# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

## ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-3715 Issue No: 2009/4031

Case No:

Load No: Hearing Date:

February 19, 2009 Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Marlene B. Magyar

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Febaruary 19, 2009. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

#### **ISSUE**

Did the department properly determine claimant's disability status for Medicaid (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) eligibility purposes?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On September 11, 2008, claimant applied for MA/SDA.
- (2) On November 13, 2008, the department's State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) issued a prehearing denial on claimant's application.

- (3) Claimant promptly requested a hearing, at which, the presiding Administrative Law Judge granted a record extension for submission of updated treatment records, as well as an independent physical examination (See Interim Order Leaving Record Open).
  - (4) When received, these exhibits were forwarded to SHRT for a post-hearing review.
- (5) On June 22, 2009, SHRT reversed its earlier denial of claimant's disputed MA/SDA application based on a finding he meets Medical-Vocational Rule 202.04, with retroactive MA approved starting June 1, 2008.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the present case, SHRT reversed its earlier finding of lack of disability based on additional medical evidence reviewed for the first time after the hearing. This new medical evidence establishes claimant is currently disabled, and has been disabled at all times relevant to his September 11, 2008 MA/SDA application.

2009-3715/mbm

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions

of law, decides the department, through SHRT, properly determined claimant's disability status

upon consideration of additional medical evidence reviewed for the first time after the hearing.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED, and claimant's disputed MA/SDA

application shall be processed with benefits awarded if claimant meets all of the other financial

and non-financial requirements necessary to receive them. Additionally, the local office shall

conduct a review of claimant's condition in July, 2012, in accordance with the instructions

specified on the SHRT approval dated June 22, 2009. **SO ORDERED**.

Marlene B. Magyar

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 25, 2009

Date Mailed: June 30, 2009\_

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

3

### MBM/db

cc:

