STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2009-9943Issue No:2009Case No:1000Load No:1000Hearing Date:14, 2009Iron County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, April 14, 2009. The claimant personally appeared and testified with her

husband, as a witness.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the department properly deny the claimant's application for Medical Assistance

(MA-P) and retroactive Medical Assistance?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On October 2, 2008, the claimant applied for MA-P with retroactive MA-P to July 2008. 2009-9943/CGF

(2) On November 17, 2008, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the claimant's

application for MA-P and retroactive MA-P stating that the claimant was capable of past relevant work per 20 CFR 416.920(E).

(3) On November 24, 2008, the department caseworker sent the claimant a notice that her application was denied.

(4) On December 8, 2008, the department received a hearing request from the

claimant, contesting the department's negative action.

(5) On January 30, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) considered the submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P and retroactive

MA-P eligibility for the claimant. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant is alleging disability due to low back pain, neuropathy, neck pain, and pain in the weight bearing muscles. She is 52 years old and has a high school equivalent education with a history of skilled sedentary work. The claimant did not meet applicable Social Security listings found in CFR 404, Subpart P. The claimant is capable of performing past work.

(6) During the hearing on April 14, 2009, the claimant requested permission to

submit additional medical information that needed to be reviewed by SHRT. Additional medical

information was received from the local office on and July 2, 2009 forwarded to SHRT for

review on July 10, 2009.

(7) On July 15, 2009, the SHRT considered the newly submitted objective medical

evidence in making its determination of MA-P and retroactive MA-P. The SHRT report reads in

part:

The claimant is alleging disability due to cervical and lumbar radiculopathy. She is 52 years old and has a high school education with a history of unskilled work. The claimant is capable of performing past work. The claimant retains the physical residual functional capacity to perform sedentary to limited light work. The claimant's past work was sedentary. Therefore, the claimant retains the capacity to perform past relevant work. MA-P is denied per 20 CFR 416.920(e). Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied. SDA is denied per PEM 261 due to the capacity to perform past relevant work.

(8) The claimant is a 53 year-old woman whose date of birth is

The claimant is 5' 8" tall and weighs 307 pounds. The claimant has gained 75-80 pounds in the past year because she cannot move. The claimant has a GED and completed the 9th grade of high school. The claimant stated she can read and write, but can't do basic math. The claimant was employed as a customer service assistant where she has been on medical leave since

The claimant has also been employed as a night manager and home helper at the sedentary to light level.

(9) The claimant's alleged impairments are low back pain, neck pain, high blood pressure, muscle pain, heart murmur, and neuropathy.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

... [The record must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

...Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);

- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (Xrays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e). ...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

...Evidence that you submit or that we obtain may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of your impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what you can still do despite impairment(s), and your physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

...In deciding whether you are disabled, we will always consider the medical opinions in your case record together with the rest of the relevant evidence we receive. 20 CFR 416.927(b).

After we review all of the evidence relevant to your claim, including medical opinions, we make findings about what the evidence shows. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

...If all of the evidence we receive, including all medical opinion(s), is consistent, and there is sufficient evidence for us to decide whether you are disabled, we will make our determination or decision based on that evidence. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(1).

...If any of the evidence in your case record, including any medical opinion(s), is inconsistent with other evidence or is internally inconsistent, we will weigh all of the evidence and see whether we can decide whether you are disabled based on the evidence we have. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(2).

[As Judge]...We are responsible for making the determination or decision about whether you meet the statutory definition of disability. In so doing, we review all of the medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement that you are disabled.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...If you have an impairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find you disabled without considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a severe impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and mental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this kind of work, we will find that you are not disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impairment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacity and your age, education, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

...Your residual functional capacity is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...This assessment of your remaining capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled, but is used as the basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment(s).... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...In determining whether you are disabled, we will consider all of your symptoms, including pain, and the extent to which your symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with objective medical evidence, and other evidence.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...In evaluating the intensity and persistence of your symptoms, including pain, we will consider all of the available evidence, including your medical history, the medical signs and laboratory findings and statements about how your symptoms affect you... We will then determine the extent to which your alleged functional limitations or restrictions due to pain or other symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the medical signs and laboratory findings and other evidence to decide how your symptoms affect your ability to work.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairments of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based upon all of the relevant evidence. This assessment of your capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled but is used as a basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment. 20 CFR 416.945.

...When we assess your physical abilities, we first assess the nature and extent of your physical limitations and then determine your residual functional capacity for work activity on a regular and continuing basis. A limited ability to perform certain physical demands of work activity, such as sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, or other physical functions (including manipulative or postural functions, such as reaching, handling, stooping or crouching), may reduce your ability to do past work and other work. 20 CFR 416.945(b).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for

"disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social

Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of

fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). At Step 1, the claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since **constraints**. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6th Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

The objective medical evidence on the record further substantiates the following:

On **Constitution**, the claimant's treating physician submitted a letter on her behalf stating that the claimant had been his patient since **Constitution** where she had chronic back and neck pain. The claimant had radiculopathy and neuropathy with numbness and pain due to nerve impingement in her neck. The claimant also had back pain on movement with sneezing and activity. The claimant has suffered in the past from obesity, which has contributed to her pain and neuropathy. However, the claimant has lost 100 pounds and her weight is under better control. The treating physician felt that the damage to the claimant's back and nerves were permanent and he did not expect this to resolve in the future. The claimant also had complications from diabetes and hypertension. (Department Exhibit C)

On the claimant's treating neurosurgeon completed a Medical Examination Report, DHS-49, on behalf of the claimant. The claimant was first examined and last examined or the claimant had a history of impairment and chief complaint of neck pain and right arm pain and numbness. The claimant's current diagnosis was cervical spondylosis and stenosis. The claimant's condition was stable where she had no physical or mental limitations. The treating specialist cited a MRI that showed that the claimant did have some right-sided disease, but nowhere was it prominent. She had decreased range of motion of the neck. The foraminal closure reproduced her right arm symptoms. The claimant's motor strength was 5/5 throughout. The claimant had no pathological reflexes and her gait was normal. The claimant did have some spondylosis and stenosis, but the treating specialist did not believe that that was threatening to her. (Department Exhibit 85-88)

On **Contrast at Contrast at Co**

On **Constitution**, the claimant was seen at **Constitution** for an epidural injection. She received a facet injection at L4-5 and L5-S1 since her pain was thought to be of a facetogenic source. The claimant tolerated the procedure well with no immediate post procedure complications. There was no oozing of blood from the puncture site. Before the pre-procedure the claimant's pain was 8 out of 10 and after the procedure her pain was 3/4 out of 10 with an 80% improvement. (Department Exhibit 77-78)

On the claimant was given a MRI of the cervical spine without contrast at . The radiologist's impression was multilevel central canal stenosis that was most pronounced at the C6-C7 level. Multifocal disc and endplate degenerative changes were seen. The claimant had moderate bilateral neural foraminal stenosis that was moderate to severe. Central canal stenosis was observed. There was decreased surrounding CSF fluid within the canal at C6-C7. (Department Exhibit 81-82)

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence in the record indicates that the claimant has established that she has a severe impairment. The claimant has moderate to severe central canal stenosis of her cervical and lumbar spine as cited by MRIs. Although she previously had minimal relief, on **1999** 8 she had immediately following 80% relief. The claimant's treating physician felt that she had multiple impairments and ailments. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2. However, this Administrative Law Judge will

2009-9943/CGF

proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine disability because Step 2 is a *de minimus* standard.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d). This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be listed as disabling by law. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 3.

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings, that the claimant does have a driver's license and does drive, but has a problem turning her neck and sitting with pain down her leg. The claimant doesn't cook because it hurts to stand and to prep the food. The claimant grocery shops with her husband twice a month where her husband pushes the cart and carries the bags. The claimant cleans her own home by doing the laundry. The claimant doesn't do any outside work and has no hobbies, but does like to watch movies. The claimant stated that her condition has worsened in the past year because of an increase in pain where she can't sleep and has headaches.

The claimant stated she is up every hour on the hour, but gets up at 9:00 a.m. She takes care of her personal needs. She sits in a recliner because of her discomfort. She goes grocery shopping when necessary. She drives to visit her daughter. She goes to bed between 11:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m. at night.

The claimant felt that she could walk 40 feet. The longest she felt she could stand was 15-20 minutes. The longest she felt she could sit was 15-20 minutes. The heaviest weight she felt she could carry was 5-7 pounds. The claimant stated that her level of pain on a scale of 1 to 10 without medication was a 10 that decreases to a 7 with medication.

The claimant does not has ever smoked or taken illegal of illicit drugs. She drank occasionally and stopped drinking in 1987. The claimant felt that she could sit and answer phones as a type of job she could do.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant has established that she cannot perform any of her prior work. The claimant was previously employed at various jobs at the light to sedentary level, but with her level of pain and the effects of medication she would have a difficult time performing those types of jobs. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4. However, the Administrative Law Judge will still proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior jobs.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

(1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite you limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;

- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the <u>Dictionary of Occupational Titles</u>, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

...To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, you must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. If someone can do light work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

The claimant has submitted sufficient evidence that she lacks the residual functional

capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her previous employment or that she

is physically unable to do any tasks demanded of her. The claimant's testimony as to her

limitation indicates her limitations are exertional.

At Step 5, the claimant cannot meet the physical requirements of light work, based upon

the claimant's physical abilities. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a closely approaching

2009-9943/CGF

advanced age individual with a high school education and a skilled work history, who is limited to light work, is considered disabled. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Rule 202.12. Using the Medical-Vocational guidelines as a framework for making this decision and after giving full consideration to the claimant's physical impairments, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant cannot perform a wide range of light activities and that the claimant does meet the definition of disabled under the MA program. A medical review is required in June 2012.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied the claimant's application for MA-P and retroactive MA-P. The claimant cannot perform any level of light work. The department has not established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**. The claimant is eligible for retroactive MA-P to July 2008 with a medical review required in June 2012.

<u>/s/</u>_____

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>May 25, 2010</u>

Date Mailed: ____May 25, 2010 _____

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CGF/vmc

