## STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

## ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-85 Issue No: 2009; 4031 Case No: Load No: Hearing Date: January 8, 2009 Shiawassee County DHS

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on January 8, 2009. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Claimant was

represented by

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On April 24, 2008, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance, State Disability Assistance and retroactive Medical Assistance benefits to January 2008, alleging disability.

#### 2009-85/LYL

(2) On June 5, 2008, the Medical Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant could perform other work.

(3) On June 16, 2008, the department caseworker sent claimant notice that his application was denied.

(4) On September 4, 2008, claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

(5) On October 10, 2008, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating that claimant is capable of performing other work in the form of light work 20 CFR 416.967(b) pursuant to Medical-Vocational Rule 202.13.

(6) The hearing was held on January 8, 2009. At the hearing, claimant waived the time periods and requested to submit additional medical information.

(7) Additional medical information was submitted and sent to the State Hearing Review Team on February 10, 2009.

(8) On February 24, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating that claimant's new information does not alter the previous recommendation and that claimant is capable of performing other work in the form of light work per 20 CFR 416.967(b) pursuant to Medical-Vocational Rule 202.13.

(9) Claimant is a 53-year-old man whose birth date is the second secon

(10) Claimant last worked as a construction site clean-up person. Claimant has also worked in a furniture store inventorying, displaying and setting up furniture in a warehouse and worked in automotive manufacturing in a factory.

2009-85/LYL

(11) Claimant alleges as disabling impairments: cardiac erythema, pacemaker, shoulder pain, blindness in the right eye, paranoia, memory problems and panic attacks. <u>CONCLUSIONS OF LAW</u>

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is

reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decision about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations

be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next

step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since

2006. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The objective medical evidence on the record indicates that on

claimant fell off of a bicycle with slurred speech. He was admitted to the hospital and he was

revealed to have bradycardia with a regular blocked rhythm diagnosed as sick sinus rhythm. A

pacemaker was put into place. His post-operative course was excellent and he was discharged

from the hospital on the fourth day. At the time of his discharge his condition was stable. His

pulse was regular. He was alert, cooperative, well oriented and his vital signs were normal. He

was discharged from the hospital to be continued under treatment as an outpatient. (Page 21) On

, claimant had a left neck laryngocele which needed to be excised because he had increasing pain and swelling in the left neck region. There were no signs of growth lesions or masses and the patient was otherwise essentially normal. (Claimant's Exhibit C, Pages 10, 11, and 12)

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that he has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months or result in death. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. Claimant testified on the record that he rides his bicycle most days and can ride six to eight blocks at a time and then has to rest. Claimant testified that he does cook things like soup, steak and hotdogs. Claimant does grocery shop everyday with no help and he cleans his home by wiping the counters and doing the dishes. Claimant testified that he rode his bike to the hearing about a mile in the snow and had to stop three to four times. Claimant testified that he is blind in his right eye and his left eye has poor vision. Claimant testified also that about three times per week he gets chest pains and feels like he is going to die. Claimant testified that he could walk four to five blocks if he rested in between and can stand for 10 minutes at a time and can sit for 15 to 20 minutes at a time. Claimant stated that he is able to shower and dress himself but cannot squat because of his bad balance. Claimant testified that he is able to bend at the waist as well as tie his shoes but he can't touch his toes. Claimant testified that the heaviest weight he can carry is a gallon of milk and that he is right handed and that his right hand and wrist freeze. Claimant testified that his level of pain on a scale from 1 to 10 without medication is an 8 in his shoulder and 4 in his chest and with medication is a 1 to a 2. Claimant testified that he does smoke five

cigarettes per day and his doctor has told him to quit but he is not in a smoking cessation program. Claimant testified that he does drink three to four beers two times per week. Claimant stated that in a typical day he gets up and gets a bowl of cereal and then goes to his sister's.

Claimant testified that he is not taking any medication because he cannot afford any doctors but that he goes to the emergency room when he needs medication.

At Step 2, there is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. Although claimant did have heart problems, a pacemaker was put in and he has a basically normal physical condition. There have been no reports of severe pain or problems in multiple areas of his body. There are no corresponding clinical findings that support the reports and symptoms of limitations made by the claimant. This Administrative Law Judge finds that there is no clinical impression that claimant is deteriorating. There is no medical finding that claimant has any muscle atrophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is consistent with a deteriorating condition. In short, claimant has restricted himself from tasks associated with occupational functioning based on his reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that claimant has met the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the medical record insufficient to establish that claimant has a severely restrictive physical impairment. There is also little evidence in the record indicating that claimant suffers mental limitations resulting from his reportedly depressed or panicked state. There is no mental residual functional capacity assessment recorded in the record. The evidentiary record is insufficient to find that claimant suffers a severely restrictive mental impairment. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant has failed to

meet his burden of proof at Step 2. Claimant must be denied benefits at this step based upon his failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

In claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny him again at Step 4 based upon his ability to perform past relevant work as a construction site clean-up person or in a warehouse doing inventory. There are no limitations listed in the objective medical evidence and claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited. Thus, claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls....

## 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objective medical evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior employment or that he is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of him. Claimant does continue to smoke despite the fact that he does have a heart problem and despite the fact that his doctor has told him to quit. Claimant is not in compliance with his treatment program.

If an individual fails to follow prescribed treatment which would be expected to restore their ability to engage in substantial gainful activity without good cause, there will not be a finding of disability.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(iv).

Claimant testified on the record that he does have panic attacks, paranoia and memory problems.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social

functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

There is insufficient objective medical/psychiatric evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant's complaints of pain while profound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to claimant's ability to perform work. In addition, claimant did testify that he does receive some substantial relief from his pain medication. Claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that he has not established by objective medical evidence that he cannot perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 202.13.

The department's Program Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person or age 65 or older. PEM, Item 261, page 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits. The claimant

should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with his impairments.

The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

/<u>s/</u>

Landis Y. Lain Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: \_\_April 7, 2009

Date Mailed: <u>April 7, 2009</u>

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/vmc

