STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:
,
Appellant
Docket No. 2009-37310 QHF
DECISION AND ORDER
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 <i>et seq.</i> , upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.
After due notice, a hearing was held on Appellant was represented by her mother,
of Michigan was represented by Coordinator. The witness for the health plan was is a Department of Community Health contracted Medicaid Health Plan (hereinafter MHP or Department).
<u>ISSUE</u>
Did the Medicaid Health Plan properly deny the Appellant's request for shoe insert orthotics?
FINDINGS OF FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:
The Appellant is a year old Medicaid beneficiary.
2. The Appellant has been diagnosed with pes planus (flat foot). (Exhibit 1

pg. 5)

Docket No. 2009-37310 QHP Decision & Order

- 3. On _____, a request for custom molded orthotics was submitted to the MHP by Appellant's provider. (Exhibit 1 pg. 4)
- 4. On the MHP sent a letter to the Appellant stating that the providers request for foot inserts was denied because shoe insert orthotics are not covered for Appellant's diagnosis. (Exhibit 1 pg. 2)
- 5. The Appellant appealed the denial on

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

On Report of the Department received approval from the Health Care Financing Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, allowing Michigan to restrict Medicaid beneficiaries' choice to obtain medical services only from specified Medicaid Health Plans.

The Respondent is one of those Medicaid Health Plans.

The covered services that the Contractor has available for enrollees must include, at a minimum, the covered services listed below (List omitted by Administrative Law Judge). The Contractor may limit services to those which are medically necessary and appropriate, and which conform to professionally accepted standards of care. Contractors must operate consistent with all applicable Medicaid provider manuals and publications for coverages and limitations. If new services are added to the Michigan Medicaid Program, or if services are expanded, eliminated, or otherwise changed, the Contractor must implement the changes consistent with State direction in accordance with the provisions of Contract Section 1-Z.

Article II-G, Scope of Comprehensive Benefit Package. MDCH contract (Contract) with the Medicaid Health Plans, September 30, 2004.

Docket No. 2009-37310 QHP Decision & Order

The major components of the Contractor's utilization management plan must encompass, at a minimum, the following:

- Written policies with review decision criteria and procedures that conform to managed health care industry standards and processes.
- A formal utilization review committee directed by the Contractor's medical director to oversee the utilization review process.
- Sufficient resources to regularly review the effectiveness of the utilization review process and to make changes to the process as needed.
- An annual review and reporting of utilization review activities and outcomes/interventions from the review.

The Contractor must establish and use a written prior approval policy and procedure for utilization management purposes. The Contractor may not use such policies and procedures to avoid providing medically necessary services within the coverages established under the Contract. The policy must ensure that the review criteria for authorization decisions are applied consistently and require that the reviewer consult with the requesting provider when appropriate. The policy must also require that utilization management decisions be made by a health care professional who has appropriate clinical expertise regarding the service under review.

Article II-P, Utilization Management, Contract, September 30, 2004.

Section 2.24 of the Medical Supplier portion of the Medicaid Provider Manual, as effective July 1, 2009, addresses orthopedic footwear. Under "Noncovered Items" shoes and inserts are listed as noncovered for the condition of pes planus or talipes planus (flat foot). (Exhibit 1 pg. 3)

On the control of the Appellant's provider submitted a request for custom molded orthotics to the MHP along with documentation of the Appellant's diagnosis, pes planus. (Exhibit 1 pgs. 4-6) An Associate Medical Director for the MHP reviewed and denied the request on because shoe insert orthotics are not a covered benefit for that diagnosis. (Exhibit 1 pg. 2)

The Appellant's representative testified that she disagreed with the denial because if the inserts are not provided for the Appellant now, while she is growing and developing, surgery may be needed when she is older. The Appellant's representative noted that the letter from the doctor outlines the risks of the Appellant potentially developing orthopedic problems that could later require surgery. (Exhibit 1 pgs. 5-6) Therefore, the

Docket No. 2009-37310 QHP Decision & Order

Appellant's representative asserted that because shoe inserts are far less expensive than a surgery, it would be more cost effective to provide the inserts now than to end up paying for a future surgery.

While this ALJ understands the Appellant's representative's concern for her daughter potentially developing orthopedic problems, the MHP followed Medicaid policy to establish standards for covering orthotics. From the evidence presented at the hearing, orthotic inserts are not covered for the Appellant's diagnosis of flat feet.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the MHP properly denied the Appellant's request for shoe insert orthotics.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Medicaid Health Plan's decision is AFFIRMED.

Colleen Lack
Administrative Law Judge
for Janet Olszewski, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:

Date Mailed: 12/21/2009

*** NOTICE ***

The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The State Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing

Docket No. 2009-37310 QHP Decision & Order

cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.