STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No:2009-28031Issue No:2009Case No:1000Load No:1000Hearing Date:17, 2009Macomb County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Linda Steadley Schwarb

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9

and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on

August 17, 2009. Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant was represented by

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) properly determine that

claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 On September 10, 2008 an application was filed on claimant's behalf for MA-P benefits. The application requested MA-P retroactive to August of 2008.

(2) On January 26, 2009, the department denied claimant's application for benefits based upon the belief that claimant did not meet the requisite disability criteria.

2009-28031/LSS

(3) On March 26, 2009, a hearing request was filed to protest the department's determination.

(4) Claimant, age 45, has a high school education and some college.

(5) Claimant last worked on **Example 2** as a baker's assistant. Claimant has also performed relevant work as a dishwasher and pizza maker/delivery person. Claimant's relevant work history consists exclusively of unskilled work activities.

(6) Claimant was hospitalized through as a result of acute pancreatitis; acute cholelithiasis and cholecystitis with obstructive jaundiced; diabetes mellitus type 2, new onset; acute dehydration; diarrhea; hyponatremia, resolved; and hypomagnesemia, resolved.

(7) Claimant was rehospitalized through through as a result of pancreatitis. He was placed on parenteral nutrition and experienced massive pancreatitis, respiratory failure, renal failure, and a large necrotic pancreatic abscess. Open drainage of the pancreatic abscess was performed and a feeding tube was placed.

(8) Claimant was hospitalized through as a as a result of his gastrostomy tube becoming displaced.

(9) Claimant was rehospitalized through as a as a result of jejunostomy tube dysfunction. A new jejunostomy tube was placed on

(10) Claimant was placed in a nursing home from through. His feeding tube was removed in the nursing home on .

2009-28031/LSS

(11) At the time of the hearing, claimant reported that he was living in a homeless shelter. Claimant has access to medical care through the Veteran's Administration and is also a recipient of the Adult Medical Program.

(12) At the hearing, claimant reported that he is capable of walking 2 to 3 miles, standing for 1 to 2 hours, and sitting for up to 2 hours. Claimant indicated that he is capable of lifting 10 to 20 pounds.

(13) Claimant currently suffers from depressive disorder NOS and personality disorder NOS with dependent features. His GAF score as of was 60.

(14) Claimant's complaints and allegations concerning his impairment and limitations, when considered in light of all objective medical evidence, as well as the record as a whole, reflex an individual who has the physical and mental capacity to engage in simply, unskilled, sendentary work activities on a regular and continuing bases.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

In general, the claimant has the responsibility to prove that he is disabled. Claimant's impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms, and laboratory findings, not only claimant's statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.927. Proof must be in the form of medical evidence showing that the claimant has an impairment and the nature and extent of its severity. 20 CFR 416.912. Information must be sufficient to enable a determination as to the nature and limiting effects of the impairment for the period in question, the probable duration

of the impairment and the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, claimant is not working. Therefore, claimant may not be disqualified for MA at this step in the sequential evaluation process.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and

(6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6th Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

In this case, claimant has presented the required medical data and evidence necessary to support a finding that he has significant physical and mental limitations upon his ability to perform basic work activities such as lifting extremely heavy objects and responding appropriately to others. Medical evidence has clearly established that claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work.

20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings, that claimant is not capable of the heavy lifting required by his past employment. Claimant has presented the required medical data and evidence necessary to support a finding that he is not, at this time, capable of performing such work.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite you limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

See Felton v DSS 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987).

This Administration Law Judge finds that claimant's residual functional capacity for work activities on a regular and continuing bases does include the ability to meet the physical and mental demands required to perform simple, unskilled, sendentary work. Sendentary work is defined as follows:

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

In this case, there is insufficient objective medical evidence, signs, and symptoms to support a determination that claimant is incapable of performing the physical and mental activities necessary for a wide range of sendentary work. Claimant was hospitalized on and off from August through November of 2008. Thereafter, he continued to have some difficulties with the site of his feeding tube At the hearing, claimant testified that he is which was removed in currently residing in a homeless shelter and receiving medical care through the Veteran's Administration. Claimant also has the Adult Medical Program. Claimant testified that he is capable of walking 2 to 3 miles, standing for 1 to 2 hours, and sitting for up to 2 hours at a time. Claimant testified that he is able to lift 10 to 20 pounds. Claimant was seen by a consulting psychologist for the Disability Determination Service on The consultant diagnosed claimant with depressive disorder NOS and personality disorder NOS with dependent features. Claimant was given a current GAF score of 60 with a good prognosis. After review of claimant's hospital records, a report from a consulting psychologist, and claimant's own testimony as to his ability to function in the community, claimant has failed to establish limitations which would compromise his ability to perform a wide range of sendentary work activities on a regular and continuing bases. See Social Security Rulings 83-10 and 96-9p. The record fails to support the position that claimant is incapable of sendentary work activities.

Considering that claimant, at age 45 is a younger individual, has a high school education, has an unskilled work history, and has a sustained work capacity for sendentary work, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant's

impairments do not prevent him from doing other work. As a guide See 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Table 1, Rule 201.18. Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge must find that claimant is not presently disabled for purposes of the MA program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department of Human Services properly determined that claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance Program.

Accordingly, the department's decision in this matter is hereby AFFIRMED.

linde Frace Schuers

Linda Steadley Schwarb Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>11/03/09</u>

Date Mailed: <u>11/03/09</u>

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LSS/at

2009-28031/LSS

