

STATE OF MICHIGAN
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED],
Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-27439
Issue No: 2006
Case No: [REDACTED]
Load No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date:
March 30, 2010
Eaton County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 30, 2010.

ISSUE

Was timely compliance with verification requirements established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Negative case action: Medicaid application on April 29, 2008, was denied on June 2, 2009 based on issue above per BEM 105.
- (2) On May 5, 2009, DHS sent the claimant a verification check-list with a return due-date of May 16, 2009; verifications were not submitted by the due date.
- (3) On May 20, 2009, the DHS received some of the requested verifications.
- (4) On June 12, 2009 the DHS received the requested insurance cash surrender value.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

The client might be unable to answer a question about himself or another person whose circumstances must be known. Allow the client at least 10 days (or other timeframe specified in policy) to obtain the needed information. PAM, Item 105, p. 5.

The claimant testified that all the DHS requested verifications were submitted, but could not state when they were submitted.

The DHS representative testified the requested verifications were submitted after the due-date (May 16, 2009) on May 20, 2009 and June 12, 2009.

This ALJ is not persuaded by the preponderance of the evidence of record that the claimant established timely compliance with verification requirements.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that timely compliance with verification requirements was not established.

Accordingly, MA denial is UPHELD.


/s/ _____
William A. Sundquist
Administrative Law Judge
for Ismael Ahmed, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 8, 2010

Date Mailed: April 15, 2010

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS 

cc:

