STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Claimant

Reg. No: 2009-22497 Issue No: 1038 Case No: Load No: Hearing Date: July 1, 2009 Muskegon County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Keegstra

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 1, 2009. The claimant was represented by Maureen Gottlieb (P71673). The claimant appeared and provided testimony.

ISSUE

Did the department properly determine the claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case should be closed for Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) program noncompliance in March, 2009?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The claimant was determined to be a mandatory WF/JET program participant.

2009-22497/SLK

 The claimant had some WF/JET attendance issues in November and December, 2008. It also appears that the claimant did not complete the FAST survey.
(Department Exhibit 12, 9).

3. WF/JET requested a triage appointment on November 12, 2008. However, no triage appointment was scheduled. WF/JET made a second request for triage on February 24, 2009. (Department Exhibit 12).

The claimant was mailed a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444) on
February 25, 2009, scheduling a triage appointment for March 9, 2009. (Department Exhibit 14 – 15).

5. The claimant did not attend the triage appointment. No good cause was granted by the department. (Department Exhibit 7).

6. The claimant has had no other instances of noncompliance. (Department Exhibit 6).

7. The claimant's case closed on March 10, 2009. (Department Exhibit 4).

8. The claimant submitted a hearing request on May 6, 2009.

9. The claimant provided documentation to establish that she took her daughter into the doctor on March 9, 2009 at 9:41 am. (Claimant Exhibit 21).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department

2

policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility

Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy states:

DEPARTMENT PHILOSOPHY

FIP

DHS requires clients to participate in employment and selfsufficiency-related activities and to accept employment when offered. Our focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause.

The goal of the FIP penalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate work and/or self-sufficiency-related assignments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. The goal is to bring the client into compliance.

Noncompliance may be an indicator of possible disabilities. Consider further exploration of any barriers.

DEPARTMENT POLICY

FIP

A Work Eligible Individual (WEI), see <u>PEM 228</u>, who fails, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized.

See <u>PEM 233B</u> for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) policy when the FIP penalty is closure. For the Refugee Assistance Program (RAP) penalty policy, see <u>PEM 233C</u>. PEM 233A, p. 1.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELF-SUFFICIENCY-RELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

Failing or refusing to:

- .. Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
- .. Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
- .. Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
- .. Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or PRPFC.
- .. Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting.
- .. Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activities.
- .. Accept a job referral.
- .. Complete a job application.
- .. Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
 - Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activity. PEM 233A, pp. 1-2.

GOOD CAUSE FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. Document the good cause determination on the DHS-71, Good Cause Determination and the FSSP under the "Participation and Compliance" tab. See "School Attendance" PEM 201 for good cause when minor parents do not attend school.

Employed 40 Hours

Client Unit

.

Good cause includes the following:

- . The person is working at least 40 hours per week on average and earning at least state minimum wage.
 - The client is physically or mentally unfit for the job or activity, as shown by medical evidence or other reliable information. This includes any disability-related limitations that preclude participation in a work and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activity. The disability-related needs or limitations may not have been identified or assessed prior to the noncompliance.

Illness or Injury

The client has a debilitating illness or injury, or an immediate family member's illness or injury requires in-home care by the client.

Reasonable Accommodation

The DHS, employment services provider, contractor, agency, or employer failed to make reasonable accommodations for the client's disability or the client's needs related to the disability. PEM 233A, pp. 3-4.

No Child Care

.

The client requested Child Day Care Services (CDC) from DHS, the MWA, or other employment services provider prior to case closure for noncompliance and CDC is needed for a CDC-eligible child, but none is appropriate, suitable, affordable and within reasonable distance of the client's home or work site.

Appropriate. The care is appropriate to the child's age, disabilities and other conditions.

.

- **Reasonable distance.** The total commuting time to and from work and child care facilities does not exceed three hours per day.
- **Suitable provider.** The provider meets applicable state and local standards. Also, providers (e.g., relatives) who are NOT registered/licensed by the DHS Office of Child and Adult Services must meet DHS enrollment requirements for day care aides or relative care providers. See PEM 704.
- **Affordable.** The child care is provided at the rate of payment or reimbursement offered by DHS.

No Transportation

The client requested transportation services from DHS, the MWA, or other employment services provider prior to case closure and reasonably priced transportation is not available to the client.

Illegal Activities

The employment involves illegal activities.

Discrimination

The client experiences discrimination on the basis of age, race, disability, gender, color, national origin, religious beliefs, etc. PEM 233A, p. 4.

Unplanned Event or Factor

Credible information indicates an unplanned event or factor which likely prevents or significantly interferes with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Unplanned events or factors include, but are not limited to the following:

- . Domestic violence.
- . Health or safety risk.
- . Religion.
- . Homelessness.
- . Jail.
- . Hospitalization.

Comparable Work

The client quits to assume employment comparable in salary and hours. The new hiring must occur before the quit.

Long Commute

Total commuting time exceeds:

- Two hours per day, NOT including time to and from child care facilities, **or**
- Three hours per day, including time to and from child care facilities. PEM 233A, pp.4-5.

NONCOMPLIANCE PENALTIES FOR ACTIVIE FIP CASES AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 3 calendar months.
- For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for 12 calendar months.
- . The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties.

TRIAGE

JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a "triage" meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. Locally coordinate a process to notify the MWA case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines.

Clients can either attend a meeting or participate in a conference call if attendance at the triage meeting is not possible. If a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, offer a phone conference at that time. Clients must comply with triage requirement within the negative action period.

When a phone triage is conducted for a first noncompliance and the client agrees to comply, complete the DHS-754, First Noncompliance Letter, as you would complete in a triage meeting. Note in the client signature box "Client Agreed by Phone". Immediately send a copy of the DHS-754 to the client and phone the JET case manager if the compliance activity is to attend JET.

Determine good cause based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA.

If the FIS, JET case manager, or MRS counselor do not agree as to whether "good cause" exists for a noncompliance, the case must be forwarded to the immediate supervisors of each party involved to reach an agreement.

DHS must be involved with all triage appointment/phone calls due to program requirements, documentation and tracking.

Note: Clients not participating with JET must be scheduled for a "triage" meeting between the FIS and the client. This does not include applicants. PEM 233A, p. 7.

Good Cause Established

If the client establishes good cause within the negative action period, do **NOT** impose a penalty. See "<u>Good Cause for</u> <u>Noncompliance</u>" earlier in this item. Send the client back to JET, if applicable, after resolving transportation, CDC, or other factors which may have contributed to the good cause. Do not enter a new referral on ASSIST. Enter the good cause reason on the DHS-71 and on the FSSP under the "Participation and Compliance" tab.

Good Cause NOT Established

If the client does NOT provide a good cause reason within the negative action period, determine good cause based on the best information available. If no good cause exists, allow the case to close. If good cause is determined to exist, delete the negative action. PEM 233A, pp. 10-11.

Noncompliance is defined by department policy as failing or refusing to do a number of activities, such as attending and participating with WF/JET, completing the FAST survey, completing job applications, participating in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, etc. PEM 233A. In this case, the claimant was having some attendance issues in October and November, 2008.

The claimant testified that she was having problems attending WF/JET because she was working, had no child care and no transportation. Each of these can be considered good cause for noncompliance. Good cause is defined as a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. PEM 233A.

Department policy requires a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. PEM 233A. In this case, the department scheduled a triage appointment for the claimant on March 9, 2009. The department testified that the claimant did not attend the triage appointment. The claimant does not dispute this. However, the claimant testified that she stopped at the reception desk at DHS on the morning of March 9, 2009 and left a message for Ms. Hodson, her caseworker, indicating that her daughter was sick and she had to take her into the doctor's office. The claimant requested to reschedule the triage appointment. Mrs. Hodson was not present during this hearing to confirm or deny this message was left. However, the claimant pointed out during the hearing that the doctor's office she takes her daughter to is in the same building as the DHS office. In fact, this is confirmed through the addresses of both the DHS office and Hackley Community Care Center, which are both located at 2700 Baker Street in Muskegon Heights.

2009-22497/SLK

Further, the claimant's testimony is supported by two documents she introduced into evidence. The first document is the claimant's copy of the triage notification. On the top, is written Mrs. Hodson and a telephone extension number. The claimant testified that she was given this information from the receptionist and that she called Ms. Hodson from the desk and requested to reschedule the triage appointment. The other document is the notes from the claimant's daughter's doctor visit on March 9, 2009. The claimant was at the doctor's office by 9:41 am. As noted earlier, the doctor's office is in the same building as the DHS office. Thus, the claimant's testimony regarding stopping at the DHS office and calling her caseworker to ask to reschedule the triage appointment is credible.

The case was not closed when the claimant requested the triage to be rescheduled. Department policy indicates that the department should reschedule the triage or conduct the triage by telephone. PEM 233A states "[i]f a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, offer a phone conference at that time." The claimant was not given a chance to reschedule the triage meeting.

Further, good cause reasons for missing the triage must also be taken into account. In this case, the documentation establishes that the claimant was at a doctor appointment for her daughter. Good cause reasons include an immediate family member's illness or injury that requires in-home care by the client. PEM 233A. In this case, the claimant has documented that her daughter was sick and was seen by a physician. Thus, the claimant is determined to have good cause for missing the triage appointment.

It is noted that the claimant has not had any previous instances of noncompliance. Therefore, even if the claimant is determined not to have good cause for her WF/JET

10

participation, she would be eligible to sign the DHS-754 First Noncompliance Letter and return to WF/JET without any penalty to her FIP case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department improperly determined the claimant was noncompliant with WF/JET program requirements without good cause and improperly determined her FIP case should be terminated.

Accordingly, the department's actions are REVERSED. The department shall:

1. Schedule and hold a triage appointment for the claimant to discuss any good cause the claimant may have had for the noncompliance from November, 2008.

2. If the claimant is determined to have good cause, refer the claimant back to WF/JET. If the clamant is determined not to have good cause, allow the claimant the opportunity to sign the DHS-754, as long as the claimant attends the triage appointment (in person or by telephone). The claimant can then return to WF./JET without a penalty to the FIP case.

3. Issue the claimant any retroactive FIP benefits (back to the date of case closure) that she is eligible to receive.

/s/

Suzanne L. Keegstra Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>August 18, 2009</u>

Date Mailed: August 20, 2009

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

