,.STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2009-782Issue No:2014Case No:1000Load No:1000Hearing Date:1000March 3, 20091000Kalamazoo County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9;

and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on

March 3, 2009. Claimant appeared and testified.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services properly close Claimant's Food Assistance

Program (FAP) case due to excess income?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial

evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

(2) On August 3, 2008, claimant began receiving \$ per week of Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB).

2009-782/GFH

(3) On September 16, 2008, the Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB) benefits were added to claimant's financial eligibility budget. The budget showed that claimant was no longer eligible for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to the increased income. Claimant was sent notice the change would become effective on September 30, 2008.

(4) On September 26, 2008, claimant submitted a request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

When determining eligibility for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits in accordance with Department policy, the total income of the household must be evaluated. All earned and unearned income of each household member must be included, unless specifically excluded. Program Eligibility Manual, Item 500. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) program provides a deduction from earned income of 20% and a deduction for the cost of child care when necessary to enable a Food Assistance Program (FAP) household member to work. A standard deduction from income is allowed for each household. The amount of the standard deduction depends on the number of members in the household. Certain non-reimbursable medical expenses above

2

Another deduction from income is provided if monthly shelter costs are in excess of 50% of the household's income, after all of the other deductions have been allowed. There is a maximum deduction for households that do not contain a member classified as a senior, disabled, or veteran. Program Eligibility Manual, Items 500 and 554; Program Reference Manual, Table 255; 7 CFR 273.2.

In this case, the Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the Food Assistance Program (FAP) budget and finds that the department properly computed the claimant's net income and expenses. The federal regulations at 7 CFR 273.10 provide standards for the amount of a household's benefits. The department in compliance with the federal regulations has prepared income limit and issuance tables which are set forth at Program Reference Manual Tables 250 and 260. Claimant's household has a gross income of Income Limit Table 250 provides that the maximum eligible gross income of a household size of 3, is Simo. Claimant's household is not eligible for a Food Assistance Program (FAP) allotment.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department of Human Services properly closed Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case due to excess income.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are UPHELD.

<u>/s/</u>____

Gary F. Heisler Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 3, 2009

Date Mailed: March 4, 2009

2009-782/GFH

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

