.g., rocker knife, swivel spoon, etc.)
- Air conditioner
- Air purifier
- Enteral formulae to accommodate psychological or behavioral conditions, food preferences, allergies, loss of appetite, or noncompliance with a specialized diet
- Environmental Control Units
- Equipment not used or not used properly by the beneficiary
- Exam tables/massage tables
- Exercise equipment (e.g., tricycles, exercise bikes, weights, mat/mat tables, etc.)
- Generators
- Hand/body wash
- Heating pads
- Home modifications
- Hot tubs
- House/room humidifier
- Ice packs
- *Items for a beneficiary who is non-compliant with a physician's plan of care (or) items ordered for the purpose of solving problems related to non-compliance (e.g., insulin pump) (Emphasis added by ALJ)*
- Items used solely for the purpose of restraining the beneficiary for behavioral or other reasons
- Lift chairs, reclining chairs, vibrating chairs
- More than one pair of shoes on the same date of service
- New equipment when current equipment can be modified to accommodate growth

- Nutritional formulae representing only a liquid form of food
- Nutritional puddings/bars
- Over-the-counter shoe inserts
- Peri-wash
- Portable oxygen, when oxygen is ordered to be used at night only
- Power tilt-in-space or reclining wheelchairs for a long-term care resident because there is limited staffing
- Pressure gradient garments for maternity-related edema
- Prosthetic appliances for a beneficiary with a potential functional level of K0
- Regular or dietetic foods (e.g., Slimfast, Carnation instant breakfast, etc.)
- Room dehumidifiers
- School Items (e.g., computers, writing aids, book holder, mouse emulator, etc.)
- Second units for school use
- Second wheelchair for beneficiary preference or convenience
- Sensory Devices (e.g., games, toys, etc.)
- Sports drinks/juices
- Stair lifts
- Standard infant/toddler formulae
- Therapy modalities (bolsters, physio-rolls, therapy balls, jett mobile)
- Thickeners for foods or liquids (e.g., Thick – it)
- Toothettes
- Transcutaneous Nerve Stimulator when prescribed for headaches, visceral abdominal pain, pelvic pain, or temporal mandibular joint (TMJ) pain
- Ultrasonic osteogenesis stimulators
- UV lighting for Seasonal Affective Disorder
- Vacu-brush toothbrushes
- Weight loss or "light" products
- Wheelchair lifts or ramps for home or vehicle (all types)
- Wheelchair accessories (e.g., horns, lights, bags, special colors, etc.)
- Wigs for hair loss

Michigan Department of Community Health
Medicaid Provider Manual
Medical Supplier
Version Date: April 1, 2008; Pages 14 and 15

A Medicaid beneficiary bears the burden of proving he or she was denied a medically necessary and appropriate service. See, e.g., *J.K By and Through R.K. v Dillenberg*, 836 F Supp 694, 700 (Ariz, 1993). Whether the Appellant satisfied that burden here must be determined in accord with the preponderance of the evidence standard. See, e.g., *Aquilina v General Motors Corp*, 403 Mich 206, 210; 267 NW2d 923 (1978).

[REDACTED]
Docket No. 2009-19254 QHP
Decision and Order

The Michigan Supreme Court defines proof, by a preponderance of the evidence, as requiring that the fact finder believe that the evidence supporting the existence of the contested fact outweighs the evidence supporting its nonexistence. See, e.g., *Martucci v Detroit Police Comm'r*, 322 Mich 270, 274; 33 NW2d 789 (1948).

Regarding an appeal filed with the State Office of Administrative Hearing and Rules for the Department of Community Health, the Administrative Law Judge is given ultimate discretion to determine the weight and credibility of the evidence presented. *Wiley v Henry Ford Cottage Hosp*, 257 Mich App 488, 491; 668 NW2d 402 (2003); *Zeeland Farm Services, Inc v JBL Enterprises, Inc*, 219 Mich App 190, 195; 555 NW2d 733 (1996) (the fact finder is provided with the unique opportunity to observe or listen to witnesses; and, it is the fact finder's responsibility to determine the credibility and weight of the testimony and other evidence provided).

It is the province of the Administrative Law Judge to adjudge the credibility and weight to be afforded the evidence presented. *Maloy v. Stuttgart Memorial Hosp.*, 316 Ark. 447, 872 S.W.2d 401 (1994).

I conclude that the Appellant's request for insulin pen administration is neither medically necessary, nor a covered service, given the evidence presented.

The Appellant's mother testified the Appellant is unable to carry his insulin vials in his backpack because he is unable to refrigerate them. She also claims he fails to administer his insulin because of this fact, that his blood sugar then becomes dangerously elevated, and that he must therefore wait until arriving home from school in order to take his insulin.

The MHP produced the credible testimony of two witnesses. [REDACTED], testified that the insulin vials currently used by the Appellant may be kept at room temperature for up to 21 days without losing its potency or stability, and that it does not require refrigeration. [REDACTED], reiterated this fact, and further testified that the literature he reviewed on this subject confirms that neither the vials nor the insulin pen require refrigeration in order to maintain efficacy.

The Appellant asserts he simply cannot carry insulin and his books at the same time, and that, if he cannot get the insulin pen, he has no other choice but to wait until he gets home to administer the vial form of his medication.

DECISION AND ORDER

Because the Appellant bears the burden of proving entitlement to a medically necessary service, and because he did not present any legally sustainable challenge to the MHP's assertion the pen is not medically necessary, I conclude the MHP appropriately denied the Appellant's request for the insulin pen.

[REDACTED]
Docket No. 2009-19254 QHP
Decision and Order

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Medicaid Health Plan's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Stephen B. Goldstein
Administrative Law Judge
for Janet Olszewski, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc: [REDACTED]

Date Mailed: 6/18/2009

***** NOTICE *****

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing date of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.