

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: [REDACTED]

Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-25613

Issue No: 2009

Case No: [REDACTED]

Load No: [REDACTED]

Hearing Date:

July 30, 2009

Ottawa County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, July 30, 2009. The claimant personally appeared and testified on his own behalf with his authorized representative, [REDACTED]

ISSUE

Did the department properly deny the claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and retroactive Medical Assistance?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

(1) On December 15, 2008, the claimant applied for MA-P and retroactive MA-P to September 2008.

(2) On April 23, 2009, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the claimant's application for MA-P and retroactive MA-P stating that the claimant's impairments lacked the duration of 12 months per 20 CFR 416.909.

(3) On May 1, 2009, the department caseworker sent the claimant a notice that his application was denied.

(4) On May 7, 2009, the department received a hearing request from the claimant, contesting the department's negative action.

(5) On June 18, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) considered the submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P and retroactive MA-P eligibility for the claimant. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant had a myocardial infarction and two stents placed in [REDACTED]. In [REDACTED], he was doing well and his angina had resolved. A 49 form indicated the claimant's examination was basically normal except for mild decrease in strength in the right leg. The claimant's treating physician has given sedentary work restrictions based on the claimant's physical impairments. However, this medical source opinion (MSO) is inconsistent with the great weight of the objective medical evidence and per 20 CFR 416.927c(2)(3)(4) and 20 CFR 416.927d(3)(4)(5) will not be given controlling weight. The collective objective medical evidence showed that the claimant is capable of performing light work.

The claimant's impairments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of light work. In lieu of detailed work history, the claimant will be returned to other work. Therefore, based on the claimant's vocational profile (closely approaching advanced age at 53, high school equivalent, and an unskilled work history), MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 202.13 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was considered in this case and is also denied.

(6) The claimant is a 53 year-old man whose date of birth is [REDACTED]. The claimant is 6' tall and weighs 250 pounds. The claimant has a GED and completed the 9<sup>th</sup> grade of high school. The claimant can read and write and do basic math. The claimant is currently self-employed on a part-time basis at 2-1/2 hours per day as a delivery carrier with a gross income of \$1,200 per month and a net income after expenses of \$840. The claimant was previously employed as a truck driver at the medium level, which is his pertinent work history.

(7) The claimant's alleged impairments that prevent him from working are: diabetes, arthritis, high blood pressure, heart attack in [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], and a heart murmur with two stents.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

... [The record must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

...Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are

demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

...Evidence that you submit or that we obtain may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of your impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what you can still do despite impairment(s), and your physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

...In deciding whether you are disabled, we will always consider the medical opinions in your case record together with the rest of the relevant evidence we receive. 20 CFR 416.927(b).

After we review all of the evidence relevant to your claim, including medical opinions, we make findings about what the evidence shows. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

...If all of the evidence we receive, including all medical opinion(s), is consistent, and there is sufficient evidence for us to decide whether you are disabled, we will make our determination or decision based on that evidence. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(1).

...If any of the evidence in your case record, including any medical opinion(s), is inconsistent with other evidence or is internally inconsistent, we will weigh all of the evidence and see whether we can decide whether you are disabled based on the evidence we have. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(2).

[As Judge]...We are responsible for making the determination or decision about whether you meet the statutory definition of disability. In so doing, we review all of the medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement that you are disabled.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...If you have an impairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find you disabled without considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a severe impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and mental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this kind of work, we will find that you are not disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impairment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacity and your age, education, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

...Your residual functional capacity is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...This assessment of your remaining capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled, but is used as the basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment(s).... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...In determining whether you are disabled, we will consider all of your symptoms, including pain, and the extent to which your symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with objective medical evidence, and other evidence.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...In evaluating the intensity and persistence of your symptoms, including pain, we will consider all of the available evidence, including your medical history, the medical signs and laboratory findings and statements about how your symptoms affect you... We will then determine the extent to which your alleged functional limitations or restrictions due to pain or other symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the medical signs and laboratory findings and other evidence to decide how your symptoms affect your ability to work.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairments of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions as described in

paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based upon all of the relevant evidence. This assessment of your capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled but is used as a basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment. 20 CFR 416.945.

...When we assess your physical abilities, we first assess the nature and extent of your physical limitations and then determine your residual functional capacity for work activity on a regular and continuing basis. A limited ability to perform certain physical demands of work activity, such as sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, or other physical functions (including manipulative or postural functions, such as reaching, handling, stooping or crouching), may reduce your ability to do past work and other work. 20 CFR 416.945(b).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for “disabled” as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

“Disability” is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.



First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). At Step 1, the claimant is self-employed part-time with a gross income of \$1,200 per month, which is above the level for substantial gainful employment. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means, the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

The objective medical evidence on the record further substantiates the following:

On [REDACTED], the claimant's treating physician completed a Medical Examination Report, DHS-49, for the claimant. The claimant had a history of impairment and chief complaint of right leg pain where it hurt to walk or stand. He can go on a treadmill for 5-6 minutes. The current diagnosis was type 2 diabetes mellitus, right leg pain, coronary artery disease, S/P myocardial infarction with two stents, dyslipidemia, and obesity. The treating physician noted that the claimant was obese with a BMI of 37.2. Musculoskeletally, the claimant had mildly decreased muscle strength in the right foot. (Department Exhibit 35)

The treating physician stated that the claimant had physical limitations where he could occasionally lift 10 pounds, but never 20 pounds. The claimant could stand and/or walk less than two hours of an eight-hour workday and sit less than six hours of an eight-hour workday. The claimant needed the assistive device of a cane that was medically required and needed for ambulation. The claimant could use both hands/arms and the left foot/leg for repetitive action. The claimant had no mental limitations. (Department Exhibit 36)

On [REDACTED], the claimant's treating cardiologist submitted a progress note on the claimant for a follow-up of the claimant's coronary artery disease. The claimant had stents to the LAD and obtuse marginal artery on [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] after presenting with exertional angina. Since then, his angina has resolved. The claimant now complains of an upper respiratory infection he has had for the last two days. Unfortunately, the claimant is still chewing tobacco and not exercising regularly. On physical exam, the claimant is alert and oriented and in no acute distress with a blood pressure of 139/90, heart rate 86, and respirations 18. The claimant's physical is remarkable for diminished pulses in the lower extremities and is documented in his chart. The treating cardiologist strongly encouraged

lifestyle modifications including weight loss, regular exercise, a low calorie diet, and cessation of tobacco use, where the claimant clearly understands the benefits associated with these changes. The claimant's hypertension is well controlled. The claimant's hyperlipidemia showed LDL of 143 and HDL of 32 with a LDL goal to be less than 70 mg/dL. The claimant had diminished peripheral pulses where the claimant has claudication type pain in the right calf and thigh. The treating specialist encouraged regular exercise and to continue his current cardiac meds and treat his claudication as well. The claimant is stable with a follow-up requested in one year.

(Department Exhibit 29-30)

On [REDACTED], the claimant was admitted to [REDACTED] with a discharge date of [REDACTED]. The claimant's discharge diagnosis was coronary artery disease status post stent placement, myocardial infarction status post angioplasty in [REDACTED] hypertension, diabetes mellitus type 2, hypercholesterolemia, and arthritis. The claimant was taken to cardiac catheterization with the placement of two stents in the coronary arteries. The remainder of the claimant's hospital course was uneventful. He had no complaints of chest pain, shortness of breath, or other symptoms of acute coronary syndrome. The claimant did have a bump in his troponins after the cardiac catheterization up to 0.79, but this then trended downwards. The claimant was discharged home in stable condition with follow-up instructions.

(Department Exhibit 18-19)

On [REDACTED], the claimant was admitted to [REDACTED] with a discharge date of [REDACTED]. The claimant began having chest pain at rest early this afternoon. The initial episode resolved within minutes without intervention. The claimant was able to reproduce the pain by walking on a treadmill. Once the pain returned, the claimant stopped walking and took two aspirin and the pain resolved, but returned once again after dinner. The

claimant was an obese man in no acute distress. The claimant's heart has regular rate with a soft systolic murmur where distal pulses were 2+ and equal x4. The claimant's lungs were clear to auscultation bilaterally. The claimant had not taken any of his medications since his prescriptions ran out in May. (Department Exhibit 9-11)

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence in the record indicates that the claimant has established that he has a severe impairment. The claimant had a heart catheterization in [REDACTED] where two stents were placed and his heart murmur was documented. The claimant is obese and had a history of diabetes, arthritis, and high blood pressure. In [REDACTED], the claimant was not taking any of his medications. On [REDACTED], the claimant's treating physician limited him to light, sedentary work. On [REDACTED], the claimant's treating cardiologist stated that the claimant's angina has resolved since his surgery where he was still chewing tobacco and not exercising regularly. The claimant's treating cardiologist strongly encouraged lifestyle modification including weight loss, regular exercise, a low calorie diet, and cessation of tobacco use. The claimant's blood pressure was well controlled. The claimant did have some claudication-type pain in the right calf and thigh. The claimant did have surgery in [REDACTED] and based on the medical documentation, the claimant is expected to improve post surgery and with lifestyle modification within 12 months from date of surgery. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2. However, this Administrative Law Judge will proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine disability because Step 2 is a *de minimus* standard.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the

claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d). This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be listed as disabling by law. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 3.

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings, that the claimant does have a driver's license and does drive. He does have a hard time driving for long periods of time. The claimant does cook with no problem. However, he does have a problem standing and walking. The claimant grocery shops once a week with his wife with no problem. The claimant does clean his own home by vacuuming. The claimant doesn't do any outside work or have any hobbies. The claimant felt that his condition has worsened in the past year because of his knees it's hard to get around. The claimant stated that he did not have any mental impairment.

The claimant wakes up between 7:00 to 8:00 a.m. He watches TV. He picks up and delivers papers. He has dinner. He waits for his wife to come home. He watches TV. He goes to bed between 11:30 p.m. and 12:00 a.m.

The claimant felt that he could walk 30 minutes. The longest he felt he could stand was 15-20 minutes. The longest he felt he could sit was two hours. The heaviest weight he could carry was 20 pounds. The claimant stated he was in no pain and not taking pain medication.

The claimant stopped smoking cigarettes 25 years ago. He does chew tobacco of two cans a week. The claimant stopped drinking alcohol 20 years ago. The claimant does not or has ever taken illegal or illicit drugs. The claimant felt that there was no work that he could do.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant has not established that he cannot perform any of his prior work. The claimant is currently working delivering newspapers 2-1/2 hours per day where he grosses \$1,200 a month. The claimant seems to be able to perform that job although substantial gainful employment is working 8 hours a day, 40 hours per week and making at least \$1,000 per month and/or making at least \$1,000 per month. The claimant is substantially gainfully employed, but only works 2-1/2 hours per day. The claimant's previous job was as a truck driver at the medium level, which is his pertinent work history that he was unable to perform after [REDACTED]. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4. However, the Administrative Law Judge will still proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work.

20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite you limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work.** Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work.** Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

...To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, you must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. If someone can do light work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

The claimant has submitted insufficient evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his previous employment or that he is physically unable to do any tasks demanded of him. The claimant's testimony as to his limitation indicates his limitations are exertional.

At Step 5, the claimant should be able to meet the physical requirements of light work, based upon the claimant's physical abilities. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a closely approaching advanced age individual, with a high school education, and a skilled and unskilled work history, who is limited to light work, is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Rule 202.21. Using the Medical-Vocational guidelines as a framework for making

this decision and after giving full consideration to the claimant's physical and mental impairments, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant can still perform a wide range of light activities and that the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department has appropriately established that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied the claimant's application for MA-P and retroactive MA-P. The claimant should be able to perform any level of light work. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/  
Carmen G. Fahie  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Ismael Ahmed, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 15, 2009

Date Mailed: October 16, 2009

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.



2009-25613/CGF

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CGF/vmc

cc:

