# STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 200919323 Issue No: 2009, 4031

Case No: Load No:

Hearing Date: July 14, 2009 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on July 14, 2009. Claimant appeared and testified.

# **ISSUES**

Did the Department of Human Services properly determine that Claimant is not disabled and deny Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability?

Did the Department of Human Services properly determine that Claimant is not disabled and deny Claimant's application for State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant is a 22 year old female. Claimant is 68 inches tall and weighs approximately 189 pounds. Claimant's formal education consists of 11 years of school.
- (2) Claimant has past relevant work experience in babysitting and light factory work.

- (3) Claimant has a history of substance abuse, depression, and inpatient psychiatric treatment. Claimant asserts disability based on epilepsy and bipolar disorder.
- (4) Claimant last worked in 2008 doing light factory work. Claimant reports the employer said she was sick and let her go.
- (5) On January 7, 2009, Claimant applied for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability and State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- (6) On February 17, 2009, the Department of Human Services Medical Review Team determined that Claimant was not disabled in accordance with the standards for Medical Assistance (MA) or State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- (7) On February 20, 2009, Claimant was sent notice of the Department's determination.
- (8) On March 9, 2009, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.
- (9) On May 1, 2009, the State Hearing Review Team determined there was insufficient evidence to evaluate Claimant's disability assertion.
- (10) On July 14, 2009 an Interim Order was issued for additional medical evaluation of Claimant.
- (11) On August 18, 2009 the provider who was scheduled to evaluate Claimant reported she had not shown up for the appointment.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Disability determinations done by the State of Michigan for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability use the Social Security Administration standards found in United States Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at Title 20, Part 416. The law defines disability as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of at least12 months. To meet this definition, you must have severe impairments that make you unable to do your past relevant work or any other substantial gainful work that exists in the national economy.

Disability determinations done by the State of Michigan, for State Disability Assistance (SDA), use the same standards with one minor difference. For State Disability Assistance (SDA) the medically determinable physical or mental impairments that prevent substantial gainful activity must result in death or last at least 90 days.

In accordance with the Federal Regulations an initial disability determination is a sequential evaluation process. The evaluation consists of five steps that are followed in a set order.

# STEP 1

At this step a determination is made on whether Claimant is engaging in substantial gainful activity (20 CFR 416.920(b)). If you are performing activities for pay or profit, we will use 20 CFR 416.971 through 416.975 to evaluate the activities to determine if they are substantial gainful activity. Substantial gainful activity is defined as work activity: that is both substantial and gainful; and involves doing significant physical or mental activities. Gainful work activity is work activity that you do for pay or profit (20 CFR 416.972). If you are engaged in substantial gainful activity, you are not disabled regardless of how severe your physical or mental impairments are and regardless of your age, education, and work experience.

Based on the evidence in the record and Claimant's testimony, Claimant is not engaged in substantial gainful activity.

### STEP 2

At the second step it is determined whether you have a severe physical or mental impairment that meets the duration requirement or a combination of impairments that is severe and meets the duration requirement (20CFR 416.920). An impairment or combination of impairments is severe within the meaning of the regulations if it significantly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
- Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities (20 CFR 416.921).

In addition to the limiting effect of the impairments they must also meet durational requirements, 90 days for State Disability Assistance (SDA) and 12 months for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability. If we determine that your impairments are not severe, you are not disabled.

Claimant asserts disability based upon epilepsy and bipolar disorder. What follows is a synopsis of all relevant evidence in the record from medical sources presented in chronological order.

There is a psychiatric discharge summary following Claimant's inpatient treatment at between . (Pages 22-24) The summary was done by . The Doctor diagnosed Claimant with Bipolar I Disorder, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Cannabis Dependence, and Cocaine Dependence. Upon discharge Claimant's mental status was unremarkable. The Doctor assessed that Claimant's prognosis was fair depending on her ability to comply with medications, follow up with outpatient treatment providers, and abstain from alcohol and drugs of abuse.

There is an Intensive Services Screening Form assessment dated (Pages 7-11) Claimant went to and reported having suicidal and homicidal thoughts after her boyfriend left her and she used cocaine. Claimant was referred for inpatient treatment.

The objective medical evidence of record is not sufficient to establish that claimant has severe impairments that have lasted or are expected to last 12 months or more and prevent employment at any job for 12 months or more. Therefore, claimant is disqualified from receiving disability at this step. In order to do a thorough evaluation of Claimant's disability assertion the analysis will continue.

## STEP 3

At the third step, it is determined whether your impairments meet or equal the criteria of an impairment listed in a Social Security Administration impairment listing 20 CFR Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1. If your impairment meets or equals the criteria of a listing and meets the duration requirement, you are disabled.

The objective medical evidence of record does not show Claimant has any severe physical or mental impairment for use in comparing with the Social Security Administration impairment listings.

# STEP 4

At the fourth step, we assess your residual functional capacity (RFC) to determine if you are still able to perform work you have done in the past. Your RFC is your ability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from your impairments. Your RFC is assessed using all the relevant evidence in the record. If you can still do your past relevant work you are not disabled under these standards.

Claimant reports past relevant work on babysitting and light factory work. At this hearing Claimant stated she believes she could do cleaning work. The objective medical evidence of record does not show Claimant has any physical or mental impairment which would limit work activities. In the absence of any work limitations Claimant is found to have the residual functional capacity to do any level of work. Claimant is not disabled because she is capable of doing her past work.

### STEP 5

At the fifth step your residual functional capacity (RFC) is considered along with your age, education, and work experience to see if you can make an adjustment to other work you have not previously done. If you have a combination of sufficient remaining abilities and transferable skills to adjust to other work, you are not disabled. If it is determined that you cannot make an adjustment to other work, we will find that you are disabled.

Claimant is 22 years old with less than a high school education, the residual functional capacity to do any level of work, and an unskilled work history. In accordance with Social Security Administration Medical-Vocational Guidelines rules Claimant is not disabled.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department of Human Services properly determined that Claimant is not disabled and deny Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) based on disability and State Disability Assistance (SDA).

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are UPHELD.

<u>/s/</u>

Gary F. Heisler Administrative Law Judge for Ismael Ahmed, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 28, 2010

Date Mailed: October 29, 2010

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

GFH/vc

CC:

