STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No.: 2009-36720

Claimant Issue No.: 2009 Case No.:

Load No.:

Hearing Date: November 19, 2009

Macomb County DHS (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Linda Steadley Schwarb

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on November 19, 2009. Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant was represented by

Even Description of the receipt of additional medical evidence. Additional documents were received and reviewed.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) properly determine that claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On December 9, 2008, an application was filed on claimant's behalf for MA-P benefits. The application requested MA-P retroactive to September of 2008.

- 2) On April 16, 2009, the department denied claimant's application for benefits based upon the belief that claimant did not meet the requisite disability criteria.
- 3) On July 14, 2009, a hearing request was filed to protest the department's determination.
- 4) Claimant, age 48, has an eleventh-grade education.
- At the time of the hearing, claimant reported that she was working 27 hours a week as a child care provider at a day care center. Claimant reported that she followed a 15 pound weight limitation.
- 6) In addition to work as a child care provider, claimant has also performed relevant work as a bank teller and cashier.
- 7) Claimant has a history of asthma.
- 8) Claimant was hospitalized . . She was diagnosed with dilated cardiomyopathy. A cardiac catheterization demonstrated no significant disease.
- 9) Claimant was re-hospitalized for a transient ischemic attack. Claimant had no residuals.
- 10) Claimant currently suffers from dilated cardiomyopathy, pulmonary hypertension, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, hyperthyroidism, congestive heart failure due to hyperthyroidism, obesity, asthma, and history of transient ischemic episode. The medical record indicates that claimant's condition is stable.
- Claimant has severe limitations upon her ability to lift heavy amounts of weight.

 Claimant's limitations have lasted or are expected to last twelve months or more.

12) Claimant's complaints and allegations concerning her impairments and limitations, when considered in light of all objective medical evidence, as well as the record as a whole, reflect an individual who, at the very least, has the physical and mental capacity to engage in unskilled sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In general, claimant has the responsibility to prove that she is disabled. Claimant's impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms,

and laboratory findings, not only claimant's statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.927. Proof must be in the form of medical evidence showing that the claimant has an impairment and the nature and extent of its severity. 20 CFR 416.912. Information must be sufficient to enable a determination as to the nature and limiting effects of the impairment for the period in question, the probable duration of the impairment and the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, at the time of the hearing, claimant testified that she was working 27 hours a week as a child care provider in a day care center.

Claimant testified that she returned to work following her hospitalization at the end of . Claimant testified that her employer allows her to have a weight restriction of 15 pounds. The record suggests that claimant's earnings do not rise to the level of substantial gainful activity. See 20 CFR 416.974. Accordingly, claimant cannot be disqualified for MA at this step in the sequential evaluation process.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities.

Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6th Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

In this case, claimant has presented the required medical data and evidence necessary to support a finding that she has significant physical limitations upon her ability to perform basic work activities such as lifting heavy objects. Medical evidence has clearly established that claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1

of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). In this case, claimant has had past work as a bank teller. It would seem that claimant is capable of such sedentary work activities. But, even if claimant were found to be incapable of that work, she would still be capable of performing other work activities.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work.

20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite you limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

See Felton v DSS, 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987).

This Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant's residual functional capacity for work activities on a regular and continuing basis does, at the very least, include the ability to meet the physical and mental demands required to perform unskilled sedentary work. Sedentary work is defined as follows:

Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

There is insufficient objective medical evidence, signs, and symptoms to support a determination that claimant is incapable of performing the physical and mental activities necessary for a wide range of sedentary work. Claimant was hospitalized in where she was diagnosed with dilated cardiomyopathy. Cardiac catheterization demonstrated no significant disease. She was re-hospitalized for a transient ischemic attack. Records suggest that she has experienced no residual effects. Claimant was seen by her , shortly after her second hospital discharge. At that point, treating physician on the physician found that claimant was incapable of work activities. Later, toward the end of , claimant returned to her job as a child care provider. Claimant testified that she has worked regularly since that time. Claimant was seen by her primary care provider at regularly throughout The primary care provider repeatedly indicated that claimant's condition was stable. Claimant was seen by her cardiologist in . At that point, she reported that she was doing well and she denied any chest pain or shortness of breath. The cardiologist found claimant to be stable and continued to treat her with medication. Claimant was seen by a consulting internist for the department on The consultant diagnosed claimant with hyperthyroidism, congestive heart failure due to hyperthyroidism, obesity, history of asthma, and history of transient ischemic episodes. After a review of claimant's hospital records, medical reports from claimant's treating physicians and a consulting physician, claimant has failed to establish limitations which would compromise her

2009-36720/LSS

ability to perform a wide range of sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis.

See Social Security Ruling 83-10. Given that claimant has been working 27 hours a week as a

child care provider, work that must certainly be seen as at least light work, the record fails to

support the position that claimant is incapable of sedentary work activities.

Considering that claimant, at age 48, is a younger individual, has an eleventh-grade

education, has an unskilled work history, and has, at the very least, a sustained work capacity for

sedentary work, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant's impairments do not prevent

her from engaging in other work. See 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Table 1, Rule

201.18. Accordingly, the undersigned finds that claimant is not presently disabled for purposes

of the MA program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of

law, decides that the Department of Human Services properly determined that claimant is not

"disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance Program. Accordingly, the department's

determination in this matter is hereby affirmed.

Linda Steadley Schwarb

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

luce Fracely Schward

Date Signed: May 6, 2010

Date Mailed: May 10, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's

8

2009-36720/LSS

motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LSS/pf



