# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

# ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-26944 Issue No: 3002; 3003

Case No:

Load No:

Hearing Date: August 11, 2009

Shiawassee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez

#### HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on August 11, 2009.

#### **ISSUE**

Was the claimant's FAP allotment computed and allocated correctly?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant applied for FAP benefits.
- (2) On 6-16-09, claimant reported he was no longer employed, had medical bills, and had a rental amount of \$600.

- (3) Claimant's FAP budget was run and claimant's budget indicated claimant was eligible for FAP benefits of \$16.
- (4) Claimant filed for hearing on 6-10-09, alleging that DHS incorrectly computed his budget, and therefore, allocated the wrong amount of FAP benefits.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

When determining eligibility for FAP benefits, the household's total income must be evaluated. All earned and unearned income of each household member must be included unless specifically excluded. BEM, Item 500. A standard deduction from income of \$135 is allowed for each household. Certain non-reimbursable medical expenses above \$35 a month may be deducted for senior/disabled/veteran group members. Another deduction from income is provided if monthly shelter costs are in excess of 50% of the household's income after all of the other deductions have been allowed, up to a maximum of \$300 for non-senior/disabled/veteran households. BEM, Items 500 and 554; RFT 255; 7 CFR 273.2.

In this case, the Administrative Law Judge has reviewed the FAP budget and finds that the department properly computed the claimant's gross income. The gross unearned income benefit amount must be counted as unearned income, which is \$1,227 in the current case, after

2009-26944/RJC

counting the total member group's RSDI benefits of \$1,227 monthly. BEM 500. These amounts

were verified by the claimant himself. The federal regulations at 7 CFR 273.10 provide

standards for the amount of a household's benefits. The department in compliance with the

federal regulations has prepared issuance tables which are set forth at Bridges Reference Manual,

Table 260. The issuance table provides that a household with household size and net income of

the claimant is eligible for an FAP allotment of \$16. The Administrative Law Judge has

reviewed the budget and found no errors. Claimant himself was unable to point out specifically

what parts of the budget he felt were in error. Therefore, the undersigned finds that the FAP

allotment was computed correctly.

**DECISION AND ORDER** 

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions

of law, decides that the Department's decision to reduce claimant's FAP benefits to \$16 was

correct.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Robert J. Chavez Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 12, 2009

Date Mailed: August 13, 2009

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

3

## 2009-26944/RJC

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## RJC/cv

