

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],
Claimant,

Reg No: 2009-4750
Issue No: 3002
Case No: [REDACTED]
Load No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date:
January 26, 2009
Montcalm County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Steven M. Brown

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Kalamazoo, Michigan on January 26, 2009.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly computed the Claimant's Food Assistance benefits (FAP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for FAP benefits on October 30, 2008.
2. On November 5, 2008, the Department completed a FAP budget based on Claimant's income and expenses which resulted in a FAP allotment of [REDACTED]/mo. (Exhibits 2, 3,4)
3. The Department sent Claimant an Eligibility Notice which explained that his monthly FAP allotment would be [REDACTED]/mo. effective [REDACTED]. (Exhibit 1)

4. On November 13, 2008, Claimant called the Department and requested a hearing regarding the amount of his FAP allotment.

5. Claimant testified that the information the Department used for his income and expenses was correct, but he needed more assistance.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program, formerly known as the Food Stamp (“FS”) program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (“CFR”). The Department of Human Services (“DHS”), formally known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (“PAM”), the Program Eligibility Manual (“PEM”), and the Program Reference Manual (“PRM”).

For FAP purposes, all earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. Unearned income means ALL income that is not earned and includes RSDI and SSI. PEM 500. For income increases that result in a benefit decrease, action must be taken and notice issued to the client within the Standard of Promptness (FAP – 10 calendar days). PEM 505.

The Department determines a client’s eligibility for program benefits based on the client’s actual income and/or prospective income. Prospective income is income not yet received but expected. Prospective budgeting is the best estimate of the client’s future income for future benefits. In some cases, the department’s estimate of the client’s future monthly income will not equal the actual income received however, as long as the Department uses the best available information to determine future income, and there is no concealed information or mathematical

error, the Department's estimate will not be determined inaccurate. All income is converted to a monthly amount. PEM 505.

All countable earned and unearned income available to the client must be considered in determining the Claimant's eligibility for program benefits. The Department must consider the gross benefit amount before any deduction, unless Department policy states otherwise. PEM 500.

In the instant case, Claimant testified that the Department used the correct figures for his income and expenses. While Claimant may very well need more assistance, I find that the Department followed policy in computing Claimant's benefits. Claimant should contact the Department if he has changes in his income/expenses and/or with any questions he may have about additional or other forms of assistance.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department acted in accordance with policy in computing Claimant's FAP benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP eligibility determination is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/

Steven M. Brown
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 28, 2009

Date Mailed: February 19, 2009

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

SMB

cc:

