STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No.: 2009-36478 Issue No.: 3002 Case No.: Load No.: Hearing Date: October 19, 2009 Wayne County DHS (49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge upon pursuant to MCL

400. 9; MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice a telephone hearing

was held on October 19, 2009 claimant was present and testified, FIM appeared for

the department and testified.

ISSUE

Is the department correct in determining claimant's FAP benefits for August and

September 2009?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial

evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant is a FAP recipient with a group size of 3.
- (2) Claimant receives \$526 in FAP benefits.
- (3) Claimant received \$339 in FAP benefits for August 2009 and September 2009.
- (4) Claimant requested a hearing on September 11, 2009 contesting the amount in FAP.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program, formerly known as the Food Stamp ("FS") program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department of Human Services ("DHS"), formally known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Departmental policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual ("PAM"), the Program Eligibility Manual ("PEM"), and the Program Reference Manual ("PRM").

The federal regulations define household income to include all earned income. 7 CFR 273.9(b). All monthly income must be converted to a nonfluctuating monthly amount. Only 80% of earned income is counted in determining FAP benefits. PEM 550. Under 7 CFR 273.9, as amended, \$135.00 is deducted from the gross income of FAP recipients in determining FAP grants.

In the present case, claimant heard from other recipients that they had received an across the board, program wide increase in July. After reviewing department policy, no such increase was found.

Claimant questioned why the change in her benefit did not occur until October 1, 2009. Department policy dictates that for a change, the effective date is the first day of the month that a change is reflected in the FAP group's issuance. BEM 556 The department was correct in implementing claimant's new benefit amount starting October 1, 2009.

2

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the department was correct in the determination of FAP benefits, and it is ORDERED that the department's decision in this regard be and is hereby AFFIRMED.

Am militis

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>11/02/09</u>

Date Mailed: <u>11/02/09</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannon be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

AM/jlg

cc:			
		I	