### STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

# ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Respondent

Reg. No:2009-7024Issue No:3055Case No:1000Load No:1000Hearing Date:1000March 18, 20091000Ingham County DHS

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

### HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, 7 CFR 273.16, MAC R 400.3130, and MAC R 400.3178 upon the Department of Human Services (department) request for a disqualification hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on March 18, 2009. Respondent did not appear. The record contains returned undeliverable mail sent to respondent. In accordance with Program Administrative Manual (PAM) 600 this hearing may still go forward because it is a Food Assistance Program (FAP) case.

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether respondent committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) and whether respondent received an overissuance of benefits that the department is entitled to recoup? FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the clear and convincing evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

#### 2009-7024/GFH

(1) On July 10, 2007, respondent submitted an application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits. Respondent signed the application acknowledging his responsibility to report changes in his circumstances that would affect his eligibility for benefits.

(2) On November 2, 2007, respondent began using his Michigan issued Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits in Florida. All of respondent's Food Assistance Program (FAP) purchases between November 2, 2007 and June 30, 2008 were in Florida.

(3) Respondent never reported he was no longer a Michigan Resident.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In this case, the department has requested a disqualification hearing to establish an overissuance of benefits as a result of an IPV and the department has asked that respondent be disqualified from receiving benefits. The department's manuals provide the following relevant policy statements and instructions for department caseworkers:

### **PAM 720 INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION**

#### **DEPARTMENT POLICY**

### **All Programs**

Recoupment policies and procedures vary by program and overissuance (OI) type. This item explains Intentional Program Violation (IPV) processing and establishment. PAM 700 explains OI discovery, OI types and standards of promptness. PAM 705 explains agency error and PAM 715 explains client error.

# **DEFINITIONS**

# **All Programs**

**Suspected IPV** means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

- The client **intentionally** failed to report information **or intentionally** gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, **and**
- The client was clearly and correctly instructed regarding his or her reporting responsibilities, **and**
- The client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits his or her understanding or ability to fulfill their reporting responsibilities.

IPV is suspected when there is clear and convincing evidence that the client or CDC provider has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the **purpose** of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility.

# **FAP Only**

IPV is suspected for a client who is alleged to have trafficked FAP benefits.

# IPV

# FIP, SDA and FAP

Eligibility for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits through the State of Michigan

requires being a resident of Michigan.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the clear and convincing evidence, decides the

following:

2009-7024/GFH

(1) Respondent committed an intentional program violation by intentionally failing to report his move to Florida, in order to continue receiving Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits from Michigan that he was no longer eligible for.

(2) Respondent was over-issued Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits in the amount of \$1,134 between December 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. Respondent was not eligible for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits issued during this period and the Department is entitled to recoup the \$1,134.

/s/

Gary F. Heisler Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 27, 2009

Date Mailed: May 29, 2009

<u>NOTICE</u>: The law provides that within 30 days of receipt of the above Decision and Order, the respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she lives.

GFH

cc:

