

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg No. 200929217  
Issue No. 2009  
Case No. [REDACTED]  
Load No. [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: August 27, 2009  
Ingham County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Jana A. Bachman

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on August 27, 2009. Claimant was represented by [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Whether claimant has established disability for Medical Assistance (MA).

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. October 27, 2008, claimant applied for MA.
2. February 24, 2009, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied claimant's application. Department Exhibit A.
3. March 4, 2009, the department sent claimant written notice that the application was denied.
4. June 1, 2009, the department received claimant's timely request for hearing.

5. July 30, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant's application. Department Exhibit B.
6. August 27, 2009, the in-person hearing was held. Prior to the close of the record, claimant submitted additional medical evidence. Claimant waived the right to a timely hearing decision. September 8, 2009, after review of all medical evidence, the SHRT again denied claimant's application. SHRT Decision, 9-8-09.
7. Claimant asserts disability based on impairments caused by a bad back, heart disease, hypertension, depression, anxiety, and history of stroke.
8. Claimant testified at hearing. Claimant is 53 years old, 5'3" tall, and weighs 220 pounds. Claimant completed eighth grade and did have some special education classes. She has poor reading, writing, and math skills. She has a driver's license and is able to drive.
9. Claimant is currently employed part-time as a healthcare aide. Claimant's past relevant employment has been as a home healthcare aide, daycare provider, chiropractic assistant, and factory worker.
10. June 30, 2008, claimant presented to hospital complaining of chest pain. July 1, 2008, claimant underwent cardiac catheterization that revealed normal left ventriculogram and coronary artery disease with 30 percent stenosis of the left main artery. Claimant Exhibit A, pgs 1-12. June 30, 2008, claimant underwent a Doppler examination of the cardiac valves that revealed normal left ventricular internal dimension with moderate concentric left ventricular hypertrophy and normal systolic ventricular contractility by echocardiographic criteria. The estimated left ventricular ejection fraction was 60 percent. Normal left atrial size. Normal echocardiographic appearance of the aortic valve. Suggestion of mild mitral and tricuspid insufficiency on Doppler exam. No pericardial effusion. No evidence of intracardiac thrombus. Department Exhibit A, pgs 47-48. June 26, 2008, claimant underwent a cardiolute test that revealed intravenous persantine test without symptoms of chest pain, arrhythmia, or ECG evidence of myocardial ischemia. Department Exhibit A, pg 43. Medical records indicate that claimant has coronary atherosclerosis of native coronary vessel. Department Exhibit A, pg 13.

11. November 18, 2008, claimant underwent bilateral lower extremity arterial study that revealed negative right lower extremity arterial study. No definite evidence to suggest hemodynamically significant stenosis in the left lower extremity arterial structures. However, there is doubling of the velocities from the left popliteal artery to the left anterior tibial artery. This could be artifactual but the possibility of a significant stenotic lesion between these two arterial structures cannot be excluded. Department Exhibit A, pgs 25-26. Additionally medical testing conducted on October 27, 2008, revealed mild peripheral arterial disease in the right lower extremity. Department Exhibit A, pg 16.
12. February 4, 2009, claimant underwent an independent physical examination. A narrative report was prepared that indicates in pertinent part that claimant is overweight. Neck is supple without adenopathy, thyromegaly, bruits, or JVD. Chest is clear and breath sounds are equal. Heart has regular rate and rhythm. Abdomen has no masses or organomegaly. Distal extremities have good pulses and no edema. There is some mild decrease range of motion in the back with right paralumbar tenderness with negative SI or straight leg raise. She is able to get in and out of a chair, on and off exam table without difficulty. Does not appear necessary that she use an assistive device. Neurologic exam was unremarkable. Final assessment was hypertension, hyperlipidemia, longstanding history of anxiety, depression, and panic disorder which is suboptimally being treated. Patient is resistant to mental health consultation. Chronic low back pain with a history of lumbar degenerative disc disease. History of diverticulitis which is presently resolved. Department Exhibit A, pgs 3-5.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months....  
20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to determine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past work, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impairments do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include –

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include –

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.  
20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an individual can do despite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

If an individual fails to cooperate by appearing for a physical or mental examination by a certain date without good cause, there will not be a finding of disability. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(4)(ii).

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and so is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence of record indicates that claimant has heart disease with 30 percent stenosis of her left main cardiac artery and an ejection fraction of 60 percent. Claimant has mild peripheral artery disease in her lower right extremity. Independent physician notes indicate claimant appears to be depressed and anxious, is resistant to undergoing any type of mental health evaluation. Finding of Fact 10-12.

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence of record is not sufficient to establish that claimant has severe impairments that have lasted or are expected to last 12 months or more and prevent employment at any job for 12 months or more. Therefore, claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2.

At Step 3, claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be specifically disabling by law.

At Step 4, claimant's past relevant employment has been as a home health care aide, daycare provider, chiropractic assistant, and factory worker. See discussion at Step 2 above. Finding of Fact 9-12.

At Step 4, the objective medical evidence of record is not sufficient to establish that claimant has functional impairments that prevent claimant for a period of 12 months or more from engaging in a full range of duties required by claimant's past relevant employment. Therefore, claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work.** Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work.** Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

**Medium work.** Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

At Step 5, see discussion at Step 2 above. Finding of Fact 8-12.

At Step 5, the objective medical evidence of record is sufficient to establish that claimant retains the residual functional capacity to perform at least medium skilled work activities. Considering claimant's vocational profile (advanced age, limited education, and history of unskilled work) and relying on Vocational Rule 203.11, claimant is not disabled. Therefore, claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 5.

Claimant does not meet the federal statutory requirements to qualify for disability. Therefore, claimant does not qualify for Medical Assistance based on disability and the department properly denied claimant's application.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, decides that claimant has not established disability for Medical Assistance.

Accordingly, the department's action is, hereby, UPHELD.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Jana A. Bachman  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 1, 2011

Date Mailed: March 3, 2011



200929217/jab

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JAB/db

cc:

