# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

## ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant.

Reg No: 2009-28152

1038

Issue No:

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date: October 21, 2009

Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Steven M. Brown

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from on October 21, 2009.

#### **ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly terminated Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was a recipient of FIP benefits and a mandatory Work First/Jobs, (1) Employment and Training (WF/JET) participant.
- (2) On April 24, 2009, the Department was informed by WF/JET that Claimant was in noncompliance with WF/JET requirements due to unexcused absences from

April 15<sup>th</sup> - April 23<sup>rd</sup>. Claimant contacted WF/JET and left messages to inform her worker that she could not attend several days during this week due to pregnancy related doctors appointments, but she did not provide WF/JET any documentation either prior to or after her absences on April 15<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, 17<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup> or 22<sup>nd</sup>. Claimant informed the Department that she was not going to attend class on April 23<sup>rd</sup> because she was already late. (Exhibits 1,8)

- (4) On May 11, 2009, Claimant was a no call, no show for the triage meeting. As a result, the Department found no good cause for Claimant's noncompliance and terminated her FIP benefits. (Exhibits 2,5)
- (5) On May 19, 2009, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that her FIP benefits would be terminated. (Exhibits 6-7)
- (6) On June 1, 2009, the Department received Claimant's hearing request protesting the termination of her FIP benefits. (Hearing Request)
- (7) At hearing, Claimant provided documentation of hospital discharge/home instructions dated April 17, 2009 and a pre-natal care visit on April 21, 2009. (Exhibit 9) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the

FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware that public assistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and that they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS when the client applies for cash assistance. Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program requirements, education and training opportunities, and assessments will be covered by the JET case manager when a mandatory JET participant is referred at application. BEM 229, p. 1.

Federal and State laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP and RAP group to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. JET is a program administered by the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth (DLEG) through the

The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in assigned employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities is subject to penalties. BEM 230A, p. 1.

Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failing or refusing to:
  - .. Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
  - .. Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
  - Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
  - .. Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP).
  - .. Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
  - .. Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
  - .. Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.
  - .. Accept a job referral.
  - .. Complete a job application.
  - .. Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- . Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. BEM 233A, pp. 1-2.

The Department is required to send a DHS-2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self-Sufficiency Related Noncompliance within three days after learning of the noncompliance which must include the date of noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, the penalty that will be imposed and the triage date within the negative action period. BEM 233A, p. 7-8

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. BEM 233A, p. 3-4

Good cause should be determined based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or . Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or identified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. BEM 233A, p. 7

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar months.
- For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 12 calendar months.

2009-28152/smb

The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of

the previous number of noncompliance penalties. BEM, p.6

In the instant case, Claimant testified that she did not attend the triage because she did not

receive the Notice of Noncompliance until after the triage meeting. The Notice of

Noncompliance was sent to the address on record with the Department, but Claimant was no

longer living there and did not pick it up until May 15<sup>th</sup>, a few days after the triage. Because

Claimant did not attend the triage meeting, the Department made its no good cause determination

based on the information available to it at the time of the triage. With that said, and based on the

testimony and documentation offered at hearing, I find that the Department acted in accordance

with policy in terminating Claimant's FIP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of

law, finds that the Department acted in accordance with policy in terminating Claimant's FIP

benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's FIP eligibility determination is AFFIRMED, it is SO

ORDERED.

Steven M. Brown

Administrative Law Judge

for Ismael Ahmed, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed:\_\_October 22, 2009

Date Mailed:\_\_October 26, 2009

6

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

#### SMB/db

cc:

