STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-33187

Issue No: 6000

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date:

November 12, 2009 Ingham County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Marlene B. Magyar

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on November 12, 2009. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Has claimant presented a hearable issue?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant is an elderly gentleman who receives monthly Supplemental Security Income (SSI) from the federal government and Medicaid (MA) and Food Assistance Program benefits (FAP) from the Department of Human Services (DHS).
 - (2) Claimant's monthly FAP benefit has been since May 2009.

- (3) On August 20, 2009, claimant filed a hearing request because he believes the department owes him FAP and MA benefits from 15 years ago (See <u>Hearing Request</u>).
 - (4) Claimant's hearing was held on November 12, 2009.
- (5) Claimant stipulated on the record at hearing he has no current complaints with his ongoing FAP//MA benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The applicable departmental policy states:

The AHR, or if none, the client has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a hearing. PAM, Item 600, p. 4.

A claimant shall be provided 90 days from the mailing of the notice in R 400.902 to request a hearing. R 400.904(4).

2009-33187/mbm

At the threshold level, the above-referenced policy prevents individuals serviced by the

department from access to the hearings arena when their complaints are about actions which

purportedly occurred several years ago. Put simply, claimant's grievance is untimely, and thus, it

must be dismissed.

Additionally, claimant acknowledged he has no complaints about his current benefits. As

such, he has presented no hearable issue. Put simply, the department's rules read:

Claimant's request is not within the scope of authority delegated to this Administrative

Law Judge pursuant to a written directive signed by the Department of Human Services Director,

which states:

Administrative Law Judges have no authority to make decisions on

constitutional grounds, overrule statutes, overrule promulgated regulations or overrule or make exceptions to the department

policy set out in the program manuals.

Furthermore, administrative adjudication is an exercise of executive power rather than

judicial power, and restricts the granting of equitable remedies. Michigan Mutual Liability Co.

v Baker, 295 Mich 237; 294 NW 168 (1940).

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions

of law, decides claimant has not presented a hearable issue.

Accordingly, claimant's request is hereby Dismissed with prejudice. **SO ORDERED.**

Marlene B. Magyar

Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 19, 2009

Date Mailed: November 20, 2009

3

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MBM/db

cc:

