#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg No. 200920242 Issue No. 2009; 4031 Case No.

Load No.

Hearing Date: June 16, 2009

Ingham County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on Tuesday, June 16, 2009. The claimant personally appeared and testified with his mother, P. G., and authorized representative,

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the department properly deny the claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P), retroactive Medical Assistance, and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds a material fact:

- 1. On August 15, 2008, the claimant applied for MA-P and SDA with retroactive MA-P July 2008.
- On December 11, 2008, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied the claimant's application for MA-P and retroactive MA-P stating that the claimant was capable of performing other work under Medical Vocational Grid Rule 201.20 per 20 CFR 416.920(f) and SDA that the claimant's physical and mental impairment does not prevent employment for 90 days or more.

- 3. On December 16, 2008, the department caseworker sent the claimant a notice that his application was denied.
- 4. On March 12, 2009, the department received a hearing request from the claimant, contesting the department's negative action.
- 5. On May 8, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) considered the submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P, retroactive MA-P, and SDA eligibility for the claimant. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant is 47 years old with 12 years of education and an unskilled work history. The claimant is alleging disability due to back pain, shortness of breath, liver cancer, and depression. The claimant did not meet applicable Social Security Listings 1.01, 3.01, 13.01, and 12.01. The claimant is capable of performing other work that is medium work per 20 CFR 416.967(c) and unskilled work per 20 CFR 416.968(a) under Vocational Rule 203.28. This may be consistent with past relevant work. However, there is no detailed description of past work to determine this. In lieu of denying benefits as capable of performing past work, a denial to other work will be used.

- 6. During the hearing on June 16, 2009, the claimant requested permission to submit additional medical information that needed to be reviewed by SHRT. Additional medical information was received from the local office on June 17, 2009 and forwarded to SHRT for review on August 10, 2009.
- 7. On August 12, 2009, the SHRT considered the newly submitted objective medical evidence in making its determination of MA-P, retroactive MA-P, and SDA. The SHRT report reads in part:

The claimant is alleging disability due to liver cancer, shortness of breath, back pain, and depression. He is almost 48 years old and has a high school education with a history of unskilled work. The claimant did not meet applicable Social Security listings found in CFR 404, Subpart F. The claimant is capable of performing other work that is medium work per 20 CFR 416.967(c) and unskilled work per 20 CFR 416.968(a) under Vocational Rule 203.28. P. L. 104-121 is cited due to the materiality of drug and alcohol abuse. There was no evidence in file of liver

cancer. The new information submitted does not significantly change or alter the previous SHRT decision.

- 8. The claimant is a 48 year-old man whose date of birth is The claimant is 6' 3" tall and weighs 190 pounds. The claimant has lost 38 pounds in the past year as a result of surgery. The claimant completed the 10<sup>th</sup> grade of high school and has a GED. The claimant can read or write and do basic math. The claimant was last employed a construction framer in June 2001 at the heavy level, which is his pertinent work history.
- 9. The claimant's alleged impairments are back pain from a injury, liver cancer, depression, esophagus rupture, hypothyroidism, and shortness of breath.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

# "Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

...[The impairment]...must have lasted or must be expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months. We call this the duration requirement. 20 CFR 416.909.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not disabled.

We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

... [The record must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

... Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological (b) abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. medically Psychiatric sians are demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, memory, orientation, development, thought, perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be

expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

...Evidence that you submit or that we obtain may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other acceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of your impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what you can still do despite impairment(s), and your physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

...In deciding whether you are disabled, we will always consider the medical opinions in your case record together with the rest of the relevant evidence we receive. 20 CFR 416.927(b).

After we review all of the evidence relevant to your claim, including medical opinions, we make findings about what the evidence shows. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

...If all of the evidence we receive, including all medical opinion(s), is consistent, and there is sufficient evidence for us to decide whether you are disabled, we will make our determination or decision based on that evidence. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(1).

...If any of the evidence in your case record, including any medical opinion(s), is inconsistent with other evidence or is internally inconsistent, we will weigh all of the evidence and see whether we can decide whether you are disabled based on the evidence we have. 20 CFR 416.927(c)(2).

[As Judge]...We are responsible for making the determination or decision about whether you meet the statutory definition of disability. In so doing, we review all of the medical findings and other evidence that support a medical source's statement that you are disabled.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

...If you have an impairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find you disabled without considering your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a severe impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and mental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this kind of work, we will find that you are not disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impairment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacity and your age, education, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

...Your residual functional capacity is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...This assessment of your remaining capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled, but is used as the basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment(s).... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...In determining whether you are disabled, we will consider all of your symptoms, including pain, and the extent to which your symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with objective medical evidence, and other evidence.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...In evaluating the intensity and persistence of your symptoms, including pain, we will consider all of the available evidence, including your medical history, the medical signs and laboratory findings and statements about how your symptoms affect you... We will then determine the extent to which your alleged functional limitations or restrictions due to pain or other symptoms can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the medical signs and laboratory findings and other evidence to decide how your symptoms affect your ability to work.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairments of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assessment based upon all of the relevant evidence. This assessment of your capacity for work is not a decision on whether you are disabled but is used as a basis for determining the particular types of work you may be able to do despite your impairment. 20 CFR 416.945.

...When we assess your physical abilities, we first assess the nature and extent of your physical limitations and then determine your residual functional capacity for work activity on a regular and continuing basis. A limited ability to perform certain physical demands of work activity, such as sitting, standing, walking, lifting, carrying, pushing, pulling, or other physical functions (including manipulative or postural functions, such as reaching, handling, stooping or crouching), may reduce your ability to do past work and other work. 20 CFR 416.945(b).

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

#### "Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905

#### 200920242/CGF

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). At Step 1, the claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since June 2001. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

Secondly, in order to be considered disabled for purposes of MA, a person must have a severe impairment. 20 CFR 416.920(c). A severe impairment is an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Basic work activities means, the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The purpose of the second step in the sequential evaluation process is to screen out claims lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v. Bowen* 880 F2d 860, 862 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir, 1988). As a result, the department may only screen out claims at this level which are "totally groundless" solely from a medical standpoint. The *Higgs* court used the severity requirement as a "*de minimus* hurdle" in the disability determination. The *de minimus* standard is a provision of a law that allows the court to disregard trifling matters.

The objective medical evidence on the record further substantiates the following:

The claimant had two and where he was seen for vomiting and chronic back pain. The claimant was treated and released in stable condition to follow-up with his doctor. Department Exhibit B1-B7, Claimant Exhibit A1-A3.

The claimant had three hospital visits at

- Admission date

  The claimant was seen for a drug overdose where he was disoriented to speech that was slurred. The claimant was suspected of taking an unknown quantity of Xanax and methadone. The claimant was treated with a Narcan drip. The claimant was discharged with a discharge diagnosis of drug overdose, suicide attempt, hypothyroidism, COPD, and depression. The claimant was seen by his psychiatrist and was felt that this likely was an intentional overdose. Claimant Exhibit 1-2.
- the claimant was admitted with a On discharge date of His admission diagnosis secondary to Boerhaave's acute chest pain depression. His discharge esophageal rupture and diagnosis Boerhaave's esophageal was rupture, nosocomial pneumonia, major depression, and hypothyroidism. His comorbid conditions were polysubstance abuse and chronic back pain. His CT admission of showed pneumomediastinum, distal esophageal leak from a gastrografin study of a left thoracotomy, esophageal tear and repair and pleural patch. The claimant recuperated from surgery very well. He was discharged home in stable condition. Department Exhibit 36-38.
- admission date with a discharge date of

On the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the control of the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the control of the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the control of the claimant function at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed at the claimant had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis pelvis performed had a CT of his abdomen and pelvis perform

On , the claimant underwent a psychological evaluation at The claimant was given a GAF of 53. He was diagnosed with mood disorder with severe depression, secondary to severe medical problems and chronic pain; cocaine dependence in partial remission; and posttraumatic stress disorder. The claimant is not able to manage his own benefit funds because he has not been completely drug free for one year. The claimant's prognosis was very guarded where the claimant needs ongoing outpatient mental health treatment and substance abuse treatment. Claimant exhibited evidence of illogical, bizarre, and circumstantial ideation. There was no evidence of a thought disorder. Claimant did not exhibit evidence of hallucinations, delusions, or obsessions. He denied suicidal ideation at this time in his life, but acknowledged that as recently as he attempted suicide. The claimant was oriented to time, place, and person. The claimant exhibited average abstract reasoning, similarities and differences, and judgment. Department Exhibit 4-7.

On Report, DHS-49, on behalf of the claimant. The claimant was first examined on and last examined on and last examined on the claimant of low back pain with radiculopathy, decreased sensation, and numbness in his right leg; hypothyroidism; and emergent repair of esophageal rupture, which is also his current diagnosis. The claimant had a normal physical examination. His treating physician did note that the claimant needed the assistance of his wife to dress and undress where he uses a cane for support and is easily fatigued. The claimant's abdomen was diffusely tender with a well-healing incision with feeding tube in place. Musculoskeletally, the claimant had an abnormal stance and gait with tenderness that was 8/10 over the LS spine. Neurologically, the claimant had sensory deficit at L3-S1. Mentally, the claimant was depressed. Department Exhibit 12.

The treating physician's clinical impression was that the claimant was stable with physical limitations that were expected to last more than 90 days. The claimant could occasionally lift less than 10 pounds, but never 10 pounds. The claimant could stand and/or walk less than 2 hours of an 8-hour workday. The assistive devices medically required or needed for ambulation was a cane. The claimant could use both hands/arms for simple grasping and fine manipulation, but neither for reaching or pushing/pulling. The claimant could use neither foot for operating foot/leg controls. The medical findings that support the above physical limitations were abdominal tenderness diffusely 5/1 with local infection at feeding tube sites. The claimant has lost 14 pounds in one month while hospitalized. He has a long history of low back trauma since. The claimant had no mental limitations, but could not meet her needs in the home as a result of his activities of daily living until stable enough to care for self. Department Exhibit 13.

At Step 2, the objective medical evidence in the record indicates that the claimant has established that he has a severe impairment. The claimant had numerous hospital and emergency room visits. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 2. However, this Administrative Law Judge will proceed through the

sequential evaluation process to determine disability because Step 2 is a *de minimus* standard.

In the third step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's medical record will not support a finding that claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404, Part A. Accordingly, claimant cannot be found to be disabled based upon medical evidence alone. 20 CFR 416.920(d). This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant's impairments do not rise to the level necessary to be listed as disabling by law. Therefore, the claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at Step 3.

In the fourth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing past relevant work. 20 CFR 416.920(e). It is the finding of this Administrative Law Judge, based upon the medical evidence and objective, physical and psychological findings that the claimant does not have a driver's license and does not drive as a result of three tickets. The claimant can cook 50% of the time, but has a problem standing. The claimant grocery shops once a week, but has a problem if it's too crowded. The claimant does not clean his own home, do any outside, or have any hobbies. The claimant felt that his condition has worsened in the past year as a result of his esophageal issue. The claimant stated he has depression where he is taking medication, but not in therapy.

The claimant wakes up at 12:00 p.m. He lies around or sits. He visits with family. He walks in the park. He goes to bed between 11:00 p.m. and 12:00 a.m., but can't sleep.

The claimant felt that he could walk a  $\frac{1}{4}$  of a mile. The longest he felt he could stand was 10-15 minutes. The longest he felt he could sit was 10-15 minutes. The heaviest weight he felt he could carry was 5 pounds. The claimant stated he is right-handed. His level of pain on a scale from 1 to 10 without medication is a 10 that decreases to a  $\frac{3}{4}$  with medication.

The claimant smokes a pack of cigarettes a day. He drinks a 6-pack a year. He stopped smoking cocaine in 2007. The claimant stated there was no work that he felt he could do.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant has established that he cannot perform any of his prior work. The claimant was previously employed and has a pertinent work history as a construction framer at the heavy level. The claimant would not be able to perform the roles and responsibilities of that type of job with his current impairments. Therefore, the claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 4. However, the Administrative Law Judge will still proceed through the sequential

evaluation process to determine whether or not the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

In the fifth step of the sequential consideration of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if the claimant's impairment(s) prevents claimant from doing other work. 20 CFR 416.920(f). This determination is based upon the claimant's:

- (1) residual functional capacity defined simply as "what can you still do despite you limitations?" 20 CFR 416.945;
- (2) age, education, and work experience, 20 CFR 416.963-.965; and
- (3) the kinds of work which exist in significant numbers in the national economy which the claimant could perform despite his/her limitations. 20 CFR 416.966.

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the <u>Dictionary of Occupational Titles</u>, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work**. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Light work**. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

...To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, you must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. If someone can do light work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. 20 CFR 416.967(b).

**Unskilled work**. Unskilled work is work which needs little or no judgment to do simple duties that can be learned on the job in a short period of time. The job may or may not require considerable strength.... 20 CFR 416.968(a).

The objective medical evidence on the record is insufficient that the claimant lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his previous employment or that he is physically unable to do any tasks demanded of him. The claimant's testimony as to his limitations indicates her limitations are exertional and non-exertional.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

In the instant case, the claimant stated that he has depression where he is currently taking medication, but not in therapy. The claimant underwent an independent psychiatric evaluation where he was given a GAF of 53 that shows moderate symptoms or moderate difficulty in social, occupational, or school functioning. The claimant would not be able to manage his benefit funds because he had not been completely drug free for one full year. His prognosis had been guarded based on his evaluation on As a result, there is sufficient medical evidence of a mental impairment that is so severe that it would prevent the claimant from performing detailed, skilled work, but the claimant should be able to perform simple, unskilled work.

At Step 5, the claimant should be able to meet the physical requirements of light work, based upon the claimant's physical abilities. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger individual with a high school education, and an unskilled work history, who is limited to light work, is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Rule 202.20. The Medical-Vocational guidelines are not strictly applied with non-exertional impairments such as depression. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Section 200.00. Using the Medical-Vocational guidelines as a framework for making this decision and after giving full consideration to the claimant's physical and mental impairments, the Administrative Law Judge finds that the claimant can still perform a wide range of simple, unskilled, light activities and that the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program.

The department's Program Eligibility Manual provides the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the SDA program.

#### **DISABILITY - SDA**

# DEPARTMENT POLICY SDA

To receive SDA, a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person, or age 65 or older.

**Note:** There is <u>no</u> disability requirement for AMP. PEM 261, p. 1.

#### **DISABILITY**

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he:

- receives other specified disability-related benefits or services, or
- resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility, or
- is certified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability.
- is diagnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS).

If the client's circumstances change so that the basis of his/her disability is no longer valid, determine if he/she meets any of the other disability criteria. Do NOT simply initiate case closure. PEM, Item 261, p. 1.

#### Other Benefits or Services

Persons receiving one of the following benefits or services meet the SDA disability criteria:

- Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI), due to disability or blindness.
- Supplemental Security Income (SSI), due to disability or blindness.

- Medicaid (including spend-down) as blind or disabled if the disability/blindness is based on:
  - .. a DE/MRT/SRT determination, or
  - .. a hearing decision, or
  - .. having SSI based on blindness or disability recently terminated (within the past 12 months) for financial reasons.

Medicaid received by former SSI recipients based on policies in PEM 150 under "SSI TERMINATIONS," INCLUDING "MA While Appealing Disability Termination," does not qualify a person as disabled for SDA. Such persons must be certified as disabled or meet one of the other SDA qualifying criteria. See "Medical Certification of Disability" below.

- Michigan Rehabilitation Services (MRS). A person is receiving services if he has been determined eligible for MRS and has an active MRS case. Do not refer or advise applicants to apply for MRS for the purpose of qualifying for SDA.
- Special education services from the local intermediate school district. To qualify, the person may be:
  - attending school under a special education plan approved by the local Individual Educational Planning Committee (IEPC); or
  - not attending under an IEPC approved plan but has been certified as a special education student and is attending a school program leading to a high school diploma or its equivalent, and is under age 26. The program does not have to be designated as "special education" as long as the person has been certified as a special education student. Eligibility on this basis continues until the person completes the high school program or reaches age 26, whichever is earlier.
- Refugee or asylee who lost eligibility for Social Security Income (SSI) due to exceeding the maximum time limit PEM, Item 261, pp. 1-2.

Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA program and because the evidence in the record does not establish that the claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for SDA.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law decides that the department has appropriately established that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied the claimant's application for MA-P, retroactive MA-P, and SDA. The claimant should be able to perform any level of simple, unskilled, light work. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

<u>/s/</u>

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 11, 2010

Date Mailed: August 12, 2010

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

