

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 200916199  
Issue No: 4060  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: September 14, 2011  
Cheboygan County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Corey A. Arendt

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is assigned to me pursuant to 7 CFR 273.18; 45 CFR 233.20(a)(13); MCL 400.9; MCL 400.37; MCL 400.43(a); MAC R 400.941 and MCL 24.201, *et seq.*, upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an over issuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent. After due notice was mailed to Respondent, a hearing was held on September 14, 2011, at which Respondent did appear. This matter having been initiated by the Department and due notice having been provided to Respondent, the hearing was held in accordance with Bridges Administrative Manual, Item 725.

**ISSUE**

Did the Claimant receive an over issuance (OI) of State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

I find as material fact, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record:

1. In June 2006, the Claimant applied for SDA benefits. Around this time, the Department granted the Claimant SDA benefits.
2. In April 2007, the Claimant began receiving SSI/RSDI and was no longer eligible for SDA benefits. The Department failed to close the Claimant's SDA case and awarded SDA benefits to the Claimant for the months of August 2007, September 2007, October 2007 and November 2007 in the amount of [REDACTED].
3. The amount of [REDACTED] is still due and owing to the Department.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The SDA program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found

200916199/CAA

in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Departmental policy, states that when the client group receives more benefits than the group is entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the OI. Repayment of an OI is the responsibility of anyone who was an eligible, disqualified, or other adult in the program group at the time the OI occurred. Bridges will collect from all adults who were a member of the case. OIs on active programs are repaid by lump sum cash payments, monthly cash payments (when court ordered), and administrative recoupment (benefit reduction). OI balances on inactive cases must be repaid by lump sum or monthly cash payments unless collection is suspended. BAM 725.

In this case, the Department admitted they did not follow their own policies in closing the Claimant's SDA case. This resulted in an OI of SDA benefits to the Claimant. Regardless of fault, the Department must attempt to recoup the OI.

I find the evidence presented by the Department shows the Claimant received more benefits than she was entitled to receive. Therefore, Claimant is responsible for repayment of the OI.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

I, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decide the Claimant received an OI of SDA benefits for the time period of August 2007 through November 2007. The Department is entitled to recoup those OI benefits.

The Department is therefore entitled to recoup an SDA OI of [REDACTED] from the Claimant.

The Department shall initiate collection procedures in accordance with Department policy.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/  
Corey A. Arendt  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 15, 2011

Date Mailed: September 15, 2011

**NOTICE:** The law provides that within 60 days from the mailing date of the above hearing Decision the Respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she resides or has his or her principal place of business in this state, or in the circuit court for Ingham County. Administrative Hearings, on its own motion, or on request of a party within 60 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, may order a rehearing.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CAA/cr

cc:

