STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No:

200921380

Issue No:

3020

Hearing Date: August 15, 2011

Genesee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kandra Robbins

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, 7 CFR 273.16, MAC R 400.3130, and MAC R 400.3178 upon the Respondent's request for hearing. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was held on August 15, 2011. The Respondent was present and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department act properly trying to recover a Food Assistance Program (FAP) overissuance that respondent received due to a client error?

FINDINGS OF FACT

This Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Respondent completed an Application for Assistance and began receiving FAP benefits on September 19, 2006. (Department Exhibit 1, pgs 1-7).
- 2. The Respondent began receiving RSDI income in January 2007. (Department Exhibit 1, pg 13).
- 3. The RSDI income was not reported until March 5, 2007. (Department Exhibit 1, pgs 11-12).
- 4. The change income resulted in an overissuance of FAP benefits for the month of March 2007. (Department Exhibit 1, pgs 14-16).
- Department sent a DHS 4358 Notice of Overissuance to the Respondent on August 7, 2008. (Department Exhibit 1, pgs 19-22).

6. On March 30, 2009, the Department received a phone call from Respondent regarding the overissuance. This was treated as a Request for Hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901 - .951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1) An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because of a denial. MAC R 400.903(2)

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015.

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM). Department policy states:

BAM 700 DEPARTMENT POLICY

All Programs

When a client group receives more benefits than they are entitled to receive, DHS must attempt to recoup the overissuance (OI). This item explains OI types and standards of promptness (SOP). Note: A client or CDC provider may voluntarily repay any program benefits even when there is no overissuance. Refer these situations to the local office fiscal unit.

Definitions The Benefit Recovery System (BRS) is the part of Bridges that tracks all FIP, SDA, CDC and FAP OIs and payments, issues automated collection notices and triggers automated benefit reductions for active programs. A claim is the resulting debt created by an overissuance of benefits. The discovery date is determined by the recoupment specialist (RS) for a client or agency error. This is the date the OI is known to exist and there is evidence available to determine the OI type. For an intentional program violation (IPV) the Office of Inspector General (OIG) determines the discovery date. This is the date the referral was sent to the prosecutor or the date that OIG requested an administrative disqualification hearing.

The establishment date for an OI is:

The date the DHS-4358A-D, Repay Agreement, is sent to the client and for an IPV the date the DHS-4357 is sent notifying the client when the disqualification and recoupment will start. An overissuance (OI) is the amount of benefits issued to the client group or CDC provider in excess of what they were eligible to receive. For FAP benefits, an OI is also the amount of benefits trafficked (traded or sold). Overissuance type identifies the cause of an overissuance. Recoupment is a DHS action to identify and recover a benefit OI.

BAM 705 Definition All Programs

An agency error OI is caused by incorrect actions (including delayed or no action) by the Department of Human Services (DHS) or the Department of Information and Technology staff or department processes. Some examples are:

- Available information was not used or was used incorrectly.
- Policy was misapplied.
- Action by local or central office staff was delayed.
- Computer errors occurred.
- Information was not shared between department divisions (services staff, Work First! agencies, etc.).
- Data exchange reports were not acted upon timely (Wage Match, New Hires, BENDEX, etc.).

If unable to identify the type of OI, record it as an agency error.

BAM 705 AGENCY ERROR EXCEPTIONS FIP, SDA, CDC and FAP

Agency error OIs are not pursued if the estimated OI amount is less than \$125 per program.

OVERISSUANCE PERIOD

All Programs

OI Begin Date FIP, SDA, CDC and FAP

The OI period begins the first month (or first pay period for CDC) when benefit issuance exceeds the amount allowed by policy, or 12 months before the date the OI was referred to the RS, whichever is later. To determine the first month of the OI period for changes reported timely and not acted on, Bridges allows time for:

- The full standard of promptness (SOP) for change processing, per BAM 220, and
- The full negative action suspense period. See BAM 220, EFFECTIVE DATE OF CHANGE.

OI End Date

The OI period ends the month (or pay period for CDC) before the benefit is corrected.

OVERISSUANCE AMOUNT FIP, SDA, CDC and FAP

The amount of the OI is the benefit amount the group actually received minus the amount the group was eligible to receive.

The Respondent was receiving FAP benefits in 2007. The Respondent began receiving RSDI benefits as of January 2007. RSDI is considered unearned income. Department policy requires that all income including unearned income be budgeted to determine the proper FAP benefit. The Respondent did not report the RSDI income until he submitted the Semi-Annual Contact Report on March 5, 2007. As a result, his FAP benefit was calculated using just his earned income for the months of January, February and March 2007. Although Respondent claims that he did not receive any RSDI income until April 2007, in March 2007 he reported the income. Therefore, it is found that he was receiving RSDI income at the time of the overissuance in 2007.

In this case, the Agency incorrectly budg	eted the Respondent's income. Department
policy requires the recoupment of the over	rissuance if it is more than . In this
case, the Respondent was issued	in FAP benefits but was not entitled to any
benefit for March 2007. This resulted in a	FAP overissuance of Because the
overissuance amount is over	Department must take action to recover the
overissuance that Respondent received.	•

DECISION AND ORDER:

This Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings and conclusion of law, decides that the Department established that Respondent received a FAP overissuance.

The Department acted properly in trying to recover a FAP overissuance that the Respondent received due to error.

Respondent is responsible for full restitution of the by error.

It is so ORDERED.

/s/

Kandra K. Robbins Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 22, 2011

Date Mailed: August 22, 2011

NOTICE: The law provides that within 60 days from the mailing date of the above hearing Decision the Respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she resides or has his or her principal place of business in this state, or in the circuit court for Ingham County. Administrative Hearings, on its own motion, or on request of a party within 60 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, may order a rehearing.

KKR/db

CC:

