# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

## ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

,

Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-33361

Issue No: 3015

Case No:

Load No: Hearing Date:

September 17, 2009 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on September 17, 2009. Claimant appeared and testified.

#### **ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services properly close Claimant's Food Assistance

Program (FAP) case due to excess income?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant applied for and began receiving Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
- (2) On July 7, 2009, the Department ran a new financial eligibility budget using current income information received from Claimant. The budget determined that

Claimant was not eligible for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to excess income. Claimant was sent notice of the determination.

(3) On August 10, 2009, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

When determining eligibility for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits in accordance with Department policy, the total income of the household must be evaluated. All earned and unearned income of each household member must be included, unless specifically excluded. Bridges Eligibility Manual, Item 500. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) program provides a deduction from earned income and a deduction for the cost of child care when necessary to enable a Food Assistance Program (FAP) household member to work. A standard deduction from income is allowed for each household. The amount of the standard deduction depends on the number of members in the household. Certain non-reimbursable medical expenses may be deducted for senior/disabled/veteran group members.

Another deduction from income is provided if monthly shelter costs are in excess of 50% of the household's income, after all of the other deductions have been allowed. There is a maximum deduction for households that do not contain a member classified as a senior, disabled,

2009-33361/GFH

or veteran. Bridges Eligibility Manual, Items 500 and 554; Program Reference Manual,

Table 255; 7 CFR 273.2.

In this case, the Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the Food Assistance Program

(FAP) budget and finds that the department properly computed the claimant's income and

expenses. The federal regulations at 7 CFR 273.10 provide standards for the amount of a

household's benefits. The department in compliance with the federal regulations has prepared

Income limit and issuance tables which are set forth at Program Reference Manual, Tables 250 &

260. The table provides that there is a gross income limit of \$2,687 for a household the size of

the claimant's. Claimant's household gross countable income is \$4,621. Claimant has excess

income and is not eligible for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

**DECISION AND ORDER** 

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of

law, decides the Department of Human Services properly closed Claimant's Food Assistance

Program (FAP) case due to excess income.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are

UPHELD.

Gary F. Heisler

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 6, 2009

Date Mailed: October 12, 2009

3

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

